

The Seiberg-Witten moduli space

$$\Omega^1 \xrightarrow{dt \otimes dt} \Omega^0 \oplus \Omega^2$$

Assume  $\text{Tr}(X) = 1$ .

The S.W. equation  $\begin{cases} F_{A^0}^+ = R^1(\phi\phi^*)_0 \\ D_A\phi = 0 \end{cases}$  curvature eq.  
Dirac eq.

$\phi \in \Gamma(S^+)$   $A$ : spin<sup>c</sup> connection on  $S^+ / S$   
 $\leftarrow$  spin<sup>c</sup> structure

$M_{SW}(X, S) = \{\text{solutions } (A, \phi)\} / G$   $\leftarrow$  gauge group  
 $G = C^\infty(X, U(1))$

Theorem:  $M_{SW}$  is compact

(This is called "the Seiberg-Witten miracle")

**Lemma:**  $\forall (A, \phi)$ , we have

$$\Delta|\phi|^2 = 2\text{Re}\langle \nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi, \phi \rangle - 2\langle \nabla_A \phi, \nabla_A \phi \rangle.$$

(This is just a fancy version of  $-(f^2)'' = -2f''f - 2f'^2$ )

Proof:  $A$  is unitary  $\Rightarrow d\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \langle \nabla_A \phi, \psi \rangle + \langle \phi, \nabla_A \psi \rangle$

$$d^* \langle \phi, \alpha \rangle = -\langle \nabla_A \phi, \alpha \rangle + \langle \phi, \nabla_A^* \alpha \rangle$$

$\forall \phi, \psi \in \Omega^0(S^+) \quad \alpha \in \Omega^1(S^+)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta|\phi|^2 &= d^* d\langle \phi, \phi \rangle \\ &= d^* \langle \nabla_A \phi, \phi \rangle + \langle \phi, \nabla_A \phi \rangle \\ &= 2d^* \text{Re} \langle \phi, \nabla_A \phi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$-(f^2)'' \leq -2f''f = 2\text{Re}(\langle \phi, \nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi \rangle - \langle \nabla_A \phi, \nabla_A \phi \rangle) \quad \square$$

**Corollary:**  $\Delta|\phi|^2 \leq 2\text{Re}\langle \nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi, \phi \rangle$

**Proposition:** Let  $(A, \phi)$  be a solution to S.W.eq. Then

$$|\phi|_{C^0}^2 := \max_{p \in X} |\phi(p)|^2 \leq \max\{0, -\min_{p \in X} s(p)\}$$

Scalar curvature

Proof: Assume  $|\phi(x)|^2$  achieves max at  $p \in X$ .

Then  $\Delta|\phi|^2(p) \geq 0$

so  $\text{Re}\langle \nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi, \phi \rangle \geq 0$

$$D_A \phi = 0$$

$$\nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi + \frac{1}{2} R(F_{A^0}^+) \phi + \frac{s}{4} \phi = D_A^* D_A \phi = 0$$

$$\text{so } \text{Re}\langle R(F_{A^0}^+) \phi, \phi \rangle + \frac{s}{2} \langle \phi, \phi \rangle \leq 0$$

$\operatorname{Re} \langle P(F_{A^c}^+) \phi, \phi \rangle + \frac{s}{2} \langle \phi, \phi \rangle \leq 0$  at  $P$

$$P(F_{A^c}^+) = (\phi \phi^*)_0 \Rightarrow \langle P(F_{A^c}^+) \phi, \phi \rangle = \langle \frac{|\phi|^2}{2} \phi, \phi \rangle = \frac{|\phi|^4}{2}$$

$(\phi \phi^*)_0$

$$\text{so } \frac{|\phi(P)|^4}{2} + \frac{s(P)}{2} |\phi(P)|^2 \leq 0 \quad (|\phi(P)|^2 \cdot (|\phi(P)|^2 + s(P)) \leq 0)$$

so either  $\phi(P) = 0$  or  $|\phi(P)|^2 \leq -s(P)$

Recall  $|\phi(P)| = \max_{x \in X} |\phi(x)|$ . So either  $\phi = 0$  or

$$|\phi(x)|^2 \leq |\phi(P)|^2 \leq -\min_{x \in X} s(x). \quad \square$$

Corollary: Suppose  $X$  has a metric with  $s(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in X$ .

Then  $(A, \phi)$  is a solution  $\Rightarrow \phi = 0$ .

$(A, 0)$  reducible solution

$$G_n = \underbrace{H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})}_{\sim} \times S^1$$

Theorem:  $M_{SW}$  is compact.

Proof:

$$\bar{F}_{A_i^c}^+ + P^+(\phi_i \phi_i^*)_0 = 0$$

Suppose  $\{(A_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \geq 1}$  is a sequence of solutions.

After gauge transformation, may assume  $P_{H_i} d_i$  bounded

$$A_i = A_0 + \alpha_i \quad \alpha_i \in \Omega^1(X; i\mathbb{R})$$

$$\boxed{d^* \alpha_i = 0}$$

$$d^t = \frac{dt * dt}{2} \text{ base connection}$$

Coulomb gauge fixing condition

$$\text{Then we have } \bar{F}_{A_i^c}^+ = \bar{F}_{A_0^c}^+ + 2d^t \alpha_i \quad D_{A_0} = D_{A_0} + P(\alpha_i)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2d^t \alpha_i = -\bar{F}_{A_0^c}^+ + P^+(\phi_i \phi_i^*)_0 \quad \text{--- (1)} \\ d^* \alpha_i = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)} \\ D_{A_0} \phi_i = -P(\alpha_i) \cdot \phi_i \quad \text{--- (3)} \end{array} \right. \quad \text{LHS: elliptic operator}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d^* \alpha_i = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)} \\ D_{A_0} \phi_i = -P(\alpha_i) \cdot \phi_i \quad \text{--- (3)} \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{$d^* \alpha_i$ bounded} \\ \text{elliptic bootstrapping} \end{array}$$

$(d^* \alpha_i, \phi_i)$  bounded  $\forall i$

so  $\exists$  converging subsequence.  $\square$

Now we want to count # of solutions/gauge.

We write the S.W. eqs as a map

$$\int \alpha^* \alpha \, d\text{vol} = 0$$

$$\widetilde{\text{SW}} : \{\text{spin}^c\text{-connections}\} \oplus \Gamma(S^+) \rightarrow \Omega^2(X; i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Omega^0(X; i\mathbb{R}) / i\mathbb{R}$$

$$(\oplus \Gamma(S^-))$$

$$(A, \phi) \mapsto (F_{A^c}^+ - P^*(\phi^* \phi)_0, d^*(A - A_0), D_A \phi)$$

$$b_1 = 0$$

$$M_{\text{SW}} = \widetilde{\text{SW}}^{-1}(0) / G_h = \widetilde{\text{SW}}^{-1}(0) / S^1 \Rightarrow G_h = \{\text{constant } X \rightarrow S^1\}$$

This space may not be a manifold. for 2 reasons

- $\widetilde{\text{SW}}^{-1}(0)$  may not be a manifold

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{A^c}^+ + P^*(\phi \phi^*)_0 = \eta \\ D_A \phi = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

- $S^1$ -action may not be free.

$$\widetilde{\text{SW}}^{-1}(1, 0, 0) / S^1 \quad e^{i\theta}(A, \phi) = (A, e^{i\theta}\phi)$$

Idea: consider

for a suitable  $\eta \in \Omega^2$ .

Theorem: For a generic choice of  $\eta \in \Omega^2(X; i\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\widetilde{\text{SW}}^{-1}(\eta, 0, 0)$

is a compact manifold of dimension  $\frac{C_1(S)^2 - \sigma(X)}{4} + b^1(X) - b^2(X)$

proof: We consider the differential of  $\widetilde{\text{SW}}$

$$d\widetilde{\text{SW}}_{(A, \phi)} : \Omega^1(X; i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Gamma(S^+) \rightarrow \Omega^0(X; i\mathbb{R}) / i\mathbb{R} \oplus \Omega^2(X; i\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Gamma(S^-)$$

$$(\alpha, \psi) \mapsto (d^*, d^+, D_A) + \text{o-th order term}$$

$\nwarrow$  elliptic

We let  $H_1 = L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega^1 \oplus \Gamma(S^+))$

$$H_2 = L^2_{\mathbb{R}+1}(\Omega^0 / i\mathbb{R} \oplus \Omega^2 \oplus \Gamma(S^-))$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2_{\mathbb{R}}} := \sum_{k=0}^K \int \langle \nabla^{(k)} f, \nabla^{(k)} g \rangle \, d\text{vol} \text{ Sobolev norm}$$

Then  $\widetilde{\text{SW}} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is a  $C^\infty$  Fredholm map

(i.e.  $d\widetilde{\text{SW}}_{(A, \phi)}$  is Fredholm).

$\tilde{dsW}_{(A,\phi)}$  is a Fredholm operator whose index is  
 $\text{index}(D_A) + \text{index}(\Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d^* \oplus dt} \Omega^0 /_{R \oplus \Omega^2})$

Atiyah-Singer index theorem  $\Rightarrow$

$$\text{ind}_C(D_A) = \frac{C_1(S)^2 - \sigma(X)}{8}$$

$$\text{ind}_{IR}(\Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d^* \oplus dt} \Omega^0 /_{R \oplus \Omega^2}) = b_1(X) - b_2^+(X)$$

$$\text{ker } = H^1 \quad \text{coker } \geq 4$$

so  $\tilde{SW}: H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is Fredholm map whose index is

$$\frac{C_1(S)^2 - \sigma(X)}{4} + b_1(X) - b_2^+(X).$$

Sard-Smale theorem: Let  $f: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  be a  $C^q$ -Fredholm map between two Banach manifolds. Suppose  $q > \max\{0, \text{index}(f)\}$ . Then the set of regular value of  $f$  is residue.

residue: intersection of countably many open dense sets.  
(generic)

$b$  is a regular value:  $\forall x \in f^{-1}(b)$

$df(x): T_x B_1 \rightarrow T_b B_2$  is surjective.

(If  $\text{index}(f) < 0$ , this simply means  $f^{-1}(b) = \emptyset$ .)

$b$  is regular value  $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(b)$  is a manifold of  $\dim = \text{index}(f)$ .

so we see for generic  $\eta, \psi, \beta$ ,

$\tilde{SW}^{-1}(\eta, \psi, \beta)$  is a manifold of dimension  $\frac{C_1^2 - \sigma}{4} + b^1 - b^+$ .

some more work  $\Rightarrow \psi, \beta$  can be chosen to be 0.  $\square$ .

So we pick generic  $\eta$  s.t.  $\tilde{SW}^*(M, \partial, \eta)$  is a manifold of dimension  $\frac{c_1^2 - \sigma}{4} + b_1 - b_2^+$ .

Let's assume  $b_1(x) = 0$ . Then  $G_n = \text{constant map } X \rightarrow U(1)$

$$m_{SW}^\eta = \tilde{sw}^{-1}(\eta, 0, 0) / G_\eta = \tilde{sw}^{-1}(\eta, 0, 0) / S^1$$

$$u \cdot (A, \phi) = (A - u \partial u, u \phi), \quad u: X \rightarrow S^1$$

Note  $e^{i\theta}(A, \phi) = (A, e^{i\theta}\phi)$ . So if  $\phi \neq 0$  and  $(A, \phi) \in \tilde{SW}^+(n)$ , then the  $S^1$ -action is free.

We say a solution  $(A, \phi) \in \tilde{SW}^-(n)$  is irreducible if  $\phi \neq 0$ . Otherwise, we say it is reducible.

Theorem: Suppose  $b^+(x) > 0$ . Then for a generic  $\eta$ ,  $\tilde{SW}^+(\eta)$  has no reducible point. Furthermore, if  $b^+(x) \geq 1$ . Then any such  $\eta_0, \eta_1$  can be connected by a generic path  $\{\eta_t\}$  s.t.  $\tilde{SW}^+(\eta_t)$  has no reducible point  $\forall t$ .  $\text{Pr}_{H^+ F_A^+}$

proof:  $(A, \circ) \in \tilde{sw}^{-1}(\eta) \Rightarrow F_{A^\circ}^+ = \eta \quad \text{---} \quad \textcircled{1} \quad \text{pr}_{H^+}^{''} F_{A^\circ}$

Recall:  $\Omega^2 = \text{image } d \oplus H^+ \oplus H^- \oplus \text{image } d^*$

$$C_1(S) = \left[ \frac{i}{2\pi} F_A \right] \quad \text{so} \quad Pr_{H^+} F_A = Pr_{H^+} (-2\pi i C_1(S))$$

†  
treat  $C_1(S)$  as in  $H(\Theta)H^-$

$$\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow \Pr_{H^+} \eta = \Pr_{H^+} F_{A^c}^+ = \Pr_{H^+} F_{AC} \\ = \Pr_{H^+} (-2\pi i C_1)$$

So if we pick any  $\eta \in \Omega^2_+(X; \mathbb{R})$  s.t.

$P_{H^+} \eta \neq P_{H^+}(-2\pi i c_1(S))$ . Then  $\tilde{SW}^+(\eta)$  has no reducible.

Note  $\dim H^+ = b_2^+(X)$  so if  $b_2^+(X) > 0$ , we can also choose such  $\eta$ .



- When  $b_2^+ > 1$ , any two choices of  $\eta$  can be connected by  $\{\eta_t\}$  s.t.  $\tilde{SW}^+(\eta_t)$  has no reducible.
- When  $b_2^+ = 1$ .  $H^+ \setminus P_{H^+}(-2\pi i c_1(S)) = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{a\}$  has two components. So upto homotopy,  $\exists$  two choices of  $\eta$ .

If  $b_2^+ > 0$

So we choose generic  $\eta$  s.t.  $\tilde{SW}^+(\eta)$  is a manifold with free  $S^1$ -action.

so  $M_{SW} = \tilde{SW}^+(\eta)/G_\eta$  is a compact manifold.

It's dimension equals

$$d(S) = \frac{c_1^2 - \sigma}{4} + b_1 - b^+ - 1 = \frac{c_1(S)^2 - 3\text{box}(X) + 2\chi(X)}{4}$$

In particular, when  $d(S) = 0$ ,  $M_{SW}$  is a finite collection of points.

Actually,  $M_{SW}^\eta$  is an orientable manifold, and an orientation is specified by an orientation of

$\wedge^{\max} \ker d\tilde{SW}$ . We can deform  $d\tilde{SW}$  to  $D_{A_0} \oplus (d^* \oplus d^+)$

So there is natural bijection

$$\text{Orientation of } \Lambda^{\max} d\tilde{SW}(A, \phi) \longleftrightarrow \begin{aligned} &\text{Orientation of } \Lambda^{\max} \ker(D \oplus d^* \oplus d^+) \\ &\oplus \Lambda^{\max} \text{coker}(D \oplus d^* \oplus d^+) \end{aligned}$$

We have proved

Proposition: A orientation of  $M_{SW}$  is specified by

by an orientation of  $H^2(X) \oplus H^1(X)$  ← homology orientation of  $X$ .

### The Seiberg-Witten invariants of $X$

$X$ : Smooth 4-mfd  $b^+(X) \geq 1$

$g$ : Riemannian metric on  $X$

$\mathbb{S}$ : Spin $^c$  structure on  $X$

$\eta$ : a generic element in  $\Omega^2(X; i\mathbb{R})$

$S'$  acts freely on  $\tilde{SW}^+(n)$ , give us  $P: S' \hookrightarrow \tilde{SW}^+(n)$

$$\downarrow$$

$$M_{SW}$$

Definition: The Seiberg-Witten invariant

$$SW(X, \mathbb{S}) := C(P) \frac{d(\mathbb{S})}{2} \cdot [M_{SW}] \in \mathbb{Z}$$

When  $d(\mathbb{S})=0$ , this is just signed count of points in  $M_{SW}$

Simple type conjecture: If  $d(\mathbb{S}) > 0$ , then  $\underbrace{SW(X, \mathbb{S})}_< 0$ .

Theorem (Taubes) The simple type conjecture holds for symplectic manifolds.

We still have to prove that  $SW(X, S)$  is actually an invariant.

Proposition: Given  $(g_j, \eta_j)$   $j=0, 1$  s.t.

$$P_{H_{g_j}^+}(\eta_j + 2\pi i C_1(S)) \neq 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$\leftarrow$  admissibility condition

If  $b^+(X) > 1$ , then they give the same Seiberg-Witten invariant.

Proof: We connect  $(g_j, \eta_j)$  by a path  $(g_t, \eta_t)$  s.t. (1) is satisfied for any  $t$ . Then  $\cup \widetilde{SW}_{g_t}^{-1}(\eta_t)$  is a cobordism between  $\widetilde{SW}_{g_0}^{-1}(\eta_0)$  and  $\widetilde{SW}_{g_1}^{-1}(\eta_1)$ .

$$S^1 \hookrightarrow \bigcup_t \widetilde{SW}_{g_t}^{-1}(\eta_t) \rightarrow \bigcup_t \mathcal{M}_{SW}(g_t, \eta_t).$$

$$\text{so } [\mathcal{M}_{SW}(g_0, \eta_0)] = [\mathcal{M}_{SW}(g_1, \eta_1)] \in H_*(\bigcup_t \mathcal{M}_{SW}(g_t, \eta_t)) \quad \square$$

When  $b_2^+(X) = 1$ ,  $\{(g, \eta) \mid (1)\text{ is satisfied}\}$  has two components called "chambers". Since we have oriented  $H^+$ , it makes sense to talk about "+" chamber, "-" chamber

so we have  $SW^+(X, S)$ ,  $SW^-(X, S)$

(Wall-crossing formula) If  $b_1(X) = 1$ ,

$$SW^+(X, S) - SW^-(X, S) = \pm 1$$

Let's assume  $b^+(X) > 1$ .

$$SW_X : \text{Spin}^c(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem:  $\exists$  only finitely many  $S$  s.t.  $SW_X(S) \neq 0$ .

Alternatively, we can define

$$SW_X : H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\alpha \mapsto \sum_{S \in \text{Spin}^c(X)} SW_X(S)$$

$$c(S) = 2$$

Theorem (Witten) If  $b_2^+(X) > 1$ ,  $X$  has psc metric, then

$$SW_X = 0.$$

$$S_0 = \min_P S(P) > 0$$

Proof: let  $(A, \phi) \in \widetilde{SW}^{-1}(n)$ . Let  $C = \max_P |\phi(P)|$

Then repeat our proof in the compactness theorem.

$$We get C^4 + C^2(-|\eta|_{C^0} + S_0) \leq 0$$

If we choose  $M|C^0| < S_0$ , and  $(\eta, g)$  is admissible.

Then  $C = 0$ , i.e.  $(A, \phi)$  is a reducible solution. which is not possible. So  $\widetilde{SW}^{-1}(n) = \emptyset$ . so  $SW_X(S) = 0$ .  $\square$