

PART II. Deligne - Lusztig theory for finite reductive groups

Chapter 5. Review of algebraic groups

Chapter 6. \mathbb{F}_q -structures on alg. groups

Chapter 7. Harish-Chandra theory

Chapter 8. Deligne - Lusztig theory

Chapter 9. Application, open problems

Schedule.

- NO LECTURE on Friday, Dec. 16
- Two lectures:
 - Wednesday, Dec. 21
 - Friday, Dec. 23

Chapter 8. Deligne - Lusztig theory

- G connected reductive algebraic group
- $F : G \longrightarrow G$ Frobenius endomorphism / \mathbb{F}_q
- $G^F = \{g \in G \mid F(g) = g\}$
(finite reductive group)
- B_0 : F -stable Borel subgroup
 \cup
 T_0 : F -stable maximal torus
- $W = N_G(T_0)/T_0$: Weyl group
- $S = \{w \in W \mid \dim B_0 \circ B_0 - \dim B_0 = 1\}$

Example. $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F})$

8. A. Deligne - Lusztig varieties.

Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G admitting an F -stable Levi complement.

$$\text{Let } V = R_u(P) ; P = L \times V.$$

THE GROUP P IS NOT
ASSUMED TO BE F -STABLE !!!

We set

$$Y_p^G = Y_p = \{ gV \in G/V \mid g^{-1}F(g) \in V \cdot F(V) \}$$

$$\pi \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad (\text{Deligne - Lusztig varieties})$$

$$X_p^G = X_p = \{ gP \in G/P \mid g^{-1}F(g) \in P \cdot F(P) \}$$

- G^F acts on the left : if $g_0 \in G^F$,

$$(g_0 g)^{-1} F(g_0 g_0) = g^{-1} F(g)$$

(on Y_p and X_p)

- L^F acts on the right on Y_p because L normalizes V : $(gl)^{-1} F(gl) = l^{-1} g^{-1} F(g) l$

- π is G^F -equivariant.

Proposition 8.1. The varieties Y_p and X_p are smooth, quasi-affine, of pure dimension $\dim V \cdot F(V) - \dim V$.

The map π is a quotient of Y_p by L^F .

Proof. $V \cdot F(V)$ is smooth : it is the $(V \times V)$ -orbit of 1 for the action on G given by $(v, v') \cdot g = v g F(v')$.

$L^{-1}(V \cdot F(V)) \subset G$ is smooth

because L is a Galois unramified covering. But $Y_p = L^{-1}(V \cdot F(V)) / \sqrt{}$ is the quotient of a smooth variety by a free action : so it is smooth.

The dimension also follows.

Also G/V is quasi-affine because V is unipotent (ref ??).

- Let us prove that π is an orbit map.

Let $g \in G$ be such that $g^{-1}F(g) \in P \cdot F(P)$

$$P \cdot F(P) = L \cdot V \underset{\sim}{\curvearrowright} L \cdot F(V) = L \cdot V \cdot F(V).$$

We can write $g^{-1}F(g) = l \cdot x$, $x \in V \cdot F(V)$.

By Lang Theorem applied to L , there exists $a \in L$ such that $aF(a)^{-1} = l$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } (ga)^{-1}F(ga) &= a^{-1}g^{-1}F(g)F(a) \\
 &= a^{-1}\ell \times F(a) \\
 &= \underbrace{a^{-1}\ell}_{=1} F(a) \cdot \underbrace{F(a)^{-1}n}_{\in V \cdot F(V)} F(a)
 \end{aligned}$$

So $gaV \in Y_p$ and $\pi(gaV) = gaP = gP$
(since $a \in L \subset P$).

$\Rightarrow \pi$ is surjective.

Also, fibers of π are L^F -orbits
(use the fact that $V \cdot F(V) \cap L = \{1\}$:
see Digne-Michel book).

Proving that π is a quotient requires
some more work... ■

Example 8.2. Assume Rec that P is F -stable.

So V is F -stable:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_p &= \{gV \in G/V \mid g^{-1}F(g) \in V\} \\
 &= (G/V)^F = G/V^F \quad \text{because } V \text{ is} \\
 &\quad \text{connected. ■}
 \end{aligned}$$

Example 8.3. Assume that $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$

and $G^F = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Let

$$B_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} a \in \mathbb{F}^\times \\ b \in \mathbb{F} \end{array} \right\}; \quad T_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, a \in \mathbb{F}^\times \right\}$$

$$U_0 = R_u(B_0) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$

Let $s \in \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $g_0 \in G$ be
such that $g_0^{-1}F(g_0) = s$.

$$\text{Let } T' = {}^{g_0}T_0, \quad B' = {}^{g_0}B_0.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Then } F(T') &= {}^{F(g_0)}T_0 = {}^{g_0g_0^{-1}F(g_0)}T_0 \\
 &= {}^{g_0s}T_0 \\
 &= {}^{g_0}T_0 = T'
 \end{aligned}$$

$$F(B') = \dots = {}^{g_0}B_0 \neq g_0B_0 = B'.$$

T'^F ? Let $c_{g_0}: T_0 \longrightarrow T'$
 $t \longmapsto g_0 t g_0^{-1}$

$$c_{g_0}(tF(t)) = F(c_{g_0}(t))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hence } T'^F &\simeq T_0^{g_0} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in T_0 \mid \right. \\
 &\quad \left. a = a^{-q} \text{ and } a^q = a^{-1} \right\} \simeq \mu_{q+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$\cdot Y_{B'}$? $G/U' \ni gU'$ where $U' = R_u(B')$

12

$$G/U_0 \ni gU'g_0 = gg_0g_0^{-1}U'g_0 = gg_0U_0$$

$$\text{So } Y_{B'} \simeq \{ gU_0 \in G/U_0 \mid$$

$$(gg_0^{-1})^{-1}F(gg_0^{-1}) \in U' \cdot F(U')\}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \in gg_0g_0^{-1}F(g)F(g_0^{-1})$$

$$\in g_0U_0 \underbrace{g_0^{-1}F(g_0)}_{\substack{\parallel \\ D}} U_0 F(g_0)^{-1}$$

$$Y_{B'} \simeq \{ gU_0 \in G/U_0 \mid g^{-1}F(g) \in U_0 \cup U_0 \}$$

The map $G/U_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{F}) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$

$$gU_0 \mapsto g \cdot (1,0)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & t \end{pmatrix} U_0 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U_0 \cup U_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{F}) \mid c=1 \right\}$$

(exercise).

$$Y_{B'} \simeq \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{F}) \setminus \{(0,0)\} \mid \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & t \end{pmatrix}^{-1} F \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & t \end{pmatrix} \in U_0 \cup U_0 \right\}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} t & -y \\ -y & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^q & y \\ y^q & t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Y_{B'} = \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{F}) \setminus \{(0,0)\} \mid xy^q - yx^q = 1 \right\}$$

(!!!)

Conjecture: Y_p is affine.

Theorem (Deligne-Lusztig): True if q is large enough
(for GL_n , $q > n$ is ok).

Remark.

- He, Orlik-Rapoport, B.-Rouquier (2008)
- Marashita (2013) : $|S| \leq 2$.
- It is known that $H_c^i(Y_p) = 0$ if $i \notin [\dim Y_p, 2 \dim Y_p]$

(Pigne - Michel - Rouquier, 2006, coro 3.3.22).

8.B. Deligne-Lusztig induction and restriction.

$$\text{Let } H_c^\bullet(Y_p) = \bigoplus_{i>0} H_c^i(Y_p)$$

(KG^F, KL^F) - grmod
graded

Deligne-Lusztig functions

Deligne-Lusztig mrys.

$$R_{LCP}^G : KL^F\text{-grmod} \longrightarrow KG^F\text{-grmod}$$

$$M \mapsto H_c^\bullet(Y_p) \otimes_{KL^F} M$$

$$\cong \bigoplus_i M_i$$

$$\oplus \left(\bigoplus_i H_c^i(Y_p) \otimes_{KL^F} M_{i-i} \right)$$

$${}^*R_{LCP}^G : KG^F\text{-grmod} \longrightarrow KL^F\text{-grmod}$$

$$M \mapsto H_c^\bullet(Y_p)^* \otimes_{KG^F} M$$

If $M \in KL^F\text{-grmod}$, we set

$$\chi_M^{\text{en}} = \sum_i (-1)^i \chi_{M_i} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{In } L^F$$

We set

$$R_{LCP}^G : \text{Class}(L^F) \longrightarrow \text{Class}(G^F)$$

$$\gamma \mapsto \left(g \mapsto \frac{1}{|L^F|} \sum_{l \in L^F} \text{Tr}_{Y_p}^*(g, l) \lambda(l^{-1}) \right)$$

$${}^*R_{LCP}^G : \text{Class}(G^F) \longrightarrow \text{Class}(L^F)$$

$$(8.4) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} R_{LCP}^G \chi_M^{\text{en}} = \chi_{{}^*R_{LCP}^G(M)}^{\text{en}} \\ {}^*R_{LCP}^G \chi_N^{\text{en}} = \chi_{{}^*R_{LCP}^G(N)}^{\text{en}} \end{array} \right.$$

Computing R_{LCP}^G is very hard

Computing R_{LCP}^G is easier

Proposition 8.5 (adjunction).

$$\langle \gamma, R_{LCP}^G \gamma \rangle_{G^F} = \langle {}^*R_{LCP}^G \gamma, \gamma \rangle_{L^F}$$

Examples 8.6. (1) If P is F -stable,

then $\gamma_P = G^F / \gamma_F$ (example 8.2(1))

so $H^*(\gamma_P) = K[G^F / \gamma_F]$. In particular,

Deligne - Kazhdan induction coincides with
Hanish - Chandra induction.

(2) If $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{IF})$:

$$R_{T'CB'}^G = R'_1 \oplus R'_2 \quad (\text{see Part I})$$

$$\text{and } R_{T'CB'}^G = -R' \blacksquare$$

Transitivity 8.7. $(L', P') \subset L$

$$\begin{cases} R_{LCP}^G \circ R_{L'C'P'}^L = R_{L'C'P'V}^G \\ {}^*R_{L'C'P'}^L \circ {}^*R_{LCP}^G = {}^*R_{L'C'P'V}^G \end{cases}$$

Corollary 8.8.

$$\begin{cases} R_{LCP}^G \circ R_{L'C'P'}^L = R_{L'C'P'V}^G \\ {}^*R_{L'C'P'}^L \circ {}^*R_{L'C'P'V}^G = {}^*R_{L'C'P'V}^G \end{cases}$$

Proof of 8.7. By the Künneth formula 3.7(e),
it is sufficient to prove that

$$(\gamma_P^G \times \gamma_{P'}^L) /_{L^F} \simeq \gamma_{P'V}^G \quad (?)$$

$$\gamma_P^G \times \gamma_{P'}^L \xrightarrow{\varphi} \gamma_{P'V}^G$$

$$(gV, \ell V') \longmapsto g\ell VV' = g\ell V'V$$

$$\begin{aligned} (g\ell)^{-1} F(g\ell) &= \ell^{-1} g^{-1} F(g) F(\ell) \\ &= \underbrace{\ell^{-1} F(\ell)}_{\in V' \cdot F(V')} \underbrace{F(g)^{-1} (g^{-1} F(g))}_{V \cdot F(V)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of 8.7. By the Künneth formula 3.7(c),

it is sufficient to prove that

$$(Y_P^G \times Y_{P'}^L) / L_F \simeq Y_{P'V}^G \quad (?)$$

$$Y_P^G \times Y_{P'}^L \xrightarrow{\varphi} Y_{P'V}^G$$

$$(gV, \ell V') \longmapsto g\ell VV' = g\ell V'V$$

$$\begin{aligned} (g\ell)^{-1}F(g\ell) &= \ell^{-1}g^{-1}F(g)F(\ell) \\ &= \underbrace{\ell^{-1}F(\ell)}_{\in V \cdot F(V)} \underbrace{(g^{-1}F(g))}_{V \cdot F(V)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\in V' \cdot F(V') \cdot \underbrace{F(\ell)^{-1}}_{\in V \cdot F(V)} (V \cdot F(V))$$

$$= V' \cdot F(V') \cdot \underbrace{V \cdot F(V)}_{\in V' \cdot V}$$

$$= V' \cdot V \cdot F(V') \cdot F(V)$$

$$= (V'V) \cdot F(V'V)$$

Exercise. Prove that φ is a quotient

map by L_F . \blacksquare

Mackey formulas for Harish-Chandra induction and restriction (7.6 and 7.7)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^+R_{Lcp}^G \circ R_{L'cp'}^G(M) \simeq \bigoplus_{q \in L^F \setminus S(L, L')^F / L'^F} R_L^L \circ {}^+R_{L^{n^q}L' \subset L^{n^q}P'}^{g_L} \circ {}^+R_{L^{n^q}L' \subset Pn^qL'}^{g_{L'}}(gM) \\ \langle R_{Lcp}^G \lambda, R_{L'cp'}^G \lambda' \rangle_{G^F} = \sum_{q \in L^F \setminus S(L, L')^F / L'^F} \langle {}^+R_{L^{n^q}L' \subset L^{n^q}P'}^L \lambda, {}^+R_{L^{n^q}L' \subset Pn^qL'}^{g_{L'}} g\lambda' \rangle_{L^{n^q}L'^F} \end{array} \right.$$

Mackey formula for Deligne-Lusztig induction and restriction (CONJECTURE)

$$(M_{L,P,L',P'}^G) \quad \langle R_{Lcp}^G \lambda, R_{L'cp'}^G \lambda' \rangle_{G^F} = \sum_{q \in L^F \setminus S(L, L')^F / L'^F} \langle {}^+R_{L^{n^q}L' \subset L^{n^q}P'}^L \lambda, {}^+R_{L^{n^q}L' \subset Pn^qL'}^{g_{L'}} g\lambda' \rangle_{L^{n^q}L'^F}$$

Theorem 8.9. Mackey formula holds in the following cases:

- (1) If P and P' are F -stable (Deligne, 1979)
- (2) If L or L' is a maximal torus (Deligne - Lusztig, 1976)

- (3) If $q > 2$
- (4) If G is classical

} (B. - Michel , 2011)

(classical means type A, B, C, D ; i.e
 $GL_n, SL_n, PGL_n, Sp_{2n}, SO_n, Spin_n, \dots$)

Corollary 8.10. Assume $q > 2$, or L is a maximal torus, or G is classical.

Then R_{Lcp}^G does not depend on P .

Remark 8.11. In general, $R_{T \subset B}^G$ does depend on P .

For instance, there is an F -stable maximal torus $T \subset GL_3(\mathbb{F})$

such that $T^F \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times \times \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ admitting two Borel subgroups B and B' such that $T \subset B, B'$ and $\dim Y_B = 1$ and $\dim Y_{B'} = 3$.

$$\begin{array}{c} \{\text{partitions of } 3\} \\ \begin{matrix} \boxed{111} \\ \boxed{22} \\ \boxed{3} \end{matrix} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\sim} HC(G^F, T_0, 1_{T_0^F})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \boxed{111} & \longrightarrow & 1_{G^F} \\ \boxed{22} & \longrightarrow & St \\ \boxed{3} & \longrightarrow & \rho \end{array}$$

Then $R_{T \subset B}^G(K_{T^F}[0]) = (St \oplus \rho)[-1] \oplus (1_{G^F} \oplus \rho)[-2]$

and $R_{T \subset B'}^G(K_{T^F}[0]) = St[-3] \oplus 1_{G^F}[-6]$

$$R_{T \subset B}^G(1_{T^F}) = 1_{G^F} - St = R_{T \subset B'}^G(1_{T^F}). \blacksquare$$