

Recap.  $X$ : Fano mfd of cx dim  $n$ .  
-  $-K_X$  ample,  $\text{Aut}(X)$  discrete.

Main thm of the minicourse  
 $(X, -K_X)$  admits an ACB metric at level  $m$   
iff  $\text{Ding}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{L}) + \text{Chow}_m(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{L}) \geq 0$   
for all very ample test configurations  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{L})$   
of exponent  $m$ , with equality iff  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{L})$   
is trivial.

Prop (Keller-H.)

1. For any hermitian  $A \in \mathrm{gl}(H^0(X, -mK_X))$  there exists a sequence  $\{A_p\}_p$  of hermitian matrices with rational eigenvalues s.t. the flat limit of  $e^{tA} \cdot X \subset R(H^0(X, -mK_X)^\vee)$  agrees with that of  $e^{tA_p} \cdot X$  for  $p \gg 1$  and  $A_p \rightarrow A$  ( $p \rightarrow \infty$ ).
2. For any hermitian  $A$ , there exist hermitian matrices  $A_\alpha$  and  $A_\beta$  s.t.
  - (i)  $A = A_\alpha + A_\beta$ ,
  - (ii)  $A_\alpha$  has rational eigenvalues,
  - (iii) The flat limits of  $e^{tA} \cdot X$ ,  $e^{tA_\alpha} \cdot X$ ,  $e^{tA_\beta} \cdot X$  are all equal (as a subscheme in  $R(H^0(X, -mK_X)^\vee)$ ).

We want to show

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d}{dt} D_m(H_t) > 0 \quad \text{for all hermitian } A \in \mathrm{gl}(H^0(X, -mK_X)),$$
$$H_t := e^{-tA^*} H_{0,m} e^{-tA} \in \mathcal{B}_m.$$

↑  
reference herm. form.

by assuming

F-stability of Saito-Takahashi,

i.e.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d}{dt} D_m(H'_t) > 0$ , for all hermitian  $A' \in \mathrm{gl}(H^0(X, -mK_X))$  with rational eigenvalues.

(To exclude  $A = \text{const. id}$ , so assume  $\mathrm{tr} A = 0$ )

Step 1. Show that for any hermitian  $A \in \mathrm{gl}(H^0(X, -nK_X))$

we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{dt} D_m(H_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} \lambda_i C_i (\text{flat limit of } e^{tA} \cdot X) \quad !!$$

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_m}$ : eigenvalues of  $A$ .  $\neq 0$ .

This follows from

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{FS}(H_t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} \lambda_i \int_{e^{tA} \cdot X} \frac{|z_i|^2}{\sum_l |z_l|^2} \tilde{h}^m$$

where  $[z_1, \dots, z_{N_m}]$  is the hmg coords on  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, -nK_X))$ ,  $\tilde{h}$  is the FS metric on  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, -nK_X))$  wrt the reference form  $H_{0,m}$ .

Note

$$\tilde{h}^{\frac{1}{m}} \Big|_{e^{tA} \cdot x} = FS(H_t) \quad \text{harm. metric on } -K_X$$

i.e. volume form  
when  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ .

Show that

$$\int e^{tA} \cdot x \frac{|Z_i|^2}{\sum |Z_i|^2} \tilde{h}^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

converges to

a number  $C_i(x_0)$  which depends only on  
the flat limit  $x_0$  of  $e^{tA} \cdot x$ , by  
showing that

$$\tilde{h}^{\frac{1}{m}} \Big|_{x_{0, \text{red}}^{\text{reg}}}$$

defines a  
volume form which may be degenerate  
(but nondeg or least one point in  $x_{0, \text{red}}^{\text{reg}}$ ).

Write down the volume form  $\tilde{h}^{\frac{1}{m}} | e^{tA} \cdot x$  in  
 terms of hmg coords and take the limit  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .  
 Pick coord system near each  $p \in \mathbb{H}_{0, \text{red}}^{\text{reg}}$   
 which can be perturbed to  
 a coord. system for a pt in  $e^{tA} \cdot x$  ( $t \gg 0$ )  
 (essentially IFT).

Observing that  $\tilde{h}^{\frac{1}{m}}$  is smooth globally on  
 $R(H^0(X, -mK_X)^*)$  shows that the limit  
 of  $\tilde{h}^{\frac{1}{m}} | e^{tA} \cdot x$  defines a possibly degenerate  
 volume form on  $\mathbb{H}_{0, \text{red}}^{\text{reg}}$ .

Step 2

Prop 1  $\Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d}{dt} Q_m(H_t) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} \lambda_{i,p} C_i(\tilde{x}_0)$

$$= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d}{dt} Q_m(H_{t,p})$$

$$> 0 \text{ by stability.}$$

Prop 2  $\Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d}{dt} Q_m(H_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} (\lambda_{i,\alpha} + \lambda_{i,\beta}) C_i(\tilde{x}_0)$

$$= \underbrace{\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d}{dt} Q_m(H_{t,\alpha})}_{> 0} + \underbrace{\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d}{dt} Q_m(H_{t,\beta})}_{> 0}$$

by stability.

$> 0$

## §9. Coupled KE metrics and coupled Ding stability

Suppose that there exist ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundles  $L_1, \dots, L_k$  on  $X$  s.t.  $-K_X = L_1 + \dots + L_k$ .

Pick  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  suff. large and divisible so that  $mL_i$  is a very ample line bundle for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and that the natural multiplication map

$$H^0(X, mL_1) \otimes \dots \otimes H^0(X, mL_k) \rightarrow H^0(X, mL_1 + \dots + mL_k)$$

$\cong H^0(X, -mK_X).$

So we get a sequence of embeddings

$$c_{cpd} : X \hookrightarrow P(H^0(X, -mK_X)^\vee)$$
$$\downarrow$$
$$P(H^0(X, mL_1)^\vee \otimes \cdots \otimes H^0(X, mL_k)^\vee).$$

We also have

$$l_i : X \hookrightarrow P(H^0(X, mL_i)^\vee) \quad i=1, \dots, k.$$

Pick hermitian forms  $H_{0,m}^{(i)}$  on  $H^0(X, mL_i)$   
 $i=1, \dots, k$

and define

$$h_0 := {}^*_{cpd} FS_{cpd}(H_{0,m}^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{0,m}^{(k)})$$

herm. metric  
on  $-K_X$ .

$$h_0' := l_1^* FS_1(H_{0,m}^{(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes l_k^* FS_k(H_{0,m}^{(k)})$$

||  
..  
 $h_0^{(1)}$

$l_1$   
..  
 $h_0^{(k)}$

Def.  $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{H}_k$

$$\mathcal{H}_i := \left\{ \phi \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}) \mid \theta_i + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi > 0 \right\}$$

Kähler metric associated to  $h_0^{(i)}$   
 $\theta_i \in C_1(L_i)$ .

The coupled Ding functional

$$\mathcal{D}^{cpd} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{def by}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{cpd}(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k) := \mathcal{L}^{cpd}(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k) - \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{E}(\phi_i)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}^{cpd}(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k) := -\log \int_X e^{-\sum_{i=1}^k \phi_i} \underbrace{d\mu_0}_{\text{volume form associated to } h'}$$

All of these were introduced by Hultgren-Witt Nyström, who also introduced the coupled KE metric, i.e.

$$w_1 \in C_1(L_1), \dots, w_k \in C_1(L_k), \quad \text{satisfying}$$

$$\text{Ric}(w_1) = \text{Ric}(w_2) = \dots = \text{Ric}(w_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i.$$

They showed that the crit. pt. of  $\mathcal{D}^{cpd}$  is precisely the coupled KE metrics.

HWN show  $\exists$  coupled KE meth's  $\Rightarrow$  "coupled K-stability".

We want to find the slope formula for  $\mathcal{D}^{\text{cpd}}$ .

Let  $(H_t^{(1)}, \dots, H_t^{(k)}) \in \mathcal{B}_m^{(1)} \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}_m^{(k)}$

where  $\mathcal{B}_m^{(i)} = \frac{\text{GL}(N_m^{(i)}, \mathbb{C})}{\text{U}(N_m^{(i)})}$

$$N_m^{(i)} := \dim H^0(X, m\mathcal{L}_i).$$

$$H_t^{(i)} := e^{-t A^{(i)*}} H_{0,m}^{(i)} e^{-t A^{(i)}}$$

$$\text{for some } A^{(i)} \in \text{gl}(H^0(X, m\mathcal{L}_i))$$

$$(i=1, \dots, k).$$

Lemma

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^k \text{FS}_i(H_t^{(i)})\right) d\mu'$$

$$= \exp\left(-\text{FS}_{\text{cpd}}(\tilde{H}_t)\right) d\mu_0$$

where  $\tilde{H}_t := H_t^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes H_t^{(k)}$  is the  
herm. form on  $H^0(X, mL_1) \otimes \dots \otimes H^0(X, mL_k)$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\text{cpd}}(\text{FS}_1(H_t^{(1)}), \dots, \text{FS}_k(H_t^{(k)})) = \mathcal{Z}(\text{FS}_{\text{cpd}}(\tilde{H}_t))$$

Pf. LHS =  $\prod_{i=1}^k \left( \sum_{j_i=1}^{N_m^{(i)}} \|\sigma_{j_i}^{(i)}\|_{\mu_0}^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{m}} d\mu'$

$\{\sigma_{j_i}^{(i)}\}_{j_i=1}^{N_m^{(i)}}$  is an  $H_t^{(i)}$ -orb.

$$= \left( \sum_{j_1=1}^{N_m^{(1)}} \dots \sum_{j_k=1}^{N_m^{(k)}} \| \tilde{S}_{j_1}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{S}_{j_k}^{(k)} \|_{h_0^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes h_0^{(m)}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{m}} d\mu_0$$

$$= \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j_i=1}^{N_m^{(i)}} \| \tilde{S}_{j_i}^{(i)} \|_{h_0^{(i)}}^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{m}} d\mu_0$$

$\{\tilde{S}_{j_i}^{(i)}\}$  is an  
 $\vec{H}_+$ -orb for  
 $H^0(X, mL_1) \otimes \dots \otimes H^0(X, mL_k)$

$$= \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j_i=1}^{N_m^{(i)}} \| \tilde{S}_{j_i}^{(i)} \|_{h_0} \right)^{-\frac{1}{m}} d\mu_0$$

$$= \exp(-FS_{cpd}(\vec{H}_+)) d\mu_0$$

□.

So finding the slope formula reduces to finding

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(FS_{cpd}(\vec{H}_+)).$$

Suppose that each  $A^{(i)} \in \text{gyl}(H^0(X, m L_i))$  above has integral eigenvalues. Then we get a b-tuple of test config'

$$(\mathfrak{X}_i, \mathcal{L}_i) := (\mathfrak{X}_{A^{(i)}}, \mathcal{L}_{A^{(i)}})$$

each for  $(X, L_i)$  of exponent  $m$ ,

or the Zariski closure of

$$\tau^{A^{(i)}} \cdot X \text{ in } P(H^0(X, m L_i)^\vee) \times \mathbb{C}$$

where  $\tau : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow GL(H^0(X, m L_i))$

$$\tau \mapsto \tau^{A^{(i)}} \quad \tau = e^{-t}$$

We define a test configuration  $(\gamma, \mathcal{L}_\gamma)$   
by the Zariski closure at

$$L_{cpd}(X) \subset \mathbb{P}(H^0(X_m L_1) \otimes \dots \otimes H^0(X_m L_k))$$

under the 1-PS  $\tau^{A^{(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes \tau^{A^{(k)}}$ .

$\mathcal{L}_\gamma$  = restriction of the hyperplane bundle.

Prop.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(FS_{cpd}(\tilde{H}_t)) = -1 + lct(\gamma^\vee, D\gamma^\vee; \mathcal{J}_0^\vee)$$

$$\frac{\text{Thm}}{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{D}^{\text{cpd}}(FS_1(H_t^{(1)}), \dots, FS(H_t^{(k)}))} = - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\left( \overline{\mathcal{L}_{A^{(i)}}^\nu} \right)^{n+1}}{(n+1) m^{n+1} \text{Vol}(L_i)}$$

$$-1 + \text{lct}(\gamma^\nu, D\gamma^\nu; \gamma_0^\nu)$$

$$=: \text{Ding}\left(\left(\mathfrak{X}_{A^{(i)}}, \mathcal{L}_{A^{(i)}}\right)_{i=1}^k\right)$$

coupled Ding invariant

Def.  $(X, -K_X; L_1, \dots, L_k)$  is coupled Ding stable

if for any  $m \in N$  and for any  
very ample test config  $(x_1, L_1), \dots, (x_k, L_k)$   
we have  $\text{Ding}((x_i, L_i)_{i=1}^k) > 0$   
with equality iff all  $(x_i, L_i)$ 's are trivial.

Remarks

1. Original def of HWN further assumed

$y = x_1 = \dots = x_k$  in their def of

stability. (e.g. when all t.c.s are product,  
given by the same hol. v.f.)

Product case considered by Futaki - Zhang,  
Delcroix - Hultgren, Nakamura, ...

2.  $\gamma$  is determined by the generators of the  $C^*$ -action defining  $(x_1, z_1), \dots, (x_k, z_k)$ ,  
But different exponents may lead to different  $\gamma$ .  
e.g. If  $\gamma_m$  is def by  $(x_1, z_1^{\otimes m}), \dots, (x_k, z_k^{\otimes m})$ ,  
there's no reason to believe  $\gamma_m \cong \gamma_1$ .

Cor. Suppose that  $(X, -K_X; L_1, \dots, L_k)$  admits a coupled KE metric. Then it is coupled Ding semistable, i.e.

$$\text{Ding}\left(\left(x_i, L_i\right)_{i=1}^k\right) > 0$$

$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\exists$  very ample t.c.s of exponent  $m$ .

Open problems

1. Can the above be improved to uniform stability?

Should we use  $J^{NA}(y, \Delta_y)$  or  $\sum_{i=1}^k J^{NA}(x_i, L_i)$ ?

2. Can we prove uniform coupled Ding stability  
 $\Rightarrow \exists$  coupled KE metric?

3. Is it possible that the non-Archimedean metric on  $-K_X$  rep. by  $(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{L}_Y)$  can be defined by the NA metrics rep. b2  $(\mathbb{X}_i, \mathcal{L}_i)$ ?

$$\delta_m^{\text{coupled}} > 1$$

Takahashi defined a coupled ACB metrics.

Thm.  $\exists$  coupled ACB metric at least in  
 $\Leftrightarrow \text{Ding}((\mathbb{X}_i, \mathcal{L}_i)_{i=1}^k) + \sum_{i=1}^k \text{Chow}_m(\mathbb{X}_i, \mathcal{L}_i) > 0$   
 for all very ample t.c's. of exponent m, with equality iff all  $\mathbb{X}_i$ 's are trivial.

$\exists$  coupled KE metrics  
of  $D^{cpd}$

$\hookrightarrow$  coercivity of  $D^{cpd}$   
Hwang, Takahashi

$\iff$  Coupled analytic  $\delta$ -invariant  $> 1$   
Coupled || K. Zhang.  
coupled (algebraic)  $\delta$ -invariant