

Fig. 3.  $F_{\theta}$ : true distribution,  $F_{\hat{\theta}_n}$ : smooth empirical distribution \*: smooth boostrap empirical distributions. *BW*: the Bootstrap World, *P*: the World of Measures.

 $\hat{\zeta}_n = E(\hat{\zeta}_{n,B}^* | \mathcal{X}_d)$  is the parameter to be estimated by  $\hat{\zeta}_{n,B}^*$ . The heuristics in Section 4.1 indicate that, as the dimension of the estimand  $\zeta$  increases, the probability  $F_{\hat{\zeta}_{n,B}^*}$  will stay in the Hellinger ball with centre  $F_{\zeta}$  and radius  $H(F_{\zeta}, F_{\hat{\zeta}_n})$  decreases. In Proposition 1, it is seen that the same holds for a larger radius. The probability is maximised when  $F_{\zeta}$  is on the surface of the larger ball representing the Bootstrap World. That is, the bootstrap estimate of  $F_{\zeta}$  (or of  $\zeta$ ) has higher chance to beat the classical estimate when the latter deteriorates; as with the bootstrap samples, we will not know about it. In Example 1, the comparison of the differences Dk, k = 1, 2, was motivated by these heuristics.

## 5. Confirming the heuristics and the examples

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\hat{\zeta}_{n,B,i}^*$  be a bootstrap estimate of  $\zeta_i (\in R)$ , and let  $\hat{\zeta}_{n,i} = E[\hat{\zeta}_{n,B,i}^* | \mathscr{X}_d], =1, \dots, d;$  $\zeta, \hat{\zeta}_n, \hat{\zeta}_{n,B}^*$  are the corresponding d-vectors. Then,

(a) the estimate  $\hat{\zeta}_{n,B}^*$  is inadmissible:

$$E \|\hat{\zeta}_{n,B}^* - \zeta\|^2 = E \|\hat{\zeta}_n - \zeta\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{a} E \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\zeta}_{n,B,i}^* | \mathscr{X}_d).$$