

Some boundary value and mapping problems involving differential forms

¹Simons Centre for Geometry and Physics
Stony Brook

²Imperial College
London

October 9, 2021

In this talk we will discuss some differential geometric structures built out of algebra of exterior forms and the exterior derivative.

These produce some exceptional structures in moderately low dimensions (≤ 8).

The main focus of the talk is on questions involving some structures on 5-dimensional manifolds, somewhat related to Chern's work on hypersurfaces in \mathbf{C}^n (with $n = 3$), and also to the classical Minkowski problem for surfaces in \mathbf{R}^3 .

This is work in progress, joint with F. Lehmann (Simons Center, Stony Brook). We will also discuss some older work, in dimensions 6, 7.

Part 1. Background

Dimension 7

Let V be an oriented 7-dimensional real vector space and $\phi \in \Lambda^3 V^*$.

We have a quadratic form on V with values in the line Λ^7 defined by

$$v \mapsto (i_v(\phi))^2 \wedge \phi.$$

The form ϕ is called *positive* if this is positive definite.

In that case we fix the scale by requiring that $|\phi|^2 = 7$. This gives a Euclidean structure g_ϕ on V , determined by ϕ .

We have a 4-form $*\phi = *_\phi\phi$.

The stabiliser in $GL(V)$ of any positive 3-form is isomorphic to the 14-dimensional compact exceptional Lie group G_2 .

Fernandez and Gray: *A torsion-free G_2 -structure on an oriented 7-manifold M is equivalent to a 3-form ϕ which is everywhere positive and with $d\phi = 0$, $d * \phi = 0$.*

Note

A torsion-free G_2 structure is essentially the same as a Riemannian manifold with *holonomy* contained in $G_2 \subset O(7)$.

One reason for being interested in these structures is that the Riemannian metric g_ϕ of a torsion-free structure has $\text{Ricci} = 0$.

Hitchin's variational formulation

We have a volume function

$$\nu : \Lambda_+^3 \rightarrow \Lambda^7.$$

The derivative is

$$\delta\nu = \frac{1}{3}\delta\phi \wedge *\phi.$$

Let ϕ be a positive form on a closed M^7 . It defines a volume

$$\text{Vol}(\phi) = \int_M \nu(\phi).$$

Consider the volume as a functional on the closed forms ϕ in a fixed de Rham cohomology class (assuming that this space is not empty).

The condition for a critical point is

$$\int_M d\sigma \wedge *\phi = 0$$

for all 2-forms σ .

Integrating by parts, this is equivalent to $d*\phi = 0$.

So the torsion-free G_2 structures correspond to the solutions of this variational problem.

Dimension 6

Let U be a 6-dimensional oriented real vector space and $\rho \in \Lambda^3 U^*$.

Say ρ is *positive* if $i_v \rho$ has rank 4 for all $v \neq 0$. Assume this holds.

For $v \neq 0$, let N_v be the null-space of $i_v(\rho)$. Thus $v \in N_v$ and

$$N_v = \{v' : i_{v'} i_v \rho = 0\}.$$

By assumption $\dim N_v = 2$ and for each $v' \in N_v$ the form $i_{v'} \rho$ induces a symplectic form $\omega_{v'}$ on the 4-dimensional space U/N_v .

The map $v' \mapsto \omega_{v'}^2$ defines a conformal structure on N_v and, thinking about orientations, we see that there is a corresponding complex structure on N_v . So we have a way to define $I_\rho v \in N_v \subset U$. This gives a complex structure I_ρ on U .

We also get a complex 3-form $\Omega = \rho + i\tilde{\rho}$ which is of type $(3, 0)$ with respect to I_ρ .

The stabiliser in $GL^+(U)$ of a positive 3-form is isomorphic to $SL(3, \mathbf{C})$.

A positive 3-form on an oriented 6-manifold Z defines an almost-complex structure I_ρ and another 3-form $\tilde{\rho}$.

A *complex Calabi-Yau structure* on an oriented 6-manifold Z is equivalent to a positive 3-form ρ such that $d\rho = d\tilde{\rho} = 0$.

These conditions imply that the almost-complex structure I_ρ is integrable, so we have a complex manifold, and $\Omega = \rho + i\tilde{\rho}$ is a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic 3-form.

There is a similar Hitchin variational description, with a volume functional on the space of positive 3-forms in a fixed de Rham cohomology class.

Part 2: Boundary value problem for G_2 -structures

References:

- *An elliptic boundary value problem for G_2 -structures* Ann. Inst. Fourier , 2018
- *Boundary value problems in dimensions 7, 4 and 3 related to exceptional holonomy* Geometry and physics. Oxford U.P. 2018.
- *Remarks on G_2 -manifolds with boundary* Surveys in differential geometry 2017.

Let M be a compact oriented 7-manifold with boundary $\partial M = Z$ and ρ a closed positive 3-form on Z .

We consider the problem of finding a torsion-free G_2 -structure ϕ (in a fixed “relative” class) on M which restricts to ρ on the boundary.

This has a variational description. (Consider variations $d\sigma$ with $\sigma|_Z = 0$. Then

$$\delta\text{Vol} = \int_M d(*\phi) \wedge \sigma + \int_Z *\phi \wedge \sigma,$$

and the boundary term vanishes.)

Proposition *This is an elliptic boundary value problem for ϕ , modulo diffeomorphisms of M fixing the boundary.*

Brief discussion

Sketch of the standard case, for a closed manifold M .

Recall first a theorem of Chern, that the Laplace operator on a Riemannian manifold with holonomy group G preserves the decomposition of forms according to the irreducible representations of G (thus giving a decomposition of the cohomology, in the compact case).

We suppose that ϕ is a torsion-free G_2 structure and want to consider the linearised equation (equivalently, the Hessian of the volume functional).

An infinitesimal variation of ϕ has the form $d\sigma$. The variations $L_v\phi = d i_v\phi$ for vector fields v are “trivial”.

The 2-forms on M decompose under the holonomy group G_2 into $\Omega_7^2 \oplus \Omega_{14}^2$.

The first factor corresponds to the forms of type $i_V\phi$. So we can suppose that σ lies in Ω_{14}^2 .

The linearised operator turns out to be the Laplacian on $\ker d^* \subset \Omega_{14}^2$.

The structure ϕ is a strict *local maximum* of the volume functional on the forms in the same cohomology class, modulo diffeomorphisms.

In the case of a manifold with boundary, the space Λ_{14}^2 decomposes at a boundary point into a sum of 8-dimensional and 6-dimensional pieces.

(The 8-dimensional piece corresponds to the Lie algebra of $SU(3)$ inside the Lie algebra of G_2 .)

The linearised operator associated to the boundary value problem turns out to be the Laplacian on Ω_{14}^2 with boundary conditions

- $d^* \sigma|_{\partial M} = 0$;
- $\sigma|_{\partial M,8} = 0$.

(Note that this is $6 + 8 = 14$ boundary conditions, of mixed Dirichlet and Neumann type.)

One checks that this is an elliptic boundary value set-up.

Consequence of the Proposition

For small variations of the data (*i.e.* the 3-form ρ and the cohomology class of ϕ) there is a unique small perturbation of the solution to the B.V. problem for G_2 -structures **MODULO** possible obstructions in a finite-dimensional vector space H_ϕ .

This is a relatively standard application of the implicit function theorem in Banach spaces, and elliptic theory.

One can prove in certain cases that these obstruction spaces H_ϕ vanish.

Example If ρ_0, ρ_1 are closed 3-forms in the same cohomology class on a 6-manifold Z which are sufficiently close to a Calabi-Yau structure then there is a “ G_2 -cobordism” between them, perturbing the cylinder $(\text{Calabi} - \text{Yau}) \times [0, 1]$.

Part 3: Boundary values of complex Calabi-Yau structures

Let Y be an compact oriented 5-manifold and ψ a closed 3-form on Y .

If Y is the boundary of a 6-manifold Z then we can seek a complex Calabi-Yau structure ρ on Z with boundary value ψ , in the manner above.

This is *not* an elliptic boundary value problem.

Note that, unlike the G_2 -case, complex Calabi-Yau structures are locally trivial.

We focus on a variant of the problem, which is to seek Z as a domain in some fixed complex Calabi-Yau manifold Z_+ , with holomorphic 3-form Ω . For example $Z_+ = \mathbf{C}^3$.

Then we have a *MAPPING PROBLEM*: Is there an embedding

$$F : Y \rightarrow Z_+$$

such that

$$F^*(\operatorname{Re} \Omega) = \psi?$$

Informal parameter count.

A closed 3-form in 5 dimensions is given by $10 - 5 + 1 = 6$ functions, which is the same number as a map into a 6-manifold Z_+ .

This is special to the dimension: for example, a closed 4-form on a 7-manifold is given by $35 - 21 + 7 - 1 = 20$ functions, which is much more than 8.

Digression: a dimensionally reduced problem

Take $Y = \Sigma \times_y^3$ where Σ is diffeomorphic to S^2 and take

$$\psi = \omega_1 dy_1 + \omega_2 dy_2 + \omega_3 dy_3,$$

where $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ are 2-forms on Σ and y_a are standard co-ordinates on \mathbf{R}_y^3 .

Take F to have the form

$$F(u, (y_1, y_2, y_3)) = f(u) + (iy_1, iy_2, iy_3)$$

for a map $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_x^3 \subset \mathbf{C}^3$.

Then the problem is to find f such that

$$f^*(dx_a dx_b) = \omega_c,$$

for (abc) cyclic permutations of (123) , where x_a are co-ordinates on \mathbf{R}_x^3 .

Clearly we need to assume that

$$\int_{\Sigma} \omega_a = 0$$

Choose an area form σ on Σ . We have $\omega_a = h_a \sigma$ for functions h_a on Σ . Write $\underline{h} = (h_1, h_2, h_3) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_x^3$.

Assume that \underline{h} nowhere vanishes and that it induces a diffeomorphism $g = h/|h| : \Sigma \rightarrow S^2$.

Then finding the map f is equivalent to the classical **Minkowski problem**, solved by Nirenberg in 1953.

(Chern gave a short proof of uniqueness in *Amer. J. Math.* 1957. Calabi has describe the Minkowski problem as a “Rosetta stone”.)

Given a positive function κ on S^2 , the Minkowski problem is to find a convex surface $S \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ such that the Gauss curvature of S at a point $p \in S$ is $\kappa(\nu_p)$ where ν_p is the outward normal vector at p .

The answer is that there is a unique solution (mod translation) provided that the mean value in \mathbf{R}^3 of κ is 0.

This is important in diffraction theory. Finding the solution S is an inverse problem, to reconstruct a surface from its diffraction and reflection of high-frequency radiation.

To see the equivalence, without loss of generality we can suppose that $|h| = 1$ and that $\Sigma = S^2$ with g the identity map. Then ω_a are the 2-forms on S^2 determined by a positive function K :

$$\omega_a = K^{-1} x_a dA,$$

where dA is the standard area form on S^2 .

The condition we require on the map $f : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ is that the normal vector to the image at $f(x)$ is x and that the Gauss curvature at that point is $K(x)$.

End of digression

Closed 3-forms in dimension 5

(Incorporating suggestions of Robert Bryant.)

Let ψ be a closed 3-form on the oriented Y^5 . At each point ψ defines a skew form on cotangent vectors with values in Λ^5 :

$$(a, b) \mapsto a \wedge b \wedge \psi.$$

We will restrict to 3-forms satisfying three open conditions.

Assumption 1 The skew form above has maximal rank, 4, at each point. (This is necessary for a form induced from $Y \subset (Z_+, \Omega)$).

The 1-dimensional kernel in T^*Y correspond to a field of 4-dimensional subspaces $H \subset TY$.

Assumption 2 H is a contact structure on Y .

There is a contact 1-form θ with $(d\theta)^2 \wedge \theta > 0$. This is not unique; we could change θ to $f\theta$ for any positive function f .

By construction $\psi = \theta \wedge \alpha$ for a 2-form α .

We can also change α to $\alpha + \eta \wedge \theta$ for any 1-form η .

Assumption 3

$$\theta \wedge \alpha^2 > 0.$$

(Assumptions 2 and 3 imply that if ψ is induced from $Y \subset (Z_+, \Omega)$ bounding a domain Z then, with the right choice of orientation, the boundary is pseudoconvex.)

We can then normalise θ by the requirement that $\alpha^2 \wedge \theta = (d\theta)^2 \wedge \theta$. Write $\omega = d\theta$ and fix the volume form $\mu = \omega^2 \wedge \theta$.

We have a Reeb vector field ν defined by the conditions that $i_\nu \omega = 0$ and $\theta(\nu) = 1$.

This gives a decomposition $TY = H \oplus \mathbf{R}\nu$ and a subspace of forms $\Omega_H^p \subset \Omega^p$ so that

$$\Omega^p = \Omega_H^p \oplus \theta \wedge \Omega_H^{p-1}.$$

We have

$$d_H : \Omega_H^p \rightarrow \Omega_H^{p+1}.$$

The square d_H^2 is the wedge product with ω .

The choice of α can be fixed by requiring $\alpha \in \Omega_H^2$.

We have an indefinite inner product of signature $(3, 3)$ on $\Lambda^2 H^*$ defined by

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)_{\mu} = \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \theta.$$

By construction $d_H \omega = 0$ and $\omega \cdot \omega = \alpha \cdot \alpha = 1$. The conditions that $\psi = \alpha \wedge \theta$ is closed is equivalent to $\alpha \cdot \omega = 0$ and $d_H \alpha = 0$.

The orthonormal pair ω, α defines a complex structure J on H such that $\omega + i\alpha$ has type $(2, 0)$ with respect to J .

The structure group of the tangent bundle of Y is reduced to $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$.

Let L_v be the Lie derivative along the Reeb field v . There is an **invariant** $\chi = L\alpha$ which satisfies $\chi.\alpha = \chi.\omega = 0$, so χ has type $(1, 1)$ with respect to J .

Thus there is a notion of “positivity” of χ .

There is also a numerical invariant $\chi.\chi$ (a function on Y).

(According to Robert Bryant, the tensor χ is the only second order invariant of closed 3-forms ψ , satisfying our assumptions.)

Suppose now that ψ is obtained from an embedding $Y \subset Z_+$. The restriction of $\text{Im } \Omega$ can be written as $\beta \wedge \theta$ for $\beta \in \Omega_H^2$ with $d_H \beta = 0$ and ω, α, β form an orthonormal triple with respect to the inner product. The pair α, β defines another complex structure I on H , which is the usual CR-structure given by the embedding.

There is a unique metric on H with volume form ω^2 and self-dual space spanned by ω, α, β . The structure group of TY is reduced to $SU(2) \cong Sp(1)$ —we have a quaternionic structure on H .

These structure $(\nu, H, \omega, \alpha, \beta)$ on Y have been studied by Conti and Salamon (2006), called there “hypo” structures.

It is interesting to relate the invariants $\chi, \chi \cdot \chi$ of the 3-form on Y to the theory of real hypersurfaces, when Y is embedded in a Calabi-Yau Z^+ .

A PDE problem on Y . Given $\psi = \alpha \wedge \theta$ as above, can we find a $\beta \in \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ with $d_H\beta = 0$ such that (ω, α, β) is an orthonormal triple, and if so is the solution unique?

This can be seen as a “contact” version of the Calabi problem in complex dimension 2 (the existence of a hyperkähler structure), solved by Yau.

In that case we are given a 4-manifold M and orthonormal closed 2-forms $\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\alpha}$ and the problem is to find a closed 2-form $\tilde{\beta}$ such that $\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ make up an orthonormal triple.

The PDE problem on Y is closely related to the MAPPING PROBLEM since β defines a CR-structure and the MAPPING PROBLEM is reduced to the better studied embedding problem for CR-structures.

The linearised mapping problem

For definiteness, take Y diffeomorphic to S^5 and $Z_+ = \mathbf{C}^3$. A solution of the embedding problem will never be unique because it can be changed by a holomorphic volume-preserving diffeomorphism of \mathbf{C}^3 .

Suppose that the 3-form ψ is induced by an embedding $Y \subset \mathbf{C}^3$ as the boundary of a pseudoconvex domain Z . The linearised problem can be expressed in terms of a complex

$$E_0 \xrightarrow{D_1} E_1 \xrightarrow{D_2} E_2, \quad \text{DEF}$$

where:

- E_0 is the space of divergence-free holomorphic vector fields on Z ;
- E_1 is the space of sections of $T\mathbf{C}^3|_Y$;
- E_2 is the space of closed 3-forms on Y .

If D_2 is surjective then, at the linearised level, we can realise any small deformation of the 3-form on Y by a deformation of the embedding.

If $\ker D_2 = \text{Im} D_1$ then, at the linearised level, the deformation is unique modulo holomorphic volume-preserving diffeomorphisms.

The embedding $Y \subset \mathbf{C}^3$ defines data θ, α, β on Y , as above. Let $\Omega_H^- \subset \Omega_H^2$ be the space of anti-self-dual forms Ω_H^- . This is the orthogonal complement of the self-dual forms, which are spanned by ω, α, β .

We have a complex

$$\Omega_H^0 \xrightarrow{d_H} \Omega_H^1 \xrightarrow{d_H^-} \Omega_H^-. \quad (***)_H$$

Denote the cohomology by \mathcal{H}^p .

Proposition Suppose that $\mathcal{H}^2 = 0$. Then all the cohomology of DEF vanishes.

Proof of the assertion $\mathcal{H}^2 = 0$ implies that D_2 is surjective.

The restriction of the tangent bundle of \mathbf{C}^3 to Y is $H \oplus \mathbf{C}v$. For any section w let $K(w)$ be the restriction of the 2-form $i_w(\text{Re}\Omega)$ to Y . Then then the map D_2 is

$$D_2(w) = d(K(w)).$$

Any closed 3-form on Y can be written as $d\sigma$ and σ is unique up to $d\Omega_Y^1$. It follows that the cokernel of D_2 is isomorphic to the cokernel of

$$d \oplus K : \Omega_Y^1 \oplus \Gamma(T\mathbf{C}^3|_Y) \rightarrow \Omega_Y^2.$$

The image of the bundle map K is the span of α, β plus $\Omega_H^1 \wedge \theta$.

Since $d(f\theta) = f\omega$ modulo $\Omega_H^1 \wedge \theta$, the cokernel of $d \oplus K$ is isomorphic to the quotient of the cokernel of $d_H : \Omega_H^1 \rightarrow \Omega_H^2$ by the subspace generated by α, β, ω . This is the same as the cokernel of d_H^- .

The proof of the other assertion in the Proposition involves an integration argument to show that any $w \in \ker D_2$ is a holomorphic section in the sense of the $\bar{\partial}_b$ operator on Y , which then extends holomorphically over Z by a theorem of Hartogs type.

From linear to nonlinear.

This does not reduce to an elliptic PDE problem (the symbol of the operator d_H is degenerate in the v direction).

So the implicit function theorem in Banach spaces probably does not suffice.

We expect that the **Nash-Moser implicit function theorem** in Fréchet spaces can be applied to prove a deformation theorem, when $\mathcal{H}_2 = 0$.

Analysis on S^5

In the case when $Y \subset \mathbf{C}^3$ is the standard sphere S^5 with induced 3-form ψ_0 one can analyse these linear operators in a relatively explicit way.

We have an S^1 action with quotient \mathbf{CP}^2 and H is the pull-back of the tangent space of \mathbf{CP}^2 . Let $L \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^2$ be the Hopf line bundle. It has a connection with curvature a self-dual form on \mathbf{CP}^2 , which lifts to ω on S^5 .

For each integer k there is a complex over \mathbf{CP}^2 :

$$\Omega^0(L^k) \xrightarrow{d_k} \Omega^1(L^k) \xrightarrow{d_k^-} \Omega^-(L^k). \quad (***)_k$$

of a kind which is well-known in the deformation theory of Yang-Mills instantons.

One can use this to show that for any closed 3-form sufficiently close to ψ_0 we also have $\mathcal{H}^2 = 0$ and there is a right inverse to the linearised operator d_H^- satisfying explicit estimates in Sobolev spaces. This is the crucial requirement to apply the Nash-Moser theory.