

Relative Mori Cone $\overline{NE}(X/S)$

(KMM87)

Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism
 Assume that X is normal

(Example: A family of proj varieties over S)

$\overline{NE}(X/S)$ is the convex closed cone generated
 by ^{complete} curves contracted by $f: X \rightarrow S$

$$\overline{NE}(X/S) \subseteq N_1(X/S)$$

$$= \left\{ \text{numerical classes of } \sum a_i C_i, \text{ where } a_i \in \mathbb{R}, C_i \text{ are curves contracted by } f \right\}$$

Now can define relative ampleness, nefness, pseffness, bigness

for divisors in X by numerical conditions.

Def: A \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor D in X is called f -relatively ample, or ample relatively over S if $C \cdot D > 0$ for any $C \in \overline{NE}(X/S) - \{0\}$.

D is nef if $C \cdot D \geq 0$ for $C \in \overline{NE}(X)$.

Prop: Let X be quasi-proj. D a Cartier divisor in X

Then D is f -relatively ample

(f has connected fibers and S normal)
 $\iff \exists$ ample divisor H on X s.t.

$$D = H + f^*E, \quad \text{where } E$$

is a Cartier divisor on the base S .

Def: D is f -relatively pseudo-ef (or big)

if $D = H + f^*E$ where H is
pseudo-ef (or big) divisor in X

and E is a divisor in S .

Last time: Example: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a birational
proj morphism. Assume that f contracts exactly
one ^{prime} divisor $E \in X$, and that E is

\mathbb{Q} -Cartier.

Then $-E$ is f -relatively ample.

(Note: $E \geq 0$, thus $-E$ is kind of

"negative" on X globally)

Negativity Lemma: (KM98, 3.39)

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ bir proj morphism. (X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial)
 X, Y normal.

Assume that the exceptional locus of f is

$\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i$ where E_i are prime divisors

Let $D = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i E_i$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$

Then if D is f -ample, then $a_i < 0 \forall i$
if D is f -ref, then $a_i \leq 0 \forall i$.

Last time: We use this to prove the discrepancy increases during a divisorial contraction

V2 small contraction, (X, Δ) proj pair

R is an $(K_X + \Delta)$ -negative extremal ray in $\overline{NE}(X)$

We have $f := \text{cont}_R: X \rightarrow Z$ the contraction of R

Def: f is called small if the exceptional

locus satisfies $\dim \text{ex}(f) \leq \dim X - 2$

Remark (2) In this case, $\text{ex}(f)$ might have several irreducible components.

(2) Z is never \mathbb{Q} -factorial

Solution for (2) is flip.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Z$ as before a small contraction.

the flip of f is $f^+: X^+ \rightarrow Z$

with the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X^+ \\ & \searrow f & \swarrow f^+ \\ & Z & \end{array}$$

$-(K_X + \Delta)$ is f -ample $(K_{X^+} + \Delta^+)$ f^+ -ample

where φ is birational, $\Delta^+ = \varphi_* \Delta$

such that $\rho(X^+/Z) = \dim(N_1(X^+/Z))$

$$= \rho(X/Z) = 1$$

and that $(K_{X^+} + \Delta^+)$ is f^+ -ample

Prop : Assume X \mathbb{Q} -factorial, (X, Δ) klt

Assume X^+ exists.

Then ① X^+ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial

② (X, Δ) is klt

indeed the discrepancy increases during a flip

③ $\rho(X) = \rho(X^+)$ Picard numbers

④ X^+ is unique, indeed

$$X^+ \simeq \text{Proj}_{\mathbb{Z}} \left(\bigoplus_{m \geq 0} f_* \mathcal{O}_X(mr(K_X + \Delta)) \right)$$

here $r > 0$ is an integer such that $r(K_X + \Delta)$ is integral Cartier.

In this case, $\bigoplus_{m \geq 0} f_* \mathcal{O}_X(mr(K_X + \Delta))$

is a finitely generated \mathbb{Q}_2 -algebra.

Prop: the flip $X \dashrightarrow X^+$

exists if and only if

④ $f_* \mathcal{O}_X(mr(K_X + \Delta))$ is finitely generated.

Fact: 3-dim flip exists by Mori (1982)
 klt flip exists by ~~BCHM~~ BCHM (~2010)
 log con flip exists Haron-Xu, Birkar (~2015)

Exercise: Prove that the discrepancy increases during a flip (Hint: use common resolution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X^+ \end{array}$$

(KMM87, section "flip conjecture")

V3 Mori fibration. A contraction of extremal ray R is called a $(K_X + \Delta)$ -Mori fibration if $\text{ex}(f) = X$.

In this case, we have $f: X \rightarrow Z$ such that Z is normal, f has connected fibers and $\dim Z < \dim X$.

Prop: In this case, if (X, Δ) \mathbb{Q} -factorial klt then Z is \mathbb{Q} -factorial, Z is klt.

then Z is \mathbb{Q} -factorial, Z is klt.

\uparrow to prove \uparrow
 e_2 Fujino ~2000
 using Kawamata's
 canonical bundle formula

1.4 MMP conjecture.

(X, Δ) prog klt \mathbb{Q} -factorial pair.

By cone thm, and existence of flips

We can perform a sequence of birational operations

$$X = X_0 \dashrightarrow X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2 \dashrightarrow \dots$$

where each $X_i \dashrightarrow X_{i+1}$ is either a divisorial contraction or a flip.

Conjecture (finiteness of flips)

There is no infinite sequence of flips.

If this conjecture is true, then the sequence above ends with $X_k = Y$

such that $X \xrightarrow{\text{bir}} Y$, $\Delta = \mathcal{I}_* \Delta$,

either $K_Y + \Delta$ is nef (minimal model)

or there is a $(K_Y + \Delta)$ -Mori fibration

$$Y \rightarrow Z.$$

Note: Assume the conjecture, then $K_Y + \Delta$ is nef
 $\Leftrightarrow K_X + \Delta$ pseudo-eff

(BCHM): They prove flips "with scaling"
are always finite

(Kawamata, Shokurov, ~1990) 3-dim flips are
always finite.

Exercise: $X \xrightarrow{f} X^+$ a flip, X, X^+ \mathbb{Q} -fac
 $f \searrow \downarrow f^+$

Assume that $S \subseteq X$ is a prime divisor
such that S intersect $\text{ex}(f)$ but
 $\text{ex}(f) \not\subseteq S$.

Then $S^+ \supseteq \text{ex}(f^+)$, where $S^+ = \varnothing \neq S$.

Hint: $\textcircled{1}$ Show that if C^+ a curve
is contracted by f^+

Hint: Show that if C^+ is contracted by f^+ then $C^+, S^+ < 0$.

② Use $P(X/2) = P(X^+/2) = 1$
and relate S and $K_{X^+} \Delta$

VI Singularities

VI.1 Surface Case.

Def (Minimal resolution) : X normal surface.

There exists a "minimal resolution" of X
 $r: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that

if $W \rightarrow X$ is a resolution of sing
then it factors through \tilde{X} , that is

$$W \rightarrow \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$$

Remark: Only for surfaces.

Negativity Lemma in surface case.

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a birational proj morphism
between normal surfaces

$ex(f) = \bigcup C_i$, Assume X \mathbb{Q} -fac

Then the matrix (intersection matrix)

$$\left[C_i \cdot C_j \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \text{ is def negative.}$$

Moreover, if $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i C_i$ is f -nef, then $a_i \leq 0 \forall i$

Prop: $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the minimal resolution
if and only if $K_{\tilde{X}}$ is f -nef.

(Kollár, relation of singularities)

Exercise, Assume K_X \mathbb{Q} -Cartier, let $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$
minimal resolution. Write $K_{\tilde{X}} \equiv f^* K_X + \sum a_i C_i$
Show that $a_i \leq 0$ for all i .

Prop (KM Sect 4): (X, Δ) surface pair, $\Delta \equiv 0$.

(X, Δ) is terminal $\iff X$ is smooth, $\text{mult}_x \Delta < 1$
for all $x \in X$.

(X, Δ) is canonical \iff either X is smooth, $\text{mult}_x \Delta \leq 1$
for all $x \in X$.

or X sing, $\Delta = 0$
 at singular point.
 (or $\text{mult}_x \Delta = 0$ if x sing)

Canonical surface singularities are also Du Val singularities (well classified)

① Can surf sing, K_X is always Cartier.

② —————, X is always \mathbb{Q} -fac.

③ ————— are hypersurface sing

which means locally, $x \in X$ is locally analytically iso to $(0 \in H \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3)$ where H is a hypersurface, singular at 0.

④ ————— are quotient singularities

that is $(x \in X)$ is locally analytically

iso to $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2 / G$, where $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{C})$

finite subgroup, whose elements never fix hyperplane in \mathbb{C}^2 , except $\text{Id} \in G$.

but surface sing (classification: (KMPD ...))

klt surface sing (classification, in (KM98, Sect 4))

Prop: klt surface sing are all quotient sing.

Prop: Every surface quotient sing is klt.

Thm: Surface case, $\text{klt} \iff \text{quotient sing}$.

Construction of birational model

Let (X, X) be a surface klt sing.

Let $r: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be minimal resolution.

Then we write $K_{\tilde{X}} = r^* K_X + \sum_{i=1}^s a_i C_i$

where the C_i are exceptional curves, and $a_i \leq 0$.

(Note: if one $a_i < 0$, then all $a_i < 0$)

Let $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$.

up to renumbering, we assume $J = \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Then there is a birational model Y

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & X \\ & & \searrow & & \nearrow \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

such that f contracts exactly C_1, \dots, C_k
 Moreover, Y has klt sing

Proof: We can prove it by using classification
 Here, we will prove by running MMP
 (r -relative MMP)

Let $\tilde{D} = \sum_{i=1}^s (-a_i C_i)$, then $K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{D} \equiv r^* K_X$

Let $\tilde{D}' = \sum_{i=1}^k C_i$ (Note that $k \leq s$)

For $\varepsilon > 0$, $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D} + \varepsilon \tilde{D}')$ is klt

Then we set $\tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{D} + \varepsilon \tilde{D}'$,

we run a r -relative MMP for $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta})$

Then we obtain $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta}) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, \Delta)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & & X \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow \\
 & &
 \end{array}$$

Exercise: Show that f contracts C_1, \dots, C_k
 (Hint: use negativity lemma)