

§ 1. Bollobás' Theorem

Theorem. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m and B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m be subsets of some ground set Ω . If we have

$$(u) \quad A_i \cap B_i = \emptyset \quad \text{for any } i \in [m],$$

$$(w) \quad A_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{for any } i \neq j \in [m],$$

then $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\binom{|A_i| + |B_i|}{|A_i|}} \leq 1.$

Pf. Let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m (A_i \cup B_i)$ and $|X| = n$.

We will prove by induction on n .

- Base case $n=1$: $A_1 = \{1\}, B_1 = \emptyset$
- Now we assume that the statement holds for

$|X| \leq n-1$. For $x \in X$,

let $I_x = \{i \in [m] : x \notin A_i\}$

Define $f_x = \{A_i : i \in I_x\} \cup \{B_i \setminus \{x\} : i \in I_x\}$

Since each set in f_x doesn't contain x ,

$$\left| \bigcup_{S \in f_x} S \right| \leq |X \setminus \{x\}| = n-1.$$

Also, it is easy to see that these sets in f_x satisfy the induction hypothesis. Then by induction

$$\sum_{i \in I_x} \frac{1}{(|A_i| + |B_i \setminus \{x\}|)} \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

We sum up all $x \in X$ to get

$$(\star) \quad \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{i \in I_x} \frac{1}{(|A_i| + |B_i \setminus \{x\}|)} \leq n$$

For each $i \in [m]$, it contributes either 0,

$$\frac{1}{(|A_i| + |B_i|)} \text{, or } \frac{1}{(|A_i| + |B_i| - 1)} \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

The term $\frac{1}{\binom{|A_i|+|B_i|}{|A_i|}}$ occurs when $i \in I_x$
 $\& x \in B_i$,
 $\Leftrightarrow x \notin A_i \cup B_i$

while the term $\frac{1}{\binom{|A_i|+|B_i|-1}{|A_i|}}$ occurs when
 $i \in I_x \& x \in B_i \Leftrightarrow x \in B_i$.

Then we can rewrite (6) as

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\binom{n - |A_i| - |B_i|}{|A_i|} \frac{1}{\binom{|A_i|+|B_i|}{|A_i|}} + |B_i| \frac{1}{\binom{|A_i|+|B_i|-1}{|A_i|}} \right) \leq n$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\binom{|A_i|+|B_i|}{|A_i|}} \leq 1 \quad \boxed{\text{OK}}$$

Corollary (LYM-inequality) Let $f \subseteq 2^{\binom{m}{2}}$ be
a family such that $\forall A, B \in f, A \not\subseteq B$ and $B \not\subseteq A$.

$$\text{Then } \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|A|}} \leq 1.$$

Pf.: Exercise (via Bollobas' Thm). \square

Corollary (Sperner's Thm) Under the same

$$\text{family } \mathcal{F}, \quad |\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}.$$

Theorem 2 (The skew version of Bollobas' Thm)

Let A_1, \dots, A_m and B_1, \dots, B_m be sets such that

$$(1) \quad A_i \cap B_i = \emptyset, \quad \forall i \in [m]$$

$$(2) \quad A_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall i < j.$$

If $|A_i| = r$ for $i \in [m]$ and $|B_j| = s$ for $j \in [m]$, then $m \leq \binom{r+s}{r}$.

Def.: Let \mathbb{F} be a field. A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$

is in general position, if any n vectors in A are linearly independent over \mathbb{F} .

Fact. For $a \in \mathbb{F}$, let $\vec{m}(a) = (1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}^n$.
be the moment curve. Then

$A = \{\vec{m}(a) : a \in \mathbb{F}\}$ is in general position

(because of the Vandermonde matrix)

pf of this. Take a set V of vectors

$\vec{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \mathbb{R}^{(r+1)}$ such that

• V is in general position - and

$$\cdot |V| = \left| \bigcup_{i \in [m]} (A_i \cup B_i) \right|.$$

Then we identify the elements of $\bigcup_{i \in [m]} (A_i \cup B_i)$

with the vectors in V . So we will view

A_i or B_j as a subset in $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{r+s}$,

where $|A_i| = r$ and $|B_j| = s$.

For each $j \in [n]$, we define

$$f_j(\vec{x}) = \prod_{\vec{v} \in B_j} \vec{x} \cdot \vec{v}, \text{ where } \vec{v} = (x_0, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{R}^{r+1}.$$

So $f_j(\vec{x})$ is generated by the following

monomials $\vec{v}_j = x_0^i x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_r^{i_r}$, $\sum_{j=0}^r i_j = s$ & $i_j \geq 0$

There are exactly $\binom{r+s}{r}$ such monomials.

$\Rightarrow f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$ are contained in a polynomial linear space of dimension $\binom{r+s}{r}$.

To show $m \leq \binom{r+s}{r}$, it suffices to prove

that f_1, \dots, f_m are linearly independent.

Note that

$$f_j: \vec{x}_j = 0 \text{ iff } \exists \vec{v} \in B_j \text{ with } \vec{x} \cdot \vec{v} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Consider the linear subspace $\text{Span}(A_j) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$,

where $|A_j| = r$.

Since $A_j \subseteq V$ and V is in general position,
we see that all r vectors in A_j are linear indep.

$$\Rightarrow \dim(\text{Span}(A_j)) = r$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim((\text{Span}(A_j))^{\perp}) = 1$$

There exists $\vec{a}_j \in (\text{Span}(A_j))^{\perp}$ for $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

For each $\vec{v} \in V$,

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}_j = 0 \text{ iff } \vec{v} \in \text{Span}(A_j) \text{ iff } \vec{v} \in A_j.$$

This is because if $\vec{v} \in V \setminus A_j$, then all $r+1$ vectors in $A_j \cup \{\vec{v}\}$ are linearly indep. (as V is in general position)

which contradicts that $\vec{v} \in \text{Span}(A_j)$,

Combining ① and ②,

$$f_j(\vec{a}_i) = \prod_{\vec{v} \in B_j} \vec{a}_i \cdot \vec{v} = 0 \quad \text{iff } \textcircled{1}$$

$$\exists \vec{v} \in B_j \text{ with } \vec{a}_i \cdot \vec{v} = 0 \quad \text{iff } \textcircled{2}$$

$$\exists \vec{v} \in \overline{A_i \cap B_j},$$

By condition (2) that $A_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$ for $i < j$,

we have $f_j(\vec{a}_i) = 0$ for $i < j$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_i(\vec{a}_i) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } i \\ \end{array} \right.$$

By the previous lemma, f_1, \dots, f_m are indeed linearly independent. \textcircled{3}

§ 2. Hoffman's bound

Def. The adjacency matrix $A_G = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ of an n -vertex graph G is defined by

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & ij \in E(G) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So A_G is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix

\Rightarrow All its eigenvalues are real.

Def. The eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ of

A_G are called the eigenvalues of G . The

eigenvectors $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ of A_G satisfying

$$\{ A_G \vec{v}_i = \lambda_i \vec{v}_i \}$$

$$\| \vec{v}_i \| = 1$$

$$\vec{v}_i \perp \vec{v}_j \text{ for } i \neq j$$

are called orthonormal eigenvectors of G .

Theorem 3 (Hoffman's bound) If an n -vertex

graph G is d -regular with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n, \text{ then } \alpha(G) \leq n \frac{-\ln}{d - \lambda_1}$$

where $\alpha(G)$ denotes the maximum size of an independent set in G .

Exercise. If G is d -regular, then $\lambda_1 = d$.

Pf. Let $V(G) = [n]$. Let $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ be the orthonormal eigenvectors of G .

Let I be the maximum independent set in G ,

$$\Rightarrow \alpha(G) = |I|.$$

Let $\vec{1}_I \in \{0,1\}^n$ be such that

$$\vec{1}_I(j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in I \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Let } \vec{\mathbb{1}}_I = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \vec{v}_i.$$

$$\text{where } \alpha_i = \vec{\mathbb{1}}_I \cdot \vec{v}_i.$$

$$\text{Consider } \vec{\mathbb{1}}_I^T \cdot A_G \cdot \vec{\mathbb{1}}_I = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \frac{\vec{\mathbb{1}}_I \cdot \vec{v}_i}{\vec{\mathbb{1}}_I \cdot \vec{v}_j} \cdot a_{ij} = 0.$$

$$\text{Then } D = \vec{\mathbb{1}}_I^T A_G \vec{\mathbb{1}}_I$$

$$= \left(\sum_i \alpha_i \vec{v}_i \right)^T A_G \left(\sum_j \alpha_j \vec{v}_j \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \vec{v}_i^T A_G \vec{v}_j$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \alpha_i^2$$

$$\geq \mu_1 \alpha_1^2 + \mu_n (\alpha_n^2 - \dots - \alpha_2^2) \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Also } \alpha_{(G)} = |I| = \vec{\mathbb{1}}_I \cdot \vec{\mathbb{1}}_I$$

$$= \langle \sum_i \alpha_i \vec{v}_i, \sum_i \alpha_i \vec{v}_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 \quad (1)$$

Also $\mu_1 = d$ and $\vec{v}_1 = \vec{1}/\sqrt{n}$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 = \vec{1}_I \cdot \vec{v}_1 = \frac{|I|}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (2)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \geq \frac{|I|^2}{n} \mu_1 + \left(|I| - \frac{|I|^2}{n} \right) \mu_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_{(G)} = |I| \leq n \cdot \frac{\mu_n}{\mu_1 - \mu_n} \quad \boxed{\square}$$

Def. A Kneser graph $K(n, k)$ with $n \geq k$ is

a graph with vertex set $\binom{[n]}{k}$ such that for

any two sets $A, B \in \binom{[n]}{k}$, A is adjacent to B

if and only if $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

Fact. Any independent set of $K(n, k)$ is
an intersecting family in $\binom{[n]}{k}$.

Erdős-Kő-Rado Thm (Re statement)

$$\alpha(K_{n,k}) \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

Pf.: We need the following fact that

the eigenvalues of $K_{n,k}$ are :

$$\lambda_j = (-1)^j \binom{n-k-j}{k-j} \text{ of multiplicity } \binom{n}{j} - \binom{n}{j-1}$$

for $j=0, 1, \dots, k$

Since $K_{n,k}$ is d-regular with $d = \binom{n-k}{k}$,

by Hoffman's bound, we get $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the max eigen} \\ \text{is } d, \text{ while} \\ \text{the min eigen} \\ \text{is } \lambda_1 = -\binom{n-k-1}{k-1} \end{array} \right.$

$$\alpha(K_{n,k}) \leq \binom{n}{k} \cdot \frac{\binom{n-k-1}{k-1}}{\binom{n-k}{k} + \binom{n-k-1}{k-1}}$$

$$= \binom{n-1}{k-1}$$



Def. The Rayleigh quotient of a vector $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to an $n \times n$ matrix M is defined

$$\frac{\vec{x}^T M \vec{x}}{\vec{x}^T \vec{x}}$$

Thm 4. Let M be a symmetric matrix and let $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a non-zero vector that maximizes the Rayleigh quotient

$$\frac{\vec{x}^T M \vec{x}}{\vec{x}^T \vec{x}}$$

Then this Rayleigh quotient $\lambda = \frac{\vec{x}^T M \vec{x}}{\vec{x}^T \vec{x}}$ is the largest eigenvalue of M and \vec{x} is an eigenvector of λ .

Thm 5. (Courant-Fischer Thm) Let L be an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n. \text{ Then}$$

$$\lambda_k = \min_{\substack{S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \\ \dim(S) = k}} \max_{\substack{\vec{x} \in S \\ \vec{x} \neq 0}} \frac{\vec{x}^\top L \vec{x}}{\vec{x}^\top \vec{x}}$$

$$= \max_{T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n} \min_{x \in T, x \neq 0} \frac{\vec{x}^\top L \vec{x}}{\vec{x}^\top \vec{x}}$$

$\dim(T) = n-k+1$

Def.: The Laplacian matrix L_G of a graph G

is defined by $L_G = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & - & & \\ & d_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & d_n \end{pmatrix} - A_G$

where d_i denotes the degree of the vertex i .

Theorem 6 (Godsil-Newman) Let S be an

independent set in G , and let

$$d_{ave}(S) = \frac{\sum_{i \in S} d_i}{|S|}$$

Then $|S| \leq n \left(1 - \frac{d_{ave}(S)}{\lambda_n} \right)$,

where $n = |V(G)|$ and λ_n is the maximum eigenvalue of the matrix L_G .

Remark Thm 6 \Rightarrow Thm 4.

Let G be d -regular. $\Rightarrow \text{dave}(G) = d$

$\& \lambda_n = d - \mu_n$, where μ_n is the least eigenvalue of A_G

$$\text{Then } |f(s)| \leq n \left(1 - \frac{\text{dave}(s)}{\lambda_n} \right)$$

$$= n \left(1 - \frac{d}{d - \mu_n} \right) = n \frac{-\mu_n}{d - \mu_n}$$

Pf of Thm 6. Consider L_G .

$$\text{Then } \lambda_n = \max_{\vec{x}} \frac{\vec{x}^T L_G \vec{x}}{\vec{x}^T \vec{x}} \quad (\text{by Thm 4})$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_n = \max_{\vec{x} \perp \vec{1}} \frac{\vec{x}^T L_G \vec{x}}{\vec{x}^T \vec{x}}$$

Consider $\vec{x}_0 = \vec{1}_S - s\vec{1}$,

where $s = \frac{|S|}{n}$ ($\vec{x}_0 \perp \vec{1}$)

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_n \geq \frac{\vec{x}_0^T L_G \vec{x}_0}{\vec{x}_0^T \vec{x}_0},$$

where $\vec{x}_0^T L_G \vec{x}_0 = \sum_{i \in S} d_i = d_{\text{ave}}(S) \cdot |S|$.

and $\vec{x}_0^T \vec{x}_0 = n(s - s^2)$.

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_n \geq \frac{d_{\text{ave}}(S) \cdot |S|}{n(s - s^2)} = \frac{d_{\text{ave}}(S)}{1 - s}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \frac{d_{\text{ave}}(S)}{\lambda_n} \geq s = \frac{|S|}{n}.$$

[Thm] (Hoffman bound) For any G

(not necessarily regular), $\chi_c(G) \geq 1 + \frac{\mu_1}{-\lambda_n}$

T^{max}

$$\chi(\omega) \leq 1 + [M_1].$$