

# Lecture notes 2020.10.26 and 10.28

Jianzhang Mei

October 28, 2020

## 1 Convergence of percolation interface

Let  $(D; x, c)$  be a Dobrushin domain, i.e.  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a non-empty bounded simply connected domain with two boundary points  $x, c$  such that  $\partial D$  is a simple closed curve. Denote by  $\overline{xc}$  the boundary arc from  $x$  to  $c$  in counterclockwise order. We will consider the convergence of percolation interface curves in this note. The metric on the curves is the following:

$$d(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) := \inf \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |\gamma_1(t) - \gamma_2(t)|_{\mathbb{R}^2}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the infimum is taken over all parametrizations  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose  $\{(D^{\delta_n}; x^{\delta_n}, c^{\delta_n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of discrete Dobrushin domains on hexagonal lattice with mesh-size  $\delta_n$ . Suppose it converges to  $(D; x, c)$  in the following sense:

- $x^{\delta_n} \rightarrow x$  and  $c^{\delta_n} \rightarrow c$  as  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ ;
- $\overline{x^{\delta_n} c^{\delta_n}} \rightarrow \overline{xc}$  and  $\overline{c^{\delta_n} x^{\delta_n}} \rightarrow \overline{cx}$  as  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$  as curves in metric (1.1).

In  $D^{\delta_n}$ , we perform critical percolation on faces such that the faces near  $\overline{c^{\delta_n} x^{\delta_n}}$  are colored black and those near  $\overline{x^{\delta_n} c^{\delta_n}}$  are colored white. We define the exploration process  $\gamma^{\delta_n}$  from  $x^{\delta_n}$  to  $c^{\delta_n}$  as follows: it starts from  $x^{\delta_n}$  and is targeted at  $c^{\delta_n}$ , and it makes turns so that its left side is black face. The path is uniquely determined by this rule. Our main conclusion is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The exploration process  $\gamma^{\delta_n}$  converges to SLE<sub>6</sub> in  $D$  from  $x$  to  $c$  in law in metric (1.1).*

The strategy of the proof is as follows (we follow [4]):

1. Prove tightness to extract convergent subsequence by Prohorov's theorem (not today);
2. Define/parameterize the hull and identify its driving function.

We Assume Item 1 is done, i.e., we have extracted a convergent subsequence  $\{\gamma^{\delta_n}\}$  that for some random continuous curve  $\gamma$ , we have  $\gamma^{\delta_n} \rightarrow \gamma$  in law. By Skorohod's representation theorem, we can further assume  $\gamma^{\delta_n} \rightarrow \gamma$  almost surely. We will prove  $\gamma$  is distributed like SLE<sub>6</sub> in  $D$  from  $x$  to  $c$ .

To avoid some technical difficulties, hereafter we assume  $D = \mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , the unit disk. We parameterize  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  so that  $\gamma(0) = x$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(t) = c$ , and that it

is not constant in any interval. We denote by  $K_t$  the hull formed by  $\gamma[0, t]$  in  $\overline{D}$  (i.e., closure in  $\overline{D}$  of set of points disconnected by  $\gamma[0, t]$  from  $c$ ), and by  $D_t$  the connected component of  $D \setminus \gamma[0, t]$  that has  $c$  on its boundary. Clearly, we have  $K_t = \overline{D} \setminus D_t$ . In order to relate  $\gamma$  with  $\text{SLE}_6$ , we have to map it onto upper half plane  $\mathbb{H} := \{x + iy : y > 0\}$  and measure it in upper half plane capacity. We fix a conformal map  $\Phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  that sends  $(x, c)$  to  $(0, \infty)$ .

### 1.1 Parameterize $\gamma$

For every  $z \in D$ , let  $\sigma_z$  (resp.  $\sigma_z^{\delta_n}$ ) be the first time at which  $z$  is disconnected from  $c$  by  $\gamma$  in  $D$  (resp. from  $c^{\delta_n}$  by  $\gamma^{\delta_n}$  in  $D^{\delta_n}$ ).

**Lemma 1.2.** *Along some subsequence (still denoted by  $\{\delta_n\}$ ), we have almost surely  $\sigma_z^{\delta_n} \rightarrow \sigma_z$  for all  $z \in D \cap \mathbb{Q}^2$ .*

*Proof.* [1, Lemma 6.1, Lemma 6.2]. □

Hereafter, we consider the subsequence in Lemma 1.2 in place of the original one.

**Lemma 1.3.** *For all  $u' < u$ , there almost surely exists  $v \in (u, u']$  such that  $\gamma(v) \notin \gamma[0, u] \cup \partial D$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{x_j\}$  is a countable dense subset of in  $\gamma[0, u] \cup \partial D$ . By RSW estimate, we may argue that none of them are visited by  $\gamma(u, u']$  almost surely. But  $\gamma$  is not constant in any interval. Therefore, the segment  $\gamma(u, u']$  cannot always stay in  $\gamma[0, u] \cup \partial D$ . □

**Lemma 1.4.** *The process  $t \mapsto K_t$  is strictly increasing almost surely.*

*Proof.* For  $u' > t$ , by Lemma 1.3, there exists  $v \in (t, u')$  that  $\gamma(v)$  is in one of the c.c.'s of  $D \setminus \gamma[0, t]$ . Suppose  $\gamma(v)$  is not in  $D_t$ . Then by Lemma 1.2, there exists  $z$  (coordinates are rational) that is in the same c.c. as  $\gamma(v)$ , and a.s.  $\sigma_z^{\delta_n} \rightarrow \sigma_z$ . Since  $v > u \geq \sigma_z$  and  $\gamma^{\delta_n} \rightarrow \gamma$ , we know  $\gamma^{\delta_n}$  will enter the c.c. it disconnected before. By definition of exploration process, it is not allowed. Therefore, we have  $\gamma(v) \in D_t$ . □

From these three lemmas, we may conclude that the capacity of  $\{\Phi(K_t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is strictly increasing and continuous in time. We parameterize  $\gamma$  by the capacity of  $\{\Phi(K_t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ , i.e., the upper half plane capacity of  $\Phi(K_t)$  is  $t$  for each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

### 1.2 Identify a continuous martingale

Let us add two marked points  $a \in \overline{cx}$ ,  $b \in \overline{cx}$ , and let  $a^{\delta_n} \in \overline{c^{\delta_n}x^{\delta_n}}$ ,  $b^{\delta_n} \in \overline{x^{\delta_n}c^{\delta_n}}$  be their approximations. Define two events:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\delta_n} := \left\{ \gamma^{\delta_n} \text{ hits } \overline{c^{\delta_n}a^{\delta_n}} \text{ before } \overline{b^{\delta_n}c^{\delta_n}} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ \gamma \text{ hits } \overline{ca} \text{ before } \overline{bc} \right\}.$$

Lemma 1.2 tells us  $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}^{\delta_n} \Delta \mathcal{A}] \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\Delta$  means taking symmetric difference. Observe that  $\mathcal{A}^{\delta_n}$  happens if and only if there exists a white crossing from  $\overline{x^{\delta_n}b^{\delta_n}}$  to  $\overline{c^{\delta_n}a^{\delta_n}}$ .

Recall Cardy's formula: If  $(\Omega_\delta; A_\delta, B_\delta, C_\delta, D_\delta) \rightarrow (\Omega; A, B, C, D)$  in the Carathéodory sense (i.e. for some conformal maps  $\phi_\delta : \mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \rightarrow \Omega_\delta$  and  $\phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ , we have  $\phi_\delta \rightarrow \phi$  locally uniformly, and  $\phi_\delta^{-1}(X_\delta) \rightarrow \phi^{-1}(X)$  for  $X \in \{A, B, C, D\}$ ), then

$$\mathbb{P}[A_\delta B_\delta \leftrightarrow C_\delta D_\delta] \rightarrow f(\Omega; A, B, C, D)$$

where  $f$  is conformally invariant and equals  $|AB|/|CD|$  when  $\Omega$  is an equilateral triangle with  $\{A, C, D\}$  as its vertices.

Let us come back to our model. For all  $t \geq 0$ , on the one hand, if  $\gamma[0, t] \cap (\overline{ca} \cup \overline{bc}) = \emptyset$ , then there exists  $N > 0$  ( $N$  may depend on  $\gamma$ ) such that for all  $n \geq N$ , we have  $\gamma^{\delta_n} \cap (\overline{c^{\delta_n} a^{\delta_n}} \cup \overline{b^{\delta_n} c^{\delta_n}}) = \emptyset$ . Observe that now  $\mathcal{A}^{\delta_n}$  happens if and only if there exists a white crossing from  $\overline{\gamma^{\delta_n}(t), b^{\delta_n}}$  to  $\overline{c^{\delta_n} a^{\delta_n}}$  in  $D^{\delta_n} \setminus \gamma^{\delta_n}[0, t]$ . By Cardy's formula, we have as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}^{\delta_n}} | \gamma^{\delta_n}[0, t]] \rightarrow f(D_t; \gamma(t), b, c, a).$$

On the other hand, if  $\gamma[0, t] \cap (\overline{ca} \cup \overline{bc}) \neq \emptyset$ , then by Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}^{\delta_n}} | \gamma^{\delta_n}[0, t]] \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

whose right hand side does not depend on  $t$ . Define

$$X_t := \begin{cases} f(D_t; \gamma(t), b, c, a) & \text{if } \gamma[0, t] \cap (\overline{ca} \cup \overline{bc}) = \emptyset, \\ \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we have  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}^{\delta_n}} | \gamma^{\delta_n}[0, t]] \rightarrow X_t$ . We claim that  $X_t$  is a continuous martingale:

$$X_t = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}} | \gamma[0, t]].$$

Indeed, for all bounded continuous function  $f$  on set of bounded curves (equipped with metric (1.1)), by Dominated Convergence Theorem and the fact  $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}^{\delta_n} \Delta \mathcal{A}] \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[f(\gamma[0, t]) \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}}] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}^{\delta_n}} f(\gamma^{\delta_n}[0, t])] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}^{\delta_n}} | \gamma^{\delta_n}[0, t]] f(\gamma^{\delta_n}[0, t]) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_t f(\gamma[0, t])]. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $X_t$ , we know  $X_t$  is adapted to filtration  $\{\sigma(\gamma[0, t])\}_{t \geq 0}$  so we prove the claim by verifying the definition of conditional expectation. Hence  $X_t$  is a continuous martingale adapted to filtration of  $\gamma$  (although depends on  $a$  and  $b$ ).

### 1.3 Derive the driving function

Recall that  $\Phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is a fixed conformal map that sends  $(x, c)$  to  $(0, \infty)$ . For the two additional marked points  $a$  and  $b$ , we denote the their images by  $a' := \Phi(a)$  and  $b' := \Phi(b)$ . Let  $\{w_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be the driving function of  $\{\Phi(K_t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ , and  $\{g_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be the solution of the following ODE:

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - w_t}, \quad g_0(z) = z.$$

By the definition of driving function, we see that each  $g_t$  is a conformal map from  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \Phi(K_t)$  onto  $\mathbb{H}$  with the property that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} |g_t(z) - z| = 0$ .

Define

$$\Psi(z) := \frac{\int_0^z \frac{du}{u^{2/3}(1-u)^{2/3}}}{\int_0^1 \frac{du}{u^{2/3}(1-u)^{2/3}}}.$$

From Schwarz-Christoffel mapping theorem, we see that  $\Psi$  is the conformal map from the equilateral triangle  $\tilde{\Delta}$  with vertices  $\left(0, 1, \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)$  onto  $\mathbb{H}$  sending  $\left(0, 1, \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)$  to  $(0, 1, \infty)$ . Define

$$R(z) := \frac{z - g_t(a')}{g_t(b') - g_t(a')},$$

then the composition  $\Psi \circ R \circ g_t \circ \Phi$  is the conformal map from  $D_t$  onto  $\tilde{\Delta}$  sending  $(a, \gamma(t), b, c)$  to  $(\tilde{a}, \Phi(R(w_t)), \tilde{b}, \tilde{c})$ . Combining with Cardy's formula, we have

$$X_t = 1 - \Psi(R(w_t)).$$

Note that the processes

$$\{w_t = \Psi^{-1}(1 - X_t)(g_t(b') - g_t(a')) + g_t(a')\}_{t \geq 0}, \quad \{g_t(a')\}_{t \geq 0}, \quad \{g_t(b')\}_{t \geq 0}$$

are all adapted to filtration of  $\gamma$ . We write  $w_t = M_t + V_t$  where  $V_t$  is of bounded variation and  $M_t$  is a local martingale. Denote by

$$Z_t := R(w_t) = \frac{w_t - g_t(a')}{g_t(b') - g_t(a')}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(1 - X_t) &= d\Psi(Z_t) = d\left(\Psi\left(\frac{w_t - g_t(a')}{g_t(b') - g_t(a')}\right)\right) \\ &= \Psi'(Z_t) \left( \frac{dw_t}{g_t(b') - g_t(a')} + \frac{-(w_t - g_t(a'))}{(g_t(b') - g_t(a'))^2} \frac{2dt}{g_t(b') - w_t} + \frac{w_t - g_t(b')}{(g_t(b') - g_t(a'))^2} \frac{2dt}{g_t(a') - w_t} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Psi''(Z_t) \frac{d\langle M, M \rangle_t}{(g_t(b') - g_t(a'))^2} \\ &= \Psi'(Z_t) \frac{dw_t}{g_t(b') - g_t(a')} + \Psi'(Z_t) \left( \frac{1}{1 - Z_t} - \frac{1}{Z_t} \right) \frac{-dt}{(g_t(b') - g_t(a'))^2} + \frac{1}{2} \Psi''(Z_t) \frac{d\langle M, M \rangle_t}{(g_t(b') - g_t(a'))^2} \\ &= \text{local martingale} + \Psi'(Z_t) \frac{dV_t}{g_t(b') - g_t(a')} + \frac{1}{2} \Psi''(Z_t) \frac{1}{(g_t(b') - g_t(a'))^2} (d\langle M, M \rangle_t - 6dt) \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we use  $\Psi''(z) = \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{1}{1-z} - \frac{1}{z} \right) \Psi'(z)$  for all  $z \in \tilde{\Delta}$ . Since  $X_t$  is a martingale, we get

$$\Psi'(Z_t) dV_t + \frac{1}{2} \Psi''(Z_t) \frac{d\langle M, M \rangle_t - 6dt}{g_t(b') - g_t(a')} = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

We choose a sequence  $\{(a'_n, b'_n)\}$  so that  $a'_n \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $b'_n \rightarrow +\infty$ , and that  $Z_t^{(n)} := \frac{w_t - g_t(a'_n)}{g_t(b'_n) - g_t(a'_n)} \in \left(\frac{5}{8}, \frac{3}{4}\right)$ . From Schwarz's Symmetric Principle, we have

$$\Psi'(Z_t^{(n)}) = \Theta(1), \quad \Psi''(Z_t^{(n)}) = \Theta(1).$$

Replacing  $a', b'$  by  $a'_n, b'_n$  in (1.2) and taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$dV_t = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Plugging into (1.2), we have

$$\Psi''(Z_t) \frac{d\langle M, M \rangle_t - 6dt}{g_t(b') - g_t(a')} = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Replacing  $a', b'$  by  $a'_n, b'_n$  in (1.4), we have

$$d\langle M, M \rangle_t = 6dt. \quad (1.5)$$

Equations (1.3) and (1.5) imply that  $w_t$  is  $\sqrt{6}$  times a standard Brownian motion (and is started at  $\Phi(x) = 0$ ), hence  $\gamma$  is distributed like  $\text{SLE}_6$ . This finishes the proof.

## 2 Arm exponent: boundary case

We consider the critical percolation on faces of hexagonal lattice with mesh-size one. Define  $B_{r,R} := \{x \in \mathbb{C} : x = ae^{i\theta} \text{ for } r < a < R, 0 < \theta < \pi\}$ . Let  $A_{r,R}$  be the set of faces that intersect  $B_{r,R}$ . Let  $p \geq 1$  be an integer and  $\sigma \in \{\text{black, white}\}^p$ . We denote by  $P_{r,R}^\sigma$  the probability that there exist  $p$  crossings  $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^p$  in  $A_{r,R}$  from the inner half circle to the outer half circle, arranged in clockwise order, such that  $c_i$  is in color  $\sigma_i$ .

The value  $P_{r,R}^\sigma$  depends only on the cardinality of  $\sigma$ . Indeed, we can explore these crossings, one at a time, by starting exploration processes. By switching the colors in unexplored areas, we can switch the colors of these crossings. Denote by  $P_{r,R}^p$  the value  $P_{r,R}^\sigma$  where  $\#\sigma = p$ . Our main conclusion is the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** *As  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,nR}^p = \Theta(1)R^{-\frac{p(p+1)}{6}}.$$

**Remark 2.2.** *We can estimate  $P_{r,R}^p$  with  $r$  fixed: there exists  $n_0 > 0$  that*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log P_{n_0,R}^p}{\log R} = -\frac{p(p+1)}{6}.$$

*This follows from Theorem 2.1 and some estimates (such as quasi-multiplicativity and extendability) for discrete model. See [3].*

We simply denote the half annulus  $B_{1,R}$  by  $B_R$ , whose four vertices are  $(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4) := (1, R, -R, -1)$ . Recall a standard result in complex analysis: the  $\pi$ -extremal distance (i.e.,  $\pi$  times the extremal distance) from  $\overline{A_4 A_1}$  to  $\overline{A_2 A_3}$  in  $B_R$  is  $\log R$ . We start a  $\text{SLE}_6$  in  $B_R$  from  $A_4$  to  $A_2$  until it hits  $\overline{A_1 A_3}$ . We denote by  $S$  the compact hull of this process, and by  $G$  the c.c. of  $B_R \setminus S$  that has  $A_1$  on its boundary, and by  $\mathcal{L}$  the  $\pi$ -extremal distance from  $\overline{A_4 A_1}$  to  $\overline{A_2 A_3}$  in  $G$ . Note that if  $S$  hits  $\overline{A_1 A_2}$ , then  $\mathcal{L} = \infty$ . To prove Theorem 2.1, we need the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.3.** *As  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{E} [\mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{L} < \infty\}} \exp(-\lambda \mathcal{L})] = \Theta(1)R^{-u(\lambda)}$$

where  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $u(\lambda) = \frac{6\lambda+1+\sqrt{24\lambda+1}}{6}$ .

## 2.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1

We will first construct a series of SLE<sub>6</sub>'s, and then use Theorem 2.3 together with convergence of exploration processes to derive the desired result. We construct these SLE<sub>6</sub> as follows.

- Let  $Q^{(1)} := A_4$  and  $D_1 := B_R$ . We start a SLE<sub>6</sub> in  $D_1$  from  $Q^{(1)}$  to  $A_2$  until it hits  $\overline{A_1 A_3}$ . Denote by  $S_1$  the hull of this SLE. If  $S_1 \cap \overline{A_1 A_2} \neq \emptyset$  then we stop the construction; otherwise, we let  $Q^{(2)}$  be the point in  $S_1 \cap \overline{A_4 A_1}$  with least argument, and  $D_2$  be the c.c. of  $D_1 \setminus S_1$  that has  $A_1$  on its boundary.
- Suppose for some  $p \geq 2$ , we have constructed  $p - 1$  SLE's and obtained starting point  $Q^{(p)}$ , domain  $D_p$ , and compact hull  $S_{p-1}$  that does not intersect  $\overline{A_1 A_2}$ . We start a SLE<sub>6</sub> in  $D_p$  from  $Q^{(p)}$  to  $A_2$  until it hits  $\overline{A_1 A_3}$ . Denote by  $S_p$  the hull of this SLE. If  $S_p \cap \overline{A_1 A_2} \neq \emptyset$  then we stop the construction; otherwise, we let  $Q^{(p+1)}$  be the point in  $S_p \cap \overline{A_4 A_1}$  with least argument, and  $D_{p+1}$  be the c.c. of  $D_p \setminus S_p$  that has  $A_1$  on its boundary. We repeat this procedure until we stop somewhere.

Denote by  $f_p(R)$  the probability that we can start at least  $(p + 1)$  SLE<sub>6</sub>'s in the above procedure. On the one hand, by convergence of exploration process to SLE<sub>6</sub> in Dobrushin domain, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,nR}^p = f_p(R).$$

On the other hand, by induction, we have

$$f_p(R) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{L} < \infty\}} f_{p-1}(\exp(\mathcal{L})) \right].$$

Theorem 2.3 with  $\lambda = 0$  tells us that  $f_1(R) = \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{L} < \infty] = \Theta(1)R^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ . We will prove by induction that, for each  $p \geq 1$ , we have

$$f_p(R) = \Theta(1)R^{-\frac{p(p+1)}{6}}. \quad (2.1)$$

Suppose we have derived (2.1) for some  $p \geq 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{p+1}(R) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{L} < \infty\}} f_p(\exp(\mathcal{L})) \right] \\ &= \Theta(1) \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{L} < \infty\}} \exp \left( \frac{-p(p+1)}{6} \mathcal{L} \right) \right] \\ &= \Theta(1) R^{-u \left( \frac{p(p+1)}{6} \right)} \quad (\text{Use Theorem 2.3}) \\ &= \Theta(1) R^{-\frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{6}}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the induction. Therefore, we obtain that for  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,nR}^p = \Theta(1)R^{-\frac{p(p+1)}{6}}.$$

## 2.2 Proof of Theorem 2.3

We follow [2] in this subsection. Let  $\Phi$  be a conformal map from  $B_R$  onto upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$  that sends  $(A_1, A_2, A_3)$  to  $(1, \infty, 0)$ . Let  $\tilde{x} = \Phi(A_4)$ . A standard estimate in complex analysis tells us that, as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$R = \Theta(1)(1 - \tilde{x})^{-1}.$$

We start a SLE<sub>6</sub> in  $\mathbb{H}$  from  $\tilde{x}$  to  $\infty$ . We denote by  $\{K_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  the collection of its compact hulls, and by  $\{W_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  its driving function (a Brownian motion started at  $\tilde{x}$  with quadratic variation  $6dt$ ). Let  $\{g_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be the solution of the following ODE:

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - W_t}, \quad g_t(z) = z.$$

Then, each  $g_t$  is the conformal map from  $\mathbb{H} \setminus K_t$  onto  $\mathbb{H}$  with the property that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} |g_t(z) - z| = 0$ . Define

$$T_0 := \inf\{t : K_t \cap (-\infty, 0] \neq \emptyset\}, \quad T_1 := \inf\{t : K_t \cap [1, \infty) \neq \emptyset\}, \quad T = T_0 \wedge T_1,$$

and

$$f_t(z) := \frac{g_t(z) - g_t(0)}{g_t(1) - g_t(0)}.$$

Note that  $f_t$  is a renormalization of  $g_t$  that fixes points  $(0, 1, \infty)$ . We can prove that  $f'_T(1) > 0$  if and only if  $T_0 < T_1$ , and that  $T < \infty$  almost surely. See [2]. For  $b \geq 0$  and  $0 < x < 1$ , we define

$$\Lambda(x, b) := \mathbb{E}_{1-x} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{T_0 < T_1\}} f'_T(1)^b \right],$$

$$\Omega(x, b) := \mathbb{E}_{1-x} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{T_0 < T_1\}} (1 - N_T)^b \right]$$

where  $N_T = f_T(\max(K_T \cap \mathbb{R}))$ , and  $\mathbb{P}_y$  is the probability measure of SLE<sub>6</sub> in  $\mathbb{H}$  that starts at  $y$ .

Recall that the hypergeometric function with index  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is defined as

$${}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n (\beta)_n}{(\gamma)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!},$$

where  $(u)_0 := 1$  and  $(u)_n := u(u+1)\dots(u+n-1)$  for  $n \geq 1$ . The function  ${}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \cdot)$  solves

$$(x-1)xF''(x) + (\gamma - (\alpha + \beta + 1)x)F'(x) - \alpha\beta F(x) = 0.$$

To prove Theorem 2.3, we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.4.** *We have*

$$\Lambda(x, b) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{-2\hat{b}} \Gamma(5/6 + \hat{b})}{\Gamma(1/3) \Gamma(1 + \hat{b})} x^{1/6 + \hat{b}} {}_2F_1(1/6 + \hat{b}, 1/2 + \hat{b}, 1 + 2\hat{b}; x)$$

where  $\hat{b} = \frac{\sqrt{24b+1}}{6}$ , and  ${}_2F_1$  is the hypergeometric function.

**Lemma 2.5.** *For all  $x \in (0, 1)$ , we have*

$$\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^b \Lambda(x/2, b) \leq \Omega(x, b) \leq x^b \Lambda(x, b).$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.3 (assuming these two lemmas).* Denote by  $\mathcal{L}^*$  the  $\pi$ -extremal distance from  $(-\infty, 0]$  to  $(N_T, 1)$ . Conformal invariance of SLE gives that  $\mathcal{L} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathcal{L}^*$ . We have a standard estimate in complex analysis:

$$\mathcal{L}^* = -\log(1 - N_T) + O(1)$$

where  $O(1)$  is a quantity with bound that does not depend on  $N_T$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{L} < \infty\}} \exp(-\lambda \mathcal{L}) \right] &= \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x}} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{L}^* < \infty\}} \exp(-\lambda \mathcal{L}^*) \right] \\ &= \Theta(1) \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x}} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{T_0 < T_1\}} (1 - N_T)^\lambda \right] \\ &= \Theta(1) \Omega(1 - \tilde{x}, \lambda) \\ &= \Theta(1) (1 - \tilde{x})^\lambda (1 - \tilde{x})^{\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 24\lambda}}{6}} \quad (\text{By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5}) \\ &= \Theta(1) (1 - \tilde{x})^{u(\lambda)} \\ &= \Theta(1) R^{-u(\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

We now prove Lemma 2.4.

*Proof of Lemma 2.4.* Recall that  $f_t(z) = \frac{g_t(z) - g_t(0)}{g_t(1) - g_t(0)}$ . We define

$$Z_t = \frac{W_t - g_t(0)}{g_t(1) - g_t(0)}$$

and time-change

$$s = s(t) = \int_0^t \frac{du}{(g_u(1) - g_u(0))^2}, \quad \text{for } t < T.$$

Since  $T < \infty$  almost surely, we have  $s_0 := \lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} s(t) < \infty$  almost surely. Define

$$\tilde{Z}(s) := Z(t(s)), \quad \tilde{f}_s(z) := f_{t(s)}(z), \quad \alpha(s) := \log \tilde{f}'_s(1).$$

Then, by using Ito's formula, we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{Z}_s &= dX_s + \left( \frac{2}{\tilde{Z}(s)} - \frac{2}{1 - \tilde{Z}(s)} \right) ds, \\ \partial_s(\alpha(s)) &= \frac{-2}{(\tilde{Z}(s) - 1)^2} - \frac{2}{\tilde{Z}(s)} - \frac{2}{1 - \tilde{Z}(s)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $X$  is a Brownian motion with quadratic variation  $6ds$ . Hence  $(\tilde{Z}(s), \alpha(s))$  is a continuous Markov process. Define

$$y(x, v) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp(b\alpha(s_0)) \mid \tilde{Z}(0) = x, \alpha(0) = v \right].$$

Then we have the following facts:



- The process  $Y_s := y\left(\tilde{Z}(s), \alpha(s)\right)$  is a local martingale (by the Strong Markov Property). The drift term in Ito's formula of  $dY$  vanishes, which gives

$$\left(\frac{2}{x} - \frac{2}{1-x}\right) \partial_x y + 3\partial_{xx} y + \left(\frac{-2}{(x-1)^2} + \frac{-2}{x} + \frac{-2}{1-x}\right) \partial_v y = 0;$$

- We have that  $y(x, v) = \exp(bv)y(x, 0)$  and that  $y(1-x, 0) = \Lambda(x, b) =: h(x)$ . Therefore,

$$y(x, v) = \exp(bv)h(1-x).$$

Combining these two facts, we have

$$-2bh(x) + 2x(1-2x)h'(x) + 3x^2(1-x)h''(x) = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

We solve (2.2) by looking for solutions of type

$$h(x) = x^c z(x)$$

where  $c$  is some constant. After discarding a solution that blows up at 0, we know  $\Lambda(x, b) = h(x)$  is of the desired form. □

*Proof of Lemma 2.5.* [2, Lemma 3.3]. □

## References

- [1] Federico Camia and Charles M Newman. Critical percolation exploration path and  $\text{SLE}_6$ : a proof of convergence. *Probability theory and related fields*, 139(3-4):473–519, 2007.
- [2] Gregory Lawler, Oded Schramm, and Wendelin Werner. Values of brownian intersection exponents i: Half-plane exponents. *Acta Mathematica*, 187, 11 2000.
- [3] Pierre Nolin. Near-critical percolation in two dimensions. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 13, 12 2007.
- [4] Wendelin Werner. Lectures on two-dimensional critical percolation. 11 2007.