

PART II. Deligne - Lusztig theory for finite reductive groups

Chapter 5. Review of algebraic groups

Chapter 6. \mathbb{F}_q -structures on alg. groups

Chapter 7. Harish-Chandra theory

Chapter 8. Deligne - Lusztig theory

Chapter 9. Application, open problems

Schedule. LAST LECTURE

→ Friday, Dec. 23

Chapter 8. Deligne - Lusztig theory

- G connected reductive algebraic group
- $F : G \longrightarrow G$ Frobenius endomorphism / \mathbb{F}_q
- $G^F = \{g \in G \mid F(g) = g\}$
(finite reductive group)
- B_0 F -stable Borel subgroup
 U
 T_0 F -stable maximal torus
- $W = N_G(T_0)/T_0$: Weyl group
- $S = \{s \in W \mid \dim B_0 \circ B_0 - \dim B_0 = 1\}$
- $W = \langle s \rangle$ and $s^2 = 1$ for all $s \in S$.

Example. $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F})$

8.A. Deligne-Lusztig varieties

$$P = L \ltimes V, \quad V = R_u(P) \\ F(L) = L \quad (F(P) = P)$$

$${}_{G^F} Y_P = \{ gV \in G/V \mid g^{-1}F(g) \in V \cdot F(V) \}$$

8.B. Deligne-Lusztig induction and restriction

$$\bullet \mathcal{R}_{LCP}^G : KL^F\text{-gmod} \longrightarrow KG^F\text{-gmod} \\ M \longmapsto H_c^0(Y_P) \otimes_{KL^F} M$$

$$\bullet {}^* \mathcal{R}_{LCP}^G : KG^F\text{-gmod} \longrightarrow KL^F\text{-gmod} \\ N \longmapsto H_c^0(Y_P)^* \otimes_{KG^F} N$$

$$\bullet \chi_M^{eu} = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \chi_{M_i} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{Inv } L^F$$

$$\text{Class}(L^F) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{R_{LCP}^G} \\ \xleftarrow{{}^* R_{LCP}^G} \end{array} \text{Class}(G^F)$$

$$(8.4) \begin{cases} R_{LCP}^G(\chi_M^{eu}) = \chi_{\mathcal{R}_{LCP}^G(M)}^{eu} \\ {}^* R_{LCP}^G(\chi_N^{eu}) = \chi_{{}^* \mathcal{R}_{LCP}^G(N)}^{eu} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 8.5 (adjunction).

$$\langle \gamma, R_{LCP}^G \tau \rangle_{G^F} = \langle {}^* R_{LCP}^G \gamma, \tau \rangle_{L^F}$$

Transitivity 8.7. $(L', P') \subset L$

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{R}_{LCP}^G \circ \mathcal{R}_{L'CP'}^{L'} = \mathcal{R}_{L'CP'}^G \\ {}^* \mathcal{R}_{L'CP'}^{L'} \circ {}^* \mathcal{R}_{LCP}^G = {}^* \mathcal{R}_{L'CP'}^G \end{cases}$$

Corollary 8.8.

$$\begin{cases} R_{LCP}^G \circ R_{L'CP'}^{L'} = R_{L'CP'}^G \\ {}^* R_{L'CP'}^{L'} \circ {}^* R_{LCP}^G = {}^* R_{L'CP'}^G \end{cases}$$

Example 8.9. $*\mathcal{R}_{LCP}^G(K_{GF}[0])?$

Note the following property of l -adic cohomologie I have forgotten

(*) If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a flat morphism such that $f^{-1}(x) \simeq A^d(\mathbb{F})$ for all $x \in X$, then $H_c^i(Y) \simeq H_c^{i-2d}(X)$.

(i.e. $H_c^i(Y) = H_c^i(X)[-2d]$)

Let $d = \dim V$ and $e = \dim Y_p$ (so that $\dim V \cdot F(V) = d + e$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} *\mathcal{R}_{LCP}^G(K_{GF}) &= H_c^0(Y_p) \otimes_{K_{GF}} K_{GF} \\ &= H_c^0(\mathcal{L}^{-1}(V \cdot F(V)))[2d] \otimes_{K_{GF}} K_{GF} \quad (\text{see } (*)) \\ &= H_c^0(\mathcal{L}^{-1}(V \cdot F(V))/_{GF})[2d] \quad (\text{see 3.7(i)}) \\ &= H_c^0(V \cdot F(V))[2d] \quad (\text{see section 6.C; "Galois unramified covering"}) \end{aligned}$$

(L^F acts by conjugacy on $V \cdot F(V)$).

→ See Hartshorne, chapter III, section 9

(for instance, $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(V \cdot F(V)) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1}(V \cdot F(V))/_V$ satisfies the hypotheses of (*) \parallel Y_p)

But $V \cdot F(V)$ is an affine space so

$$H_c^0(V \cdot F(V)) = K[-2d - 2e]$$

Therefore

$$*\mathcal{R}_{LCP}^G K_{GF} = K[-2e]$$

What is the action of L^F ? The action of L^F on $V \cdot F(V)$ is the restriction of the action of the connected group L , so L^F acts trivially on the cohomology (see 3.7(j)). Conclusion:

$$\begin{cases} *\mathcal{R}_{LCP}^G K_{GF} = K_{L^F}[-2e] \\ *\mathcal{R}_{LCP}^G(1_{GF}) = 1_{L^F} \quad \blacksquare \end{cases}$$

8.C. The Mackey formula.

Mackey formulas for Harish-Chandra induction and restriction (7.6 and 7.7)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^*R_{L \subset P}^G \circ R_{L' \subset P'}^G (M) \simeq \bigoplus_{g \in L^F \backslash S(L, L')^F / L'^F} R_{L \cap {}^g L' \subset L \cap {}^g P'}^L \circ {}^*R_{L \cap {}^g L' \subset P \cap {}^g L'}^{{}^g L'} ({}^g M) \\ \langle R_{L \subset P}^G \lambda, R_{L' \subset P'}^G \lambda' \rangle_{G^F} = \sum_{g \in L^F \backslash S(L, L')^F / L'^F} \langle {}^*R_{L \cap {}^g L' \subset L \cap {}^g P'}^L \lambda, {}^*R_{L \cap {}^g L' \subset P \cap {}^g L'}^{{}^g L'} {}^g \lambda' \rangle_{L \cap {}^g L'^F} \end{array} \right.$$

Mackey formula for Deligne-Lusztig induction and restriction (CONJECTURE)

$$\left(\mathcal{M}_{L, P, L', P'}^G \right) \langle R_{L \subset P}^G \lambda, R_{L' \subset P'}^G \lambda' \rangle_{G^F} = \sum_{g \in L^F \backslash S(L, L')^F / L'^F} \langle {}^*R_{L \cap {}^g L' \subset L \cap {}^g P'}^L \lambda, {}^*R_{L \cap {}^g L' \subset P \cap {}^g L'}^{{}^g L'} {}^g \lambda' \rangle_{L \cap {}^g L'^F}$$

Theorem 8.10. Mackey formula $(\mathcal{M}_{L, P, L', P'}^G)$ holds in the following cases:

- (1) If P and P' are F -stable (Deligne, 1979)
 - (2) If L or L' is a maximal torus (Deligne-Lusztig, 1976; Lusztig 1979; Digne-Michel 1991)
 - (3) If $q > 2$
 - (4) If G is classical
- } (B. - Michel, 2011)
(classical means type A, B, C, D ; i.e. $GL_n, SL_n, PGL_n, Sp_{2n}, SO_n, Spin_n, \dots$)

Corollary 8.11. Assume $q > 2$, or L is a maximal torus, or G is classical.

Then $R_{L \subset P}^G$ does not depend on P .

Ideas of the proof of the Mackey formula if L or L' is a maximal torus. For $l \in L^F$,

$$\cdot {}^*R_{L \subset P}^G R_{L' \subset P'}^G (f)(l) = \frac{1}{|L'^F|} \sum_{l' \in L'^F} \left(\frac{1}{|G^F|} \sum_{g \in G^F} \text{Tr}_{\gamma_P^G}^*(g, l) \text{Tr}_{\gamma_{P'}^G}^*(g, l') \right) f(l'^{-1})$$

$$\rightarrow = \frac{1}{|G^F|} \sum_{g \in G^F} \text{Tr}_{\gamma_P^G \times \gamma_{P'}^G}^*(g, l, l') = \text{Tr}_{(\gamma_P^G \times \gamma_{P'}^G)/G^F}^*(l, l')$$

COMPARE THIS PROOF WITH THE $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ CASE

(Künneth ; 3.7(e))

Exercise 4.11 + 3.7(i).

$$\text{Let } \varphi : \gamma_P^G \times \gamma_{P'}^G \longrightarrow Z = \{ (x, v, v') \in G \times V \cdot F(V) \times V' \cdot F(V') \mid v F(x) = x v' \} \\ (g, R) \longmapsto (g^{-1}R, g^{-1}F(g), R^{-1}F(l))$$

First step. Prove that φ induces an isomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : (\gamma_P^G \times \gamma_{P'}^G)/G^F \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$ (exercise*)

$$\text{So } {}^*R_{L \subset P}^G R_{L' \subset P'}^G (f)(l) = \frac{1}{|L'^F|} \sum_{l' \in L'^F} \text{Tr}_Z^*(l, l') f(l'^{-1})$$

Second step. Decompose $Z = \bigcup_{g \in L \cap S(L, L')/L'} Z_g$ where $Z_g = \{ (x, v, v') \in Z \mid x \in P_g P' \}$
 $S(L, L') = \{ g \in G \mid L \cap {}^g L' \text{ contains a maximal torus of } G \}$

$$\text{So } {}^*R_{L \subset P}^G R_{L' \subset P'}^G (f)(l) = \sum_{g \in L \cap S(L, L')/L'} \left(\frac{1}{|L'^F|} \sum_{l' \in L'^F} \text{Tr}_{Z_g}^*(l, l') f(l'^{-1}) \right) \quad (3.10(a))$$

Third step. Find an action of a torus S_g on Z_g , commuting with the action of $L^F \times L'^F$ such that:

$$Z_g^{S_g} \simeq \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } F(L \cap L') \neq L \cap L' \\ \left(\gamma_{L \cap {}^g L'}^L \times \gamma_{P \cap {}^g P'}^{L'} \right) / L \cap {}^g L'^F & \text{if } F(g) = g \end{cases}$$

ONLY POSSIBLE IF $L \cap {}^g L' = L \cap L'$

This concludes the proof (3.10). ■

8.D. Maximal tori.

Let $\mathcal{D}(G, F)$ be the set of pairs (T, θ) such that T is an F -stable maximal torus and $\theta \in \text{In } T^F = \text{Hom}_{\text{gp}}(T^F, K^\times)$. The group G^F acts on $\mathcal{D}(G, F)$ by conjugacy.

$(T, \theta) \in \mathcal{D}(G, F) \implies R_T^G(\theta) = R_{TcB}^G(\theta)$ for some (or any) Brel subgroup B containing T .

$$(8.12) \quad \left\langle R_T^G(\theta), R_{T'}^G(\theta') \right\rangle_{G^F} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (T, \theta) \not\sim_{G^F} (T', \theta') \\ |N_{G^F}(T, \theta) / T^F| & \text{if } (T, \theta) \sim_{G^F} (T', \theta') \end{cases}$$

Corollary 8.13. If θ is regular (i.e. if $N_{G^F}(T, \theta) = T^F$), then

$$R_T^G(\theta) \in \pm \text{In } G^F$$

Corollary 8.14. If θ is regular and T is not contained in an F -stable Levi subgroup of an F -stable parabolic subgroup $P \neq G$, then $R_T^G(\theta) \in \pm \text{In}_{\text{cus}}(G^F)$.

Proof. $R_{LcP}^G R_T^G(\theta) \stackrel{8.10(2)}{=} 0$ because $S(L, T)^F = \emptyset$ (if $P \neq G$).

$$\text{Let } \varepsilon_T = (-1)^{\dim \{t \in T \mid F(t) = t^{-1}\}}$$

Example. If $G = GL_n(F)$ and $F = (a_{ij}) \mapsto (a_{ij}^{-1})$, then $\varepsilon_T = (-1)^n$.

$$\text{We set } \varepsilon_G = \varepsilon_T \quad (= \varepsilon_{G, F})$$

$$(8.15) \quad R_T^G(\theta)(1) = \varepsilon_T \varepsilon_G \frac{|G^F|_P}{|T^F|}$$

Proof of the fact that $R_T^G(\theta)(1)$ does not depend on θ :

$$R_T^G(\theta)(1) = \frac{1}{|T^F|} \sum_{t \in T^F} \text{Tr}_{Y_B^*}^*(1, t) \theta(t^{-1})$$

$$(B \supset T)$$

But, by 3.10(b),

$$\text{Tr}_{Y_B^*}^*(1, t) = \text{Tr}_{Y_B^t}^*(1)$$

But $Y_p \subset G/V$, where $V = R_u(B)$

and T^F acts by right translation.

this action is free. So $Y_B^t = \emptyset$ if $t \neq 1$.

$$\text{So } R_T^G(\theta)(1) = \frac{1}{|T^F|} \text{Tr}_{Y_B^*}^*(1) \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 8.16. If θ is regular, then

$$\varepsilon_G \in_T R_T^G(\theta) \in \text{Im } G^F.$$

Example 8.17. Assume that $G^F = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Then we have seen in example 8.3 that there is an F -stable maximal torus T' which is not contained in an F -stable Borel subgroup.

$$R_{T'}^G(1_{T',F}) = -\text{St}_{G^F} + 1_{G^F}$$

$$R_{T_0}^G(1_{T_0,F}) = \text{St}_{G^F} + 1_{G^F}$$

$$\text{So } \langle R_{T_0}^G(1_{T_0,F}), R_{T'}^G(1_{T',F}) \rangle = 0$$

but they have common irreducible components. ■

Definition 8.18. Let (T, θ) and (T', θ') in $\nabla(G, F)$. We say that (T, θ) and (T', θ') are geometrically conjugate (and we denote $(T, \theta) \equiv (T', \theta')$) if there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that the pairs $(T, \theta \circ N_{F^n/F})$ and $(T', \theta' \circ N_{F^n/F})$ are conjugate in G^{F^n} .

$$\text{Here } N_{F^n/F} : T^{F^n} \longrightarrow T^F \\ t \longmapsto t \cdot F(t) \cdots F^{n-1}(t)$$

Exercise. Prove that $N_{F^n/F}$ is surjective (Hint: use Lang's theorem!). ■

Theorem 8.19 (consequence of the hidden part of the proof of the Mackey formula)

Let (T, θ) and (T', θ') in $\nabla(G, F)$ and let B and B' be two Borel subgroups such that $T \subset B$ and $T' \subset B'$. Assume that $H_c^i(Y_B) \otimes_{K^F} K_\theta$ and $H_c^i(Y_{B'}) \otimes_{K^F} K_{\theta'}$ have a common irreducible component.

Then $(T, \theta) \equiv (T', \theta')$.

If $\mathcal{X} \in \nabla(G, F) / \equiv$, we denote by $\mathcal{E}(G^F, \mathcal{X})$ the set of irreducible characters of G^F which occur in some $R_T^G(\theta)$, for $(T, \theta) \in \mathcal{X}$.

Theorem 8.20 (Deligne - Lusztig 1976) Lusztig series

$$\text{Im } G^F = \bigcup_{\mathcal{X} \in \nabla(G, F) / \equiv} \mathcal{E}(G^F, \mathcal{X})$$

For $G^F = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, you can check that $(T_0, \alpha_0) \cong (T', \theta_0)$

$$R(\alpha_0) = R(\alpha_0)^+ + R(\alpha_0)^-$$

$$R'(\theta_0) = R'(\theta_0)^+ + R'(\theta_0)^-$$