

FUNCTIONAL TRANSCENDENCE ON BOUNDED SYMMETRIC DOMAINS

Ngaiming Mok

The University of Hong Kong

Chern 110th Birthday Anniversary Conference

Tsinghua University

Beijing, China

October 12, 2021

Moduli Space of Elliptic Curves

An elliptic curve is complex-analytically a compact Riemann surface S of genus 1. In other words, $S := \mathbb{C}/L$ for some lattice $L \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Replacing L by λL for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$, without loss of generality we may assume $L_\tau = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$, $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$, i.e., $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H} := \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$, the upper half plane. Write $S_\tau := \mathbb{C}/L_\tau$.

For $\tau, \tau' \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $S_\tau \cong S_{\tau'}$ **if and only** if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $L_{\tau'} = \lambda L_\tau$, **i.e.**, if and only if $\tau' = \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}$ **where** $ad - bc \neq 0$. **Thus, the set of equivalence classes of \mathbb{C}/L is in one-to-one correspondence with $X = X(1) := \mathcal{H}/\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. We have the j -function $j : X(1) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}$, and $\overline{X(1)} = \mathbb{P}^1$.**

$\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathcal{H} with fixed points. One can introduce a refinement of the equivalence relation by enriching \mathbb{C}/L with an additional piece of data.

The j -function

On the upper half plane $\mathbf{H} = \{\tau : \text{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$ define

$$j(\tau) = 1728 \frac{g_2(\tau)^3}{g_2(\tau)^3 - 27g_3(\tau)^2} = 1728 \frac{g_2(\tau)^3}{\Delta(\tau)}$$

where $g_2(\tau) = 60 \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} (m+n\tau)^{-4}$; $g_3(\tau) = 140 \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} (m+n\tau)^{-6}$.

and $\Delta(\tau) := g_2(\tau)^3 - 27g_3(\tau)^2$ is the modular discriminant.

The j -function establishes a biholomorphism $j : \mathbf{H}/\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}$.

Torsion-free Lattices Arising from Level- N Structures

Take $N > 1$ to be an integer. Then, the set of N -torsion points $\mathfrak{T}(n)$ of $S = \mathbb{C}/L_\tau$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, but the isomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{T}(N) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ is not unique. If we classify objects (X, φ) consisting of an elliptic curve X together with a choice of isomorphism of abelian groups φ , then we have more equivalence classes and the set of equivalence classes $X(N)$ of (S, φ) is in one-to-one correspondence with $\mathcal{H}/\Gamma(N)$, where $\Gamma(N) \subset \mathrm{PSL}(\mathbb{Z})$ consists of projectivizations of elements $T \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $T \equiv I_2$ (the 2-by-2 identity matrix) modulo N .

Write $X(N) := \mathbb{C}/\Gamma(N)$. $X(n)$ classifies elliptic curves equipped with level N -structures. $\Gamma(N) \subset \Gamma(1) = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ are called principal congruence subgroups. **For $N \geq 3$, $\Gamma(N)$ is torsion free, and $X(N)$ inherits from the Poincaré metric on the unit disk Δ a Hermitian metric of constant negative Gaussian curvature and finite volume.**

Moduli Spaces of Polarized Abelian Varieties

Let $\tau, \tau' \in M_s(n, n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\text{Im}(\tau') > 0$ and $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$. Then $L_{\tau'} = \Lambda L_{\tau}$ for some $\Lambda \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ if and only if there exists $\gamma \in \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\tau' = \gamma\tau$. **Thus, the set of equivalence classes of PPAV is given by the quotient space $\mathcal{A}_n := \mathcal{H}_n / \mathbb{P}\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$.**

Let $N \geq 2$ be an integer. For an n -dimensional compact complex torus \mathbb{T} **the abelian group of N -torsion points $\mathfrak{T}_N(\mathbb{T})$ is given by $\mathfrak{T}_N(\mathbb{T}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{2n}$.** Consider the set of equivalence classes of (A, φ) where A is a principally polarized abelian variety and $\varphi : \mathfrak{T}_N(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{2n}$. Denote by $\Gamma(N) \subset \text{Sp}(n; \mathbb{Z})$ the subgroup of matrices T congruent to I_{2n} modulo N . **Then, the set $\mathcal{A}_n(N)$ of equivalence classes of (A, φ) is given by $\mathcal{H}_n / \Gamma(N)$.** For $N \geq 3$, $\Gamma(N) \subset \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ **is torsion-free.**

Moduli Spaces of Polarized Abelian Varieties

$\mathcal{A}_n(N)$ is a complex manifold equipped with a quotient metric g induced by the Bergman metric on the Siegel upper half plane \mathcal{H}_n . $(\mathcal{A}_n(N), g)$ is a complete Kähler-Einstein manifold of nonpositive holomorphic bisectional curvature, negative Ricci curvature and finite volume. By Satake compactification, $\mathcal{A}_n(g)$ is canonically equipped with a quasi-projective structure obtained from Poincaré series.

Imposing extra conditions on the PPAV $A_\tau = \mathbb{C}^n/L_\tau$, $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_n$, we obtain subvarieties of $\mathcal{A}_n(N)$ of the form \mathcal{D}/Γ where $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ is a totally geodesic complex submanifold biholomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain and $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ is a lattice. These are Shimura varieties. The prototype of such varieties were constructed by Shimura by requiring that A_τ has extra endomorphisms. In general, D/Γ is called a Shimura variety whenever $D \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is a bounded symmetric domain and $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(D)$ is an arithmetic lattice.

Bounded Symmetric Domains

Classical cases

$$D^I(p, q) = \{Z \in M(p, q, \mathbb{C}) : I - \bar{Z}^t Z > 0\}, \quad p, q \geq 1$$

$$D^{II}(n, n) = \{Z \in D_{n,n}^I : Z^t = -Z\}, \quad n \geq 2$$

$$D^{III}(n, n) = \{Z \in D_{n,n}^I : Z^t = Z\}, \quad n \geq 3$$

$$D_n^{IV} = \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\|^2 < 2 ; \right. \\ \left. \|z\|^2 < 1 + \left| \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 \right|^2 \right\}, \quad n \geq 3.$$

Exceptional Domains

D^V , dim 16, type E_6

D^{VI} , dim 27, type E_7

Bergman Kernels for Classical Domains

$$K_{B^n}(z, w) = \frac{c_n}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1}};$$

$$K_{D^{I(p,q)}}(Z, W) = \frac{c_{p,q}}{\det(I_p - Z\bar{W}^t)^{p+q}}.$$

$$K_{D^{II(n,n)}}(Z, W) = \frac{a_n}{\det(I_n + Z\bar{W})^{n-1}}.$$

$$K_{D^{III(n,n)}}(Z, W) = \frac{b_n}{\det(I_n - Z\bar{W})^{n+1}}.$$

$$K_{D_n^{IV}}(z, w) = \frac{e_n}{\left(1 - z \cdot \bar{w} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} z_i^2 \bar{w}_j^2\right)^n}$$

Asymptotic Constancy of Holomorphic Sectional Curvatures on a Strictly Pseudoconvex Domain

Theorem (Klembeck [KI78])

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain, ρ be a smooth real function on U and b be a point on U . Suppose $\rho(b) = 0$ and $d\rho(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in U$. **Assume that ρ is strictly plurisubharmonic on U , i.e., $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\rho > 0$ on U .** Let $U' \subset U$ be defined by $\rho < 0$, and g be the Kähler metric on U' whose Kähler form is given by $\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(-\log(-\rho))$. **Then (U', g) is asymptotically of constant holomorphic sectional curvature -2 at b .**

Klembeck's result is computational in nature. The following gives a suggestion why one should examine whether holomorphic sectional curvatures are asymptotically -2 .

Algebraic Extension of Holomorphic Isometries between Bounded Domains with Rational Bergman Kernels

Theorem (Mok [Mo12, JEMS])

Let $D \Subset \mathbb{C}^n$, resp. $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{C}^N$, be bounded domains. Let $x_0 \in D$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda > 0$, and $f : (D, \lambda ds_D^2; x_0) \rightarrow (\Omega, ds_\Omega^2; f(x_0))$ be a germ of holomorphic isometry. Suppose the Bergman kernel $K_D(z, w)$ extends as a rational function in (z, w) and $K_\Omega(\Xi, \xi)$ extends as a rational function in $(\Xi, \bar{\xi})$. Then, the germ of $\text{Graph}(f) \subset D \times \Omega$ at $(x_0, f(x_0))$ extends to an irreducible affine-algebraic subvariety $S^\sharp \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^N$. If (Ω, ds_Ω^2) is complete as a Kähler manifold, then $S := S^\sharp \cap (D \times \Omega)$ is the graph of a holomorphic isometric embedding $F : (D, \lambda ds_D^2) \rightarrow (\Omega, ds_\Omega^2)$. If furthermore (D, ds_D^2) is complete, then $F : D \rightarrow \Omega$ is proper.

Theorem (Chan-Mok [CM21], JDG)

Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^N$ be a bounded symmetric domain in its Harish-Chandra realization equipping with the Bergman metric ds_Ω^2 . Let $\mu : U = \mathbb{B}^1(b_0, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ be a holomorphic embedding such that $\mu(U \cap \Delta) \subset \Omega$ and $\mu(U \cap \partial\Delta) \subset \partial\Omega$. **Denote by $\sigma(z)$ the second fundamental form of $\mu(U \cap \Delta)$ in (Ω, ds_Ω^2) at $z = \mu(w)$. Then, $\lim_{w \in U \cap \Delta, w \rightarrow b} \|\sigma(\mu(w))\| = 0$ for general point $b \in U \cap \partial\Delta$.**

Theorem (Chan-Mok [CM21])

Let $f : (\Delta, \lambda ds_\Delta^2) \rightarrow (\Omega, ds_\Omega^2)$ be a holomorphic isometric embedding, where λ is a positive real constant and $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^N$ is a bounded symmetric domain in its Harish-Chandra realization. **Then, f is asymptotically totally geodesic at a general point $b \in \partial\Delta$.**

Why should Poincaré disks in BSDs be asymp. geodesic?

Heuristics: Argue by contradiction. Suppose otherwise. By rescaling one should be able to extract a nonstandard holomorphic isometry which is as close to being an equivariant holomorphic isometric embedding as possible.

Conceptual Difficulty: But a non-totally geodesic equivariant holomorphic isometric embedding of the Riemann Sphere with Gauss curvature $+1$ into \mathbb{P}^n does exist, given by Veronese embeddings. To justify the heuristics one has to be able to make a distinction between model spaces of negative curvature (BSDs) against those of positive curvature.

The Solution: First we consider tube domains and embedded Poincaré disks where tangent vectors are of maximal rank. We obtain a contradiction from the Poincaré-Lelong equation. Then, we consider the general case by solving a non-equidimensional integrability problem of inserting a sufficiently small tube domain between the Poincaré disk and the bounded symmetric domain.

Proposition *Let $f_0 : (\Delta, \lambda ds_\Delta^2) \rightarrow (\Omega, ds_\Omega^2)$ be a holomorphic isometric embedding. Suppose $Z_0 := f_0(\Delta) \subset \Omega$ is not asymptotically totally geodesic at a generic point $b \in \partial Z_0$. **Then, there exists by rescaling a holomorphic isometric embedding $f : (\Delta, \lambda ds_\Delta^2) \rightarrow (\Omega, ds_\Omega^2)$, $f(\Delta) =: Z$ with the following property.***

(†) All tangent lines $T_x(Z)$, $x \in Z$, are equivalent under $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$.

Total Geodesy of Certain Curves on Tube Domains

Proposition

Let Ω be an irreducible bounded symmetric domain of tube type and of rank r ; $Z \subset \Omega$ be a local holomorphic curve with $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$ -equivalent tangent planes spanned by vectors of rank r . **Then, $Z \subset \Omega$ is totally geodesic and of rank r (i.e. of diagonal type).**

Proof. $\pi : \mathbb{P}T_\Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, $L \rightarrow \mathbb{P}T_\Omega$ tautological line bundle.

$[\mathcal{S}] \cong L^{-r} \otimes \pi^*E^2$, E dual to $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on the compact dual M of Ω .

$$(2\pi)^{-1} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \|s\|^2 = rc_1(L, \hat{g}_0) - 2c_1(\pi^*E, \pi^*h_0),$$

where \hat{g}_0 and h_0 are canonical metrics. $\|s(x)\|$ only depends on the $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$ -isomorphism type of $T_x(\Omega)$. Thus, $\|s\| = \text{constant}$ on Z . Hence,

$$0 = rc_1(L, \hat{g}_0) - 2c_1(\pi^*E, \pi^*h_0).$$

\Leftrightarrow Gauss curvature $K(x) = -2/r$, and $\sigma \equiv 0$. \square

Inserting a Total Geodesic Complex Submanifold Ω' so that Tangent Spaces of $Z \subset \Omega'$ are of Maximal Rank

Proposition

Let Ω be an irreducible bounded symmetric domain, $Z \subset \Omega$ be a local holomorphic curve with $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$ -equivalent tangent planes $T_x(\Omega) = \mathbb{C}\eta_x$.

Suppose $\text{rank}(\eta_x) =: k < r := \text{rank}(\Omega)$. Then, there exists a holomorphic vector bundle $W \subset T_\Omega|_Z := T$ such that

- (a) for the second fundamental form $\tau : T_Z \otimes W \rightarrow T/W$ we have $\bar{\partial}\tau|_{T_Z \times T_Z} \equiv 0$;
- (b) as a consequence W is parallel on Z ;
- (c) there exists a totally geodesic complex submanifold $\Omega' \subset \Omega$, such that $\Omega' \supset Z$ and $T_x(\Omega') = W_x$ for any $x \in Z$;
- (d) Ω' is irreducible and $\text{rank}(\Omega') = k < \text{rank}(\Omega)$.

Inserting a Tube Domain Containing Z

Proposition

Let Ω be an irreducible bounded symmetric domain, and $Z \subset \Omega$ be a local holomorphic curve with $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$ -equivalent tangent planes. **Then, there exists a holomorphic vector subbundle $V \subset T_\Omega|_Z := T$ such that**

(a) For the second fundamental form $\tau : T_Z \otimes V \rightarrow T/V$ we have

$$\bar{\partial}\tau|_{T_Z \otimes T_Z} = 0;$$

(b) as a consequence V is parallel on Z ;

(c) there exists a totally geodesic complex submanifold $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ such that $\Omega' \supset Z$ and $T_x(\Omega') = V_x$ for any $x \in Z$;

(d) Ω' is irreducible and of tube type.

Application to Equivariant Holomorphic Isometries

As a first application we derive a rigidity result on equivariant holomorphic isometries, which was due to Clozel [Cl07] in the classical cases.

Theorem (Chan-Mok [CM21])

Let D and Ω be bounded symmetric domains, $\Phi : \text{Aut}_0(D) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(\Omega)$ be a group homomorphism, and $F : D \rightarrow \Omega$ be a Φ -equivariant holomorphic map. **Then, F is totally geodesic.**

After reducing to the case where D is irreducible, the latter theorem follows from the total geodesy of embedded Poincaré disks by polarization of the vanishing statements $\sigma(\gamma, \gamma) = 0$ of the second fundamental form σ of $Z := F(D) \subset \Omega$ when restricted to **(a)** $\gamma = dF(\alpha)$ where α is tangent to a minimal disk Δ on D , **(b)** where $\gamma = dF(\beta)$ where β is a vector of rank 2 tangent to a degree-2 totally geodesic disk Δ' .

Theorem (Ullmo-Yafaev [UY11])

Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^N$ be a bounded symmetric domain in its Harish-Chandra realization, $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\Omega)$ be an arithmetic torsion-free lattice. Write $X_\Gamma := \Omega/\Gamma$, $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ for the uniformization map. Let $Y \subset X_\Gamma$ be an irreducible subvariety, and $Z \subset \Omega$ be an irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}(Y)$. Suppose $Z \subset \Omega$ is an algebraic subset. **Then, $Z \subset \Omega$ is totally geodesic.**

The proof of [UY11] relies heavily on the monodromy result of André-Deligne [An92] on (quasi-)projective subvarieties of Shimura varieties, which in turn depends on Hodge structures and mixed Hodge structures. Such results are not known to be applicable in the case of nonarithmetic lattices.

Algebraic Subsets of a Bounded Symmetric Domain Invariant under a Discrete Cocompact Group Action

Theorem (Chan-Mok [CM21])

Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^N$ be a bounded symmetric domain in its Harish-Chandra realization, and $Z \subset \Omega$ be an algebraic subset. Suppose there exists a torsion-free discrete subgroup $\check{\Gamma} \subset \text{Aut}(\Omega)$ such that $\check{\Gamma}$ stabilizes Z and $Z/\check{\Gamma}$ is compact. **Then, $Z \subset \Omega$ is totally geodesic.**

Corollary (Chan-Mok [CM21])

Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^N$ be as in Theorem, $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\Omega)$ be a torsion-free cocompact lattice. Write $X_\Gamma := \Omega/\Gamma$, $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ for the uniformization map. Let $Y \subset X_\Gamma$ be an irreducible subvariety, and $Z \subset \Omega$ be an irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}(Y)$. Suppose $Z \subset \Omega$ is an algebraic subset. **Then, $Z \subset \Omega$ is totally geodesic.**

Pseudo-homogeneity of Z under a Complex Lie Group

For the Borel embedding of a bounded symmetric domain $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{C}^N \subset X_c$ into its compact dual we write $X_c = G/P$, where G is the identity component of $\text{Aut}(X_c)$, G_0 is the identity component of $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$, $G_0 \subset G$ being a noncompact real form. We have

Proposition

*Let $Z \subset \Omega$ be an irreducible algebraic subset. Suppose there exists a torsion-free discrete subgroup $\check{\Gamma} \subset \text{Aut}(Z)$ such that $\check{Y} = Z/\check{\Gamma}$ is quasi-projective. Let $H_0 \subset G_0$ be the identity component of the (positive-dimensional) stabilizer subgroup of Z , and $H \subset G$ be the complexification of H_0 inside G . **Then, Z is an irreducible component of $Hx \cap \Omega$ for any $z \in Z$.***

Proof of Proposition by the Maximum Principle

Proof. There is a unique projective variety $\widehat{Z} \subset X_c$ such that Z is an irreducible component of $\widehat{Z} \cap \Omega$. Since G acts algebraically on X_c , the stabilizer subgroup $H_0 \subset G_0$ of Z is algebraic. Since $\text{Card}(\check{\Gamma}) = \infty$, $\dim(H_0) > 0$. Suppose Proposition fails. Then, there exists algebraic $E \subsetneq Z$ such that $E \supset Hx \cap Z$. There exists a projective subvariety $\widehat{E} \subset X_c$ such that E is a finite union of irreducible components of $\widehat{E} \cap \Omega$. **Let now $P(z_1, \dots, z_N)$ be a complex polynomial in N variables ($N = \dim(\Omega)$) such that $P|_{\widehat{E} \cap \Omega} \equiv 0$ and such that $P|_{\widehat{Z} \cap \Omega} \neq 0$.**

We derive a contradiction by the maximum principle. Define $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\Phi(z) = \sup\{|P(\gamma z)| : \gamma \in \check{\Gamma}\}$. Write $f_\gamma(z) := P(\gamma z)$ for $z \in \Omega$. Regarding $\{f_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \check{\Gamma}}$ as a family of holomorphic functions on Ω , We have the uniform bound $|f_\gamma(z)| \leq \sup(|P|_{\overline{\Omega}}) < \infty$. **From Cauchy estimates, $\{f_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \check{\Gamma}}$ is uniformly Lipschitz on any compact subset of Ω , hence Φ is Lipschitz, in particular continuous, on Ω . Thus, Φ is a bounded plurisubharmonic function on Ω .** Restricting to Z We have

By the definition of Φ we have $\Phi(\gamma z) = \Phi(z)$ for any $\gamma \in \check{\Gamma}$, hence we obtain by descent a nonconstant bounded plurisubharmonic function φ on \check{Y} . Then, φ is a nonconstant bounded plurisubharmonic function defined on \check{Y} . **By the Riemann extension theorem for bounded plurisubharmonic functions, φ extends to a plurisubharmonic function, to be denoted by the same symbol, on smooth compactification $\bar{\check{Y}} \supset Y$. By the maximum principle for plurisubharmonic functions φ must necessarily be a constant, a plain contradiction.**

Now, since the complex algebraic group $H \subset G$ acts algebraically on X_c , the Zariski closure of Hx in $\hat{Z} \subset X_c$ is the same as its topological closure, and we conclude that $\overline{Hx} \cap Z = Z$. **Suppose now $Hx \cap Z \subsetneq Z$ and let $y \in Z - Hx$. The same argument applies to y (in place of x) and we have $\overline{Hy} \cap Z = Z$, contradicting with the fact that Hx and Hy are distinct and hence disjoint orbits.** We conclude that $Hx \cap Z = Z$ for any $x \in Z$. Hence, Z is an irreducible component of $Hx \cap \Omega$, as desired. \square

Nadel's Semisimplicity Theorem

Theorem (Nadel [Na90])

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with ample canonical line bundle, and denote by $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ the uniformization map. **Then, $\text{Aut}_0(\tilde{X})$ is a semisimple Lie group without compact factors.**

Lemma Let $Z \subset \Omega$ be an algebraic subset, and let $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ be the smallest totally geodesic complex submanifold containing Z . Suppose $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(\Omega')$ such that $\gamma|_Z = \text{id}_Z$. Then, $\gamma = \text{id}_{\Omega'}$.

Proposition

Suppose there exists a torsion-free discrete subgroup $\check{\Gamma} \subset \text{Aut}(\Omega)$ such that $\check{\Gamma}$ stabilizes Z and $Z/\check{\Gamma}$ is compact. Let $H_0 \subset \text{Aut}(\Omega)$ be the identity component of the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$ which stabilizes Z . **Then, $H_0 \subset \text{Aut}(\Omega)$ is a semisimple Lie group without compact factors.**

Bi-algebraicity by means of Nadel's Theorem

Maps inducing the representation $\theta : \check{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow H_0 \subset G_0 = \text{Aut}_0(\Omega)$

Without loss of generality assume $(i_Y)_* \pi_1(Y) = \check{\Gamma} \subset H_0$, $i_Y : Y \hookrightarrow Z_{\check{\Gamma}}$. By Nadel's Theorem, H_0 is a semisimple Lie group without compact factors which acts on Ω . **The homomorphism $\theta : \check{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow H_0 \subset G_0$ is a discrete representation.** Write $L \subset H_0$ for a maximal compact subgroup. Let $f : Y \rightarrow \check{\Gamma} \backslash H_0 / L =: S_{\check{\Gamma}}$ be any smooth map which induces the representation θ . **Since (Ω, ds_{Ω}^2) is a Cartan-Hadamard manifold, i.e., a simply connected complete Riemannian manifold of nonpositive Riemannian sectional curvature, the center of gravity argument gives a point $x \in \Omega$ which is fixed by L .**

Regard H_0/L as the orbit $H_0 x \subset \Omega = G_0/K$, $L \subset K = \text{Isot}_x(\Omega, ds_{\Omega}^2)$, hence $S_{\check{\Gamma}} \hookrightarrow X_{\check{\Gamma}} := \check{\Gamma} \backslash \Omega = \check{\Gamma} \backslash G/K$ as a real analytic submanifold.

Total Geodesy of $Z \subset \Omega$

Since $X_{\check{r}}$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$, the two smooth maps $f, g : Y \rightarrow X_{\check{r}}$ inducing the representation θ are homotopic to each other.

Denote by ω the Kähler form of the canonical KE metric on $X_{\check{r}}$. H_0 acts on Ω . For any $x \in X$, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(S_{\check{r}}) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(H_0x) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} Z = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} Y := 2m.$$

By homotopy $\int_Y (g^*\omega)^m = \int_Y (f^*\omega)^m$. The first integral gives $m! \text{Vol}(Y, \omega|_Y) > 0$. **A contradiction would arise if we had strict inequality of dimensions.** Hence, equality holds, Z is homogeneous under H_0 , and H_0 is of Hermitian type. **Thus, $Z \subset \Omega$ is the image of an equivariant holomorphic map between bounded symmetric domains. By [CM21], $Z \subset \Omega$ is totally geodesic.**

The Hyperbolic Ax-Lindemann Theorem

The following result is the solution to **the Hyperbolic Ax-Lindemann Conjecture**, the geometric component of **the André-Oort Conjecture on Shimura varieties** according to the strategy of Pila-Zannier. It was preceded by the work of Ullmo-Yafaev [UY14] in the case of cocompact lattices, and the work of Pila-Tsimerman [PT14] in the case of Siegel modular varieties.

Theorem (Klingler-Ullmo-Yafaev [KUY16])

Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^N$ be a bounded symmetric domain in its Harish-Chandra realization, $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\Omega)$ be an arithmetic torsion-free lattice. Write $X_\Gamma := \Omega/\Gamma$, $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ for the uniformization map. Let $Z \subset \Omega$ be an irreducible algebraic subset and denote by $\mathcal{Z} = \overline{\pi(Z)}^{\mathcal{Z}ar} \subset X_\Gamma$ the Zariski closure of image of Z under the uniformization map in the quasi-projective variety X_Γ . **Then, $\mathcal{Z} \subset X_\Gamma$ is a totally geodesic subset.**

The Hyperbolic Ax-Lindemann Conjecture for General Lattices

Theorem (Mok [Mo19, *Compositio Math.*])

Let $n \geq 2$ and $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ be a not necessarily arithmetic torsion-free lattice. Write $X_\Gamma := \mathbb{B}^n/\Gamma$, $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ for the uniformization map. Let $Z \subset \Omega$ be an irreducible algebraic subset and denote by $\mathcal{L} = \overline{\pi(Z)}^{\mathcal{L}ar} \subset X_\Gamma$ be the Zariski closure of image of Z under the uniformization map in the quasi-projective variety X_Γ . **Then, $\mathcal{L} \subset X_\Gamma$ is a totally geodesic subset.**

The analogue of the hyperbolic Ax-Lindemann conjecture for general (torsion-free) lattices $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ is expected to hold. The above result of [Mo17] gives a strong piece of evidence. The proof is differential-geometric in nature.

The Hyperbolic Ax-Lindemann Theorem for Rank-1 Lattices: Scheme of Proof for Cocompact Lattices

- (a) Consider the Borel embedding $\mathbb{B}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, a Chow Component \mathcal{K} on \mathbb{P}^n containing $[Z]$ as a member, and the associated universal family $\rho : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, $\mu : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. **The algebraic group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ acts on \mathcal{K} ; $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ acts on $\mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}|_{\mathbb{B}^n}$ and \mathcal{U}_0 descends to $\mu_\Gamma : \mathcal{U}_\Gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$.**
- (b) \mathcal{U}_Γ admits a tautological meromorphic foliation whose local leaves arise from tautological liftings of $\mu : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ via the covering maps $\pi_\Gamma : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ and $\varpi_\Gamma : \mathcal{U}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\Gamma$.
- (c) Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ be an irreducible component of $\pi_\Gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$. Then, at a good point $b \in \partial \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ extends across b as the union of an analytic family of algebraic subvarieties of \mathbb{P}^n . Let \mathcal{D} be a germ of complex submanifold at b grafted to extend $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ analytically across b .

Scheme of Proof for Cocompact Lattices

- (d) Choose canonical Kähler-Einstein metrics on \mathbb{B}^n so that minimal disks are of constant Gaussian curvature -2 . $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathbb{B}^n$ is a local strictly pseudoconvex manifold with smooth boundary, and **by Klembeck [K187] $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathbb{B}^n$ is asymptotically of constant holomorphic sectional curvature -2 , hence asymptotically totally geodesic.**
- (e) Let $\check{\Gamma} \subset \Gamma$ be the subgroup of the image of $\pi_1(\mathcal{L})$ in $\pi_1(X_\Gamma) = \Gamma$ consisting of elements which stabilize $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Let $\Pi \subset \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ be a compact fundamental domain with respect to $\check{\Gamma}$. By rescaling via elements γ belonging to $\check{\Gamma}$, it follows that **Π is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature -2 , hence $\tilde{Z} \subset \mathbb{B}^n$ is a totally geodesic complex submanifold.**

Additional Ingredients for Non-cocompact Lattices

Compactification of X_Γ

By Satake-Baily-Borel, **in the arithmetic case** $X_\Gamma = \Omega_\Gamma$ always admits a projective compactification. In the **arithmetic rank-1 case**, the Satake compactification is obtained by adjoining a finite number of normal isolated singularities. Siu-Yau (1982) showed that a complete Kähler manifold X of finite volume and **pinched negative holomorphic bisectional curvature** always admits a (Moishezon) compactification by adding a finite number of normal isolated singularities and Mok (2012) showed that in the case where $X = X_\Gamma$ is a rank-1 finite-volume quotient manifold, **the compactification thus obtained is projective**.

Theorem (Mok-Zhong [MZ89, *Ann. Math.*]) (Compactification Theorem by L^2 -estimates of $\bar{\partial}$)

Let (X, g) be a complete Kähler manifold. **Assume that** $\text{Vol}(X, g) < \infty$, $\|\text{Sectional Curvature}(X, g)\| < \infty$, and that X is homotopic to a finite CW-complex. **Suppose there exists a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle (E, h) of pinched positive curvature.** For $k > 0$, denote by $\mathcal{N}(X, E^k)$ the space of holomorphic sections $s \in \Gamma(X, E^k)$ of **the Nevanlinna class**, i.e., s satisfies $\int_X \max(\log \|s\|_{h^k}, 0) < \infty$. Then, for each positive integer k , we have $\dim(\mathcal{N}(X, E^k)) < \infty$. **Moreover, there exists some positive integer k such that $\mathcal{N}(X, E^k)$ has no base points and it embeds X into $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}(X, E^k)^*)$ realizing X as a quasi-projective manifold.**

Natural holomorphic fiber bundles over X_Γ

Write $G_0 = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}^n)$, $\mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}|_{\mathbb{B}^n}$, etc. The total space of $\mu_0 : \mathcal{U}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^n$ is a G_0 -equivariant holomorphic fiber bundle which is naturally embedded in a G_0 -equivariant holomorphic projective bundle. $\theta_0 : \mathcal{P}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^n$ is thus equipped with a G_0 -invariant Kähler metric θ_0 which descends to a Kähler metric θ_Γ on the total space of $\varpi_\Gamma : \mathcal{P}_\Gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$.

Compactification of the projective bundle \mathcal{P}_Γ

$(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma, \theta_\Gamma)$ is a complete Kähler manifold, $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma, \theta_\Gamma) < \infty$. By local homogeneity, $(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma, \theta_\Gamma)$ is of **bounded sectional curvature**, and $(P_\Gamma, \theta_\Gamma)$ admits a locally homogeneous Hermitian holomorphic line bundle (L_Γ, h_Γ) of positive curvature. Moreover, X_Γ and hence P_Γ is of **finite topological type**. Hence, by L^2 -estimates of $\bar{\partial}$, $(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma, \theta_\Gamma)$ admits a projective compactification realizing \mathcal{P}_Γ as a quasi-projective manifold.

Compactification of \mathcal{U}_Γ

For each $x \in X_\Gamma$, the fiber $\mathcal{U}_{\Gamma,x} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma,x}$ corresponds to an element $[U_{\Gamma,x}] \in \text{Chow}(\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma,x}) \subset \text{Chow}(\overline{\mathcal{P}}_\Gamma)$, where $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_\Gamma \supset \mathcal{P}_\Gamma$ denotes a projective compactification. Denote by \mathcal{S} the irreducible component of $\text{Chow}(\overline{\mathcal{P}}_\Gamma)$ containing the points $[U_{\Gamma,x}]$ as x ranges over X_Γ . **Then \mathcal{S} is projective, and the assignment $\varphi(x) = [U_{\Gamma,x}] \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ (for some N) defines a holomorphic map into a projective space.** Given that $n \geq 2$, and the minimal compactification $\overline{X}_{\Gamma_{\min}}$ is a normal projective variety obtained by adding a finite number of isolated singularities, by **Hartogs Extension φ extends meromorphically to $\overline{X}_{\Gamma_{\min}}$.** Hence, the total space of $\mu_\Gamma : \mathcal{U}_\Gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ extends as a projective subvariety $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_\Gamma \subset \overline{\mathcal{P}}_\Gamma \subset \mathcal{S}$.

- 1 The use of Hartogs Extension simplifies the argument. Compactification of \mathcal{U}_Γ works in general by means of [MZ89].
- 2 For the foliation argument one may need to work with a substratum $\nu_\Gamma : \mathcal{V}_\Gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ of \mathcal{U}_Γ . The compactification argument is unchanged.

Theorem (Mok-Pila-Tsimerman ([MPT19, *Annals*]))

Let $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be a bounded symmetric domain, $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\Omega)$ be an arithmetic lattice, and write $X_\Gamma := \Omega/\Gamma$, as a quasi-projective variety. Let $W \subset \Omega \times X_\Gamma$ be an algebraic subvariety. Let $D \subset \Omega \times X_\Gamma$ be the graph of the uniformization map $\pi_\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow X_\Gamma$, and U be an irreducible component of $W \cap D$ whose dimension is larger than expected, i.e.,

$$\text{codim}(U) < \text{codim}(W) + \text{codim}(D),$$

the codimensions being in $\Omega \times X_\Gamma$, or, equivalently,

$$\dim(U) > \dim(W) - \dim(X_\Gamma).$$

Then, the projection of U to X_Γ is contained in a totally geodesic subvariety $Y \subset X_\Gamma$.

Hyperbolic Ax-Schanuel \Rightarrow Hyperbolic Ax-Lindemann

Let $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\Omega)$ be a torsion-free arithmetic lattice, $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega/\Gamma =: X_\Gamma$ be the universal covering map. Let $Z \subset \Omega$ be an irreducible algebraic subset, and $Y \subset X_\Gamma$ be the Zariski closure of $\pi(Z)$. **The Hyperbolic Ax-Lindemann Conjecture asserts that Y is totally geodesic in X_Γ .**

To deduce Hyperbolic Ax-Lindemann, consider $Z \subset \Omega$ such that $Y \not\subseteq X_\Gamma$. Write $W := Z \times Y \subset \Omega \times X_\Gamma$. Then, W is algebraic. Recall that $D = \text{Graph}(\pi)$. Let \tilde{Y} be an irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}(Y)$ containing Z . Then, $W = Z \times Y \subset \tilde{Y} \times Y$. Write $D_{\tilde{Y}} := \text{Graph}(\pi|_{\tilde{Y}}) \subset \tilde{Y} \times Y$. Thus, $W \cap D = W \cap D_{\tilde{Y}}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(W \cap D) &= \dim(W \cap D_{\tilde{Y}}) \geq \dim(W) + \dim(D_{\tilde{Y}}) - \dim(\tilde{Y} \times Y) \\ &= \dim(W) + \dim(Y) - 2 \dim(Y) = \dim(W) - \dim(Y) \end{aligned}$$

Hyperbolic Ax-Schanuel \Rightarrow Hyperbolic Ax-Lindemann

If we let U be any irreducible component of $W \cap D = W \cap D_{\tilde{Y}}$, then the same argument applies to U , and we have

$$\dim(U) \geq \dim(W) - \dim(Y) > \dim(W) - \dim(X_{\Gamma}),$$

hence by hyperbolic Ax-Schanuel $\pi(U)$ is contained in some totally geodesic subset $\Pi_0 \subsetneq X_{\Gamma}$. Let now $\Pi \subset X_{\Gamma}$ be the intersection of all totally geodesic subset $\Pi_0 \subset X_{\Gamma}$ such that $Y \subset \Pi_0 \subset X_{\Gamma}$. Write $\Pi = \pi(\Omega')$, where $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ is a totally geodesic complex submanifold, hence a bounded symmetric domain. $\Pi \subsetneq X_{\Gamma}$ is the smallest totally geodesic subset containing Y . Applying the same argument to Ω' in place of Ω again by the hyperbolic Ax-Schanuel, if $Y \subsetneq \Pi$ then there is a totally geodesic subset $\Pi' \subsetneq \Pi$ such that $Y \subset \Pi'$, contradicting minimality of Π . We conclude that actually $Y = \Pi \subset X_{\Gamma}$, proving hyperbolic Ax-Lindemann.

Ax-Schanuel in terms of functional transcendence

Fix a torsion-free lattice $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\Omega)$, $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow X_\Gamma$. Modular functions are Γ -invariant meromorphic functions descending to rational functions on X_Γ .

Theorem (Mok-Pila-Tsimerman ([MPT19, *Annals*]))

Let $V \subset \Omega$ be an irreducible complex analytic variety, not contained in any weakly special subvariety $E \subsetneq \Omega$. Let $\{z_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be algebraic coordinates on Ω . Let $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N\}$ be a basis of modular functions. Then,

$$\text{trans.deg.}_{\mathbb{C}}(\{z_i\}, \{\phi_j\}) \geq n + \dim V.$$

where all ϕ_j are assumed defined at some point on V and restricted to V .

- 1 We may take the algebraic coordinates $\{z_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ to be the Harish-Chandra coordinates on $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{C}^n \subset \widehat{\Omega}$.
- 2 Here a weakly special subvariety $E \subset \Omega$ is a totally geodesic submanifold $E \subset \Omega$ such that $\pi(E) \subset X_\Gamma$ is quasi-projective.