

Holomorphic Poisson Structures and its Cohomology on Nilmanifolds

Zhuo Chen* Anna Fino[†] Yat-Sun Poon[‡]

June 30, 2015

Abstract

The subject for investigation in this note is concerned with holomorphic Poisson structures on nilmanifolds with abelian complex structures. As a basic fact, we establish that on such manifolds, the Dolbeault cohomology with coefficients in holomorphic polyvector fields is isomorphic to the cohomology of invariant forms with coefficients in invariant polyvector fields.

We then quickly identify the existence of invariant holomorphic Poisson structures. More important, the spectral sequence of the Poisson bi-complex associated to such holomorphic Poisson structure degenerates at E_2 . We will also provide examples of holomorphic Poisson structures on such manifolds so that the related spectral sequence does not degenerate at E_2 .

Keywords: holomorphic Poisson structure; nilmanifold; abelian complex structure; spectral sequence.

*Address: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, P.R.C.. E-mail: zchen@math.tsinghua.edu.cn.

[†]Address: Dipartimento di Matematica G. Peano, Università degli Studi di Torino, Torino, Italy. E-mail: annamaria.fino@unito.it

[‡]Address: Department of Mathematics, University of California at Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521, U.S.A.. E-mail: ypoon@ucr.edu.

1 Introduction

The investigation of Poisson bracket from a complex perspective started a while ago [24]. Attention on this subject in the past ten years is largely due to its role in generalized complex geometry [13, 14, 15]. It is now also known that product of holomorphic Poisson structures together with symplectic structures forms the local model of all generalized complex geometry [2].

Therefore, one could take many different routes when investigating holomorphic Poisson structures. One could study it as a complex geometric object and study its deformations as in [16]. One could also investigate it in the context of extended deformation, or generalized complex structures [11, 25]. In the former case, the deformation theory is dictated by the differential Gerstenhaber structure on a cohomology ring with coefficients in the holomorphic polyvector fields. In the latter case, it is on the part of the cohomology with total degree-2 as explained in [25]. As a key feature of generalized complex geometry is to encompass classical complex structures with symplectic structures in a single geometric framework, one could also relate the cohomology theory of a holomorphic Poisson structure as a generalized complex manifold to the cohomology theory on symplectic geometry [1, 28].

In this paper, we consider holomorphic Poisson structure as a geometric object in generalized complex structure, and study its cohomology theory accordingly. It will set a stage for studying deformation theory. In this perspective, it is known that the cohomology of a holomorphic Poisson structure could be computed by a bi-complex [17, 18]. The first level of the associated spectral sequence of this bi-complex is the Dolbeault cohomology with coefficients in holomorphic polyvector fields. It is known that this spectral sequence often, but does not always degenerate at its second level [5]. It is therefore interesting to find how often this spectral sequence indeed degenerates at its second level. On Kählerian manifolds, an affirmative answer for complex surfaces is found, and other general observation is made in [5].

In this note, we focus on non-Kählerian manifolds. In particular, we focus on nilmanifolds due to its rich history and role in generalized complex geometry [4]. Investigation on the cohomology theory on nilmanifolds also has a very rich history, beginning with Nomizu's work on de Rham cohomology [23]. There has been a rich

body of work on the Dolbeault cohomology of nilmanifolds with invariant complex structures [7, 9], and work on Dolbeault cohomology on the same kind of manifolds with coefficients in holomorphic tangent bundle [6, 8, 12, 21, 26]. In favorable situations, various authors proved that the cohomology is isomorphic to the cohomology of invariant objects.

In this paper, after a review of holomorphic Poisson structures and their associated bi-complex structures and a brief review of abelian complex structures on nilmanifolds, we show that the Dolbeault cohomology of an abelian complex structure on a nilmanifold with coefficients in holomorphic polyvector fields is isomorphic to the cohomology of the corresponding invariant objects; see Theorem 1. It means that the cohomology could be computed by a differential algebra over the field of complex numbers. It enables an analysis of the spectral sequence of the bi-complex associated to an invariant holomorphic Poisson structure.

After we establish the existence of invariant holomorphic Poisson structures on nilmanifolds with abelian complex structures in Section 5, we focus on proving Theorem 2. This theorem, which is also the key observation in this paper, states that on any nilmanifold with abelian complex structures, there exists an invariant holomorphic Poisson structure such that the spectral sequence of its associated bi-complex degenerates at its second level. This result generalizes one of the observations in [5] where the authors could only work on 2-step nilmanifolds.

However, at the end of this note, we caution the readers with an example that although such degeneracy occurs often, but it is not always true even in the context of nilmanifolds with abelian complex structures.

2 Holomorphic Poisson cohomology

In this section, we review the basic background materials as seen in [5] to set up the notations.

Let M be a manifold with an integrable complex structure J . Its complexified tangent bundle $TM_{\mathbb{C}}$ splits into the direct sum of bundle of $(1,0)$ -vectors $TM^{1,0}$ and bundle of $(0,1)$ -vectors $TM^{0,1}$. Their p -th exterior products are respectively

denoted by $TM^{p,0}$ and $TM^{0,p}$. Denote their dual bundles by $TM^{*(p,0)}$ and $TM^{*(0,p)}$ respectively.

When X, Y are vector fields, we denote their Lie bracket by $[X, Y]$. When ω is a 1-form, we denote the Lie derivative of ω along X by $[X, \omega]$. When ρ is another 1-form, we set $[\omega, \rho] = 0$. With this “bracket” structure and the natural projection from $\bar{L} := TM^{0,1} \oplus TM^{*(1,0)}$ to the summand $TM^{0,1}$, the bundle \bar{L} is equipped with a complex Lie algebroid structure. Together with its conjugate bundle $L = TM^{1,0} \oplus TM^{*(0,1)}$, they form a Lie bi-algebroid [19]. Then we get the Lie algebroid differential $\bar{\partial}$ for the Lie algebroid \bar{L} [20].

$$\bar{\partial} : C^\infty(M, TM^{1,0} \oplus TM^{*(0,1)}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \wedge^2(TM^{1,0} \oplus TM^{*(0,1)})). \quad (1)$$

It is extended to a differential of exterior algebras:

$$\bar{\partial} : C^\infty(M, \wedge^p(TM^{1,0} \oplus TM^{*(0,1)})) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \wedge^{p+1}(TM^{1,0} \oplus TM^{*(0,1)})). \quad (2)$$

It is an exercise in Lie algebroid theory that the Lie algebroid differential

$$\bar{\partial} : C^\infty(M, TM^{*(0,1)}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, TM^{*(0,2)})$$

is the $(0, 2)$ -component of the exterior differential, and

$$\bar{\partial} : C^\infty(M, TM^{1,0}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, TM^{*(0,1)} \otimes TM^{1,0})$$

is the Cauchy-Riemann operator [10].

On the space $C^\infty(M, \wedge^\bullet(TM^{1,0} \oplus TM^{*(0,1)}))$, the Schouten bracket, exterior product and the Lie algebroid differential $\bar{\partial}$ form a differential Gerstenhaber algebra [20, 25].

Suppose that Λ is a holomorphic Poisson structure, i.e. a smooth section of $TM^{2,0}$ such that $[\Lambda, \Lambda] = 0$ and $\bar{\partial}\Lambda = 0$. Denote the Schouten bracket of Λ with elements in $C^\infty(M, \wedge^\bullet(TM^{1,0} \oplus TM^{*(0,1)}))$ by ad_Λ , and the action of $\bar{\partial} + \text{ad}_\Lambda$ on the same space by $\bar{\partial}_\Lambda$. Since Λ is holomorphic Poisson,

$$\bar{\partial}_\Lambda : C^\infty(M, \wedge^k(TM^{1,0} \oplus TM^{*(0,1)})) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \wedge^{k+1}(TM^{1,0} \oplus TM^{*(0,1)})) \quad (3)$$

form an elliptic complex. From now on, for $n \geq 0$ denote

$$K^n = C^\infty(M, \wedge^n(TM^{1,0} \oplus TM^{*(0,1)})). \quad (4)$$

For $n < 0$, set $K^n = \{0\}$.

Definition 1 For all $k \geq 0$, the k -th Poisson cohomology of the holomorphic Poisson structure Λ is the space

$$H_{\Lambda}^k(M) := \frac{\text{kernel of } \bar{\partial}_{\Lambda} : K^k \rightarrow K^{k+1}}{\text{image of } \bar{\partial}_{\Lambda} : K^{k-1} \rightarrow K^k}. \quad (5)$$

Due to the nature of Λ , we have

$$\bar{\partial} \circ \bar{\partial} = 0, \quad \bar{\partial} \circ \text{ad}_{\Lambda} + \text{ad}_{\Lambda} \circ \bar{\partial} = 0, \quad \text{ad}_{\Lambda} \circ \text{ad}_{\Lambda} = 0. \quad (6)$$

In fact, the second identity is equivalent to Λ being holomorphic, and the third is equivalent to Λ being Poisson. Define $A^{p,q} = C^{\infty}(M, TM^{p,0} \otimes TM^{*(0,q)})$, then

$$\text{ad}_{\Lambda} : A^{p,q} \rightarrow A^{p+1,q}, \quad \bar{\partial} : A^{p,q} \rightarrow A^{p,q+1}; \quad \text{and} \quad K^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} A^{p,q}. \quad (7)$$

Definition 2 Given a holomorphic Poisson structure Λ , the Poisson bi-complex is the triple $\{A^{p,q}, \text{ad}_{\Lambda}, \bar{\partial}\}$.

Then the cohomology $H_{\Lambda}^{\bullet}(M)$ theoretically could be computed by each one of the two associated spectral sequences. We choose a filtration given by $F^p K^n = \bigoplus_{p'+q=n, p' \geq p} A^{p',q}$. The lowest differential is $\bar{\partial} : A^{p,q} \rightarrow A^{p,q+1}$. Therefore, the first level of the spectral sequence is the Dolbeault cohomology

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(M, \Theta^p), \quad (8)$$

where Θ^p is the sheaf of germs of the p -th exterior power of the holomorphic tangent bundle on the complex manifold M . It follows that the next differential is

$$d_1^{p,q} = \text{ad}_{\Lambda} : H^q(M, \Theta^p) \rightarrow H^q(M, \Theta^{p+1}). \quad (9)$$

The second level of the Poisson spectral sequence is given by

$$E_2^{p,q} = \frac{\text{kernel of } \text{ad}_{\Lambda} : H^q(M, \Theta^p) \rightarrow H^q(M, \Theta^{p+1})}{\text{image of } \text{ad}_{\Lambda} : H^q(M, \Theta^{p-1}) \rightarrow H^q(M, \Theta^p)}. \quad (10)$$

We are interested in computing

$$d_2^{p,q} : E_2^{p,q} \rightarrow E_2^{p+2,q-1}. \quad (11)$$

3 Nilmanifolds with abelian complex structures

A compact manifold M is called a nilmanifold if there exists a simply-connected nilpotent Lie group G and a lattice subgroup Γ such that M is diffeomorphic to G/Γ . We denote the Lie algebra of G by \mathfrak{g} . The step of the nilmanifold is the nilpotence of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . For a $(s + 1)$ -step nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , there is a filtration by the descending central series,

$$\{0\} = \mathfrak{g}^{s+1} = [\mathfrak{g}^s, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{g}^{k+1} = [\mathfrak{g}^k, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^k \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{g}^1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathfrak{g}.$$

A left-invariant complex structure J on G is said to be abelian if on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , it satisfies the condition $[JA, JB] = [A, B]$, for all A and B in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . If one complexifies the algebra \mathfrak{g} and denotes the $+i$ and $-i$ eigen-spaces of J respectively by $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{0,1}$, then the invariant complex structure J being abelian is equivalent to the complex algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ being abelian.

Denote the k -th exterior products $\wedge^k \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ and $\wedge^k \mathfrak{g}^{*(0,1)}$ respectively by $\mathfrak{g}^{k,0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{*(0,k)}$. There is a natural inclusion map.

$$\iota : \mathfrak{g}^{\ell,0} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{*(0,m)} \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \wedge^\ell TM^{1,0} \otimes \wedge^m TM^{*(0,1)}).$$

Now we wish to prove the following:

Theorem 1 *On a nilmanifold M with an invariant abelian complex structure, the inclusion $\mathfrak{g}^{\ell,0} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{*(0,m)}$ in $C^\infty(M, \wedge^\ell TM^{1,0} \otimes \wedge^m TM^{*(0,1)})$ induces an isomorphism of cohomology. In other words, $H^m(\mathfrak{g}^{\ell,0}) \cong H^m(M, \Theta^\ell)$.*

This theorem generalizes an observation in [5] for 2-step nilmanifolds.

4 The proof of Theorem 1

We adopt an inductive approach regarding the number of steps because the Theorem 1 is known to be true for 2-step nilmanifolds [5].

Let \mathfrak{g} be $(s + 1)$ -step nilpotent. Assume that the theorem holds true for s -step nilmanifolds where $s \geq 1$.

Let \mathfrak{c} be the center of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Since $[JA, B] = -[A, JB]$, the center \mathfrak{c} is J -invariant. Let $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{c}$. It is obvious that \mathfrak{t} is s -step nilpotent and it has an induced abelian complex structure as well.

Let C be the center of G and $\psi : G \rightarrow G/C$ the quotient map. Since G is $(s+1)$ -step nilpotent, G/C is s -step nilpotent. Consider $M = G/\Gamma$ and $N = \psi(G)/\psi(\Gamma)$. We have a holomorphic fibration $\Psi : M \rightarrow N$ whose fiber is isomorphic to $F = C/(C \cap \Gamma)$. Note also that N is a s -step nilmanifold with an abelian complex structure.

We have the vector space decompositions

$$\mathfrak{g}_C = \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{0,1}; \quad \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} = \mathfrak{c}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{1,0}; \quad \mathfrak{g}^{0,1} = \mathfrak{c}^{0,1} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{0,1}.$$

Here both $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{0,1}$ are abelian sub-algebras of \mathfrak{g}_C . The only non-trivial Lie brackets are of the form:

$$[\mathfrak{t}^{1,0}, \mathfrak{t}^{0,1}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_C = \mathfrak{c}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{c}^{0,1} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{0,1}.$$

For the $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ operator, we have the following lemma, which can be verified directly.

Lemma 1 *We have $\bar{\partial}\mathfrak{g}^{*(0,1)} = 0$, $\bar{\partial}\mathfrak{g}^{*(1,0)} \subset \mathfrak{t}^{*(1,1)}$, $\bar{\partial}\mathfrak{c}^{1,0} = 0$ and*

$$\bar{\partial}\mathfrak{t}^{1,0} \subset (\mathfrak{t}^{*(0,1)} \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{1,0}) \oplus (\mathfrak{t}^{*(0,1)} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{1,0}). \quad (12)$$

To compute the cohomology $H^m(\mathfrak{g}^{\ell,0})$, one uses the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator:

$$\bar{\partial} : \mathfrak{g}^{*(0,m)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\ell,0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*(0,m+1)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\ell,0}.$$

As $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0} = \mathfrak{c}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{1,0}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\ell,0} = \bigoplus_{a+b=\ell} \mathfrak{c}^{a,0} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{b,0}; \quad \mathfrak{g}^{*(0,m)} = \bigoplus_{i+j=m} \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,i)} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{*(0,j)}.$$

According to the decomposition in Equation (12), one may split the $\bar{\partial}$ operator into two parts,

$$\bar{\partial} = \bar{\partial}_c + \bar{\partial}_t,$$

depending on whether we choose the $\mathfrak{c}^{1,0}$ component or $\mathfrak{t}^{1,0}$ in the range of the operator $\bar{\partial}$. Here

$$\bar{\partial}_c : \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,i)} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{*(0,j)} \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{a,0} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{b,0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,i)} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{*(0,j+1)} \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{a+1,0} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{b-1,0} \quad (13)$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}_t : \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,i)} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{*(0,j)} \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{a,0} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{b,0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,i)} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{*(0,j+1)} \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{a,0} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{b,0}. \quad (14)$$

We now fix the number $\ell \geq 0$. If for $0 \leq p \leq \ell$, we put

$$D^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{i+j=p+q} \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,i)} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{*(0,j)} \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{p,0} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{\ell-p,0} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq p+q} \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,i)} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{*(0,p+q-i)} \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{p,0} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{\ell-p,0},$$

then

$$\bar{\partial}_c : D^{p,q} \rightarrow D^{p+1,q}, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}_t : D^{p,q} \rightarrow D^{p,q+1}.$$

And hence

$$\bar{\partial}_c \circ \bar{\partial}_c : D^{p,q} \rightarrow D^{p+2,q}, \quad \bar{\partial}_c \circ \bar{\partial}_t + \bar{\partial}_t \circ \bar{\partial}_c : D^{p,q} \rightarrow D^{p+1,q+1}, \quad \bar{\partial}_t \circ \bar{\partial}_t : D^{p,q} \rightarrow D^{p,q+2}.$$

Since $\bar{\partial} = \bar{\partial}_c + \bar{\partial}_t$, and $\bar{\partial} \circ \bar{\partial} = 0$, we have

$$\bar{\partial}_c \circ \bar{\partial}_c = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}_c \circ \bar{\partial}_t + \bar{\partial}_t \circ \bar{\partial}_c = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}_t \circ \bar{\partial}_t = 0.$$

It means that both $\bar{\partial}_c$ and $\bar{\partial}_t$ are co-boundary operators, and the data $(D^{p,q}, \bar{\partial}_c, \bar{\partial}_t)$ form a bi-complex. Its total complex is

$$\bar{\partial} = \bar{\partial}_c + \bar{\partial}_t : \bigoplus_{p+q=m} D^{p,q} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=m+1} D^{p,q},$$

or, exactly that of

$$\mathfrak{g}^{*(0,m)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\ell,0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*(0,m+1)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\ell,0}.$$

In summary, we have

Lemma 2 *For each $\ell \geq 0$, the cohomology $H^m(\mathfrak{g}^{\ell,0})$ can be computed as the total cohomology of the bi-complex $(D^{p,q}, \bar{\partial}_c, \bar{\partial}_t)$.*

Lemma 3 *For each $\ell \geq 0$, we have*

$$H^m(M, \wedge^\ell \Psi^* \Theta_N) = \bigoplus_{p+q=m} \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,q)} \otimes H^p(\mathfrak{t}^{\ell,0}).$$

Proof: The proof is essentially the same as that of Lemma 5 in [21]. Here we give a sketch.

To compute $H^m(M, \wedge^\ell \Psi^* \Theta_N)$, we use the standard Leray spectral sequence for a fibration. We first note the following fact (see Lemma 3 in [21]):

$$R^q \Psi_*(\wedge^\ell \Psi^* \Theta_N) = \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,q)} \otimes \wedge^\ell \Theta_N.$$

Therefore, the second level of the Leray spectral sequence is given by

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(N, R^q\Psi_*(\wedge^\ell\Psi^*\Theta_N)) = H^p(N, \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,q)} \otimes \wedge^\ell\Theta_N) = \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,q)} \otimes H^p(N, \wedge^\ell\Theta_N).$$

By induction assumptions: N is a s -step nilmanifold and $H^p(N, \wedge^\ell\Theta_N) = H^p(\mathfrak{t}^{\ell,0})$. Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{c}^{*(0,q)} \otimes H^p(N, \wedge^\ell\Theta_N) = \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,q)} \otimes H^p(\mathfrak{t}^{\ell,0}). \quad (15)$$

Thus $d_2 : E_2^{p,q} \rightarrow E_2^{p+2,q-1}$ is a map

$$\mathfrak{c}^{*(0,q)} \otimes H^p(\mathfrak{t}^{\ell,0}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,q-1)} \otimes H^{p+2}(\mathfrak{t}^{\ell,0}).$$

However, any element in $\mathfrak{c}^{*(0,q)} \otimes H^p(\mathfrak{t}^{\ell,0})$ could be represented in the following form:

$$\sum_{a,b,c} \bar{\rho}_a \wedge \bar{\Omega}_b \wedge W_c,$$

where $\bar{\rho}_a \in \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,q)}$, $\bar{\Omega}_b \in \mathfrak{t}^{*(0,p)}$, $W_c \in \mathfrak{t}^{\ell,0}$, and $\bar{\partial}_N(\sum_{b,c} \bar{\Omega}_b \wedge W_c) = 0$. Note that for forms, $\bar{\partial}_N \bar{\Omega}_b = \bar{\partial}_M \bar{\Omega}_b = 0$ because the complex structures on both the manifold M and its quotient N are abelian. In addition, since the fibers of the projection Ψ are global holomorphic vector fields generated by $\mathfrak{c}^{1,0}$, and they are in the center of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$,

$$\bar{\partial}_N W_c = \bar{\partial}_M W_c.$$

Therefore, when $\bar{\partial}_N(\sum_{b,c} \bar{\Omega}_b \wedge W_c) = 0$, then

$$\bar{\partial} \left(\sum_{a,b,c} \bar{\rho}_a \wedge \bar{\Omega}_b \wedge W_c \right) = 0.$$

It follows that $d_2 \equiv 0$, and

$$H^m(M, \wedge^\ell\Psi^*\Theta_N) = \bigoplus_{p+q=m} E_2^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{p+q=m} \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,q)} \otimes H^p(\mathfrak{t}^{\ell,0}).$$

■

Now we are back to the proof of Theorem 1. We take $E = \Theta_M$, $Z = \mathcal{O}_M \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{1,0}$, $Q = \Psi^*\Theta_N$. Then we have the following exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles over M :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{1,0} \rightarrow \Theta_M \xrightarrow{\rho} \Psi^*\Theta_N \rightarrow 0,$$

i.e.,

$$0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\rho} Q \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 5 in [5], we have a filtration of $\wedge^\ell E = \wedge^\ell \Theta_M$:

$$\mathcal{O}_M \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{\ell,0} = \wedge^\ell Z = E^{(\ell)} \subset E^{(\ell-1)} \subset \dots \subset E^{(1)} \subset E^{(0)} = \wedge^\ell E = \wedge^\ell \Theta_M.$$

Moreover, the associated graded spaces are:

$$\begin{aligned} G^0 &= E^{(0)}/E^{(1)} \cong \wedge^\ell Q = \Psi^*(\wedge^\ell \Theta_N); \\ G^1 &= E^{(1)}/E^{(2)} \cong \wedge^{\ell-1} Q \otimes Z = \Psi^*(\wedge^{\ell-1} \Theta_N) \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{1,0}; \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ G^r &= E^{(r)}/E^{(r+1)} = \wedge^{\ell-r} Q \otimes \wedge^r Z = \Psi^*(\wedge^{\ell-r} \Theta_N) \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{r,0}; \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ G^{\ell-1} &= E^{(\ell-1)}/E^{(\ell)} \cong Q \otimes \wedge^{\ell-1} Z = \Psi^*(\Theta_N) \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{\ell-1,0}. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, we have a filtration of the co-chain complex $C^\bullet = TM^{*(0,\bullet)} \otimes \wedge^\ell \Theta_M$:

$$TM^{*(0,\bullet)} \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{\ell,0} = \mathcal{F}^{(\ell)} C^\bullet \subset \mathcal{F}^{(\ell-1)} C^\bullet \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}^{(1)} C^\bullet \subset \mathcal{F}^{(0)} C^\bullet = C^\bullet,$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{(r)} C^\bullet = TM^{*(0,\bullet)} \otimes E^{(r)}$.

Thus there associates a spectral sequence: $E_r^{p,q}$, which starts with

$$E_0^{p,q} = \mathcal{F}^{(p)} C^{p+q} / \mathcal{F}^{(p+1)} C^{p+q} = TM^{*(0,p+q)} \otimes G^p \cong TM^{*(0,p+q)} \otimes \Psi^*(\wedge^{\ell-p} \Theta_N) \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{p,0}$$

and $d_0 = \bar{\partial}$. It follows from Lemma 3 that we have

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(G^p) = H^{p+q}(\Psi^*(\wedge^{\ell-p} \Theta_N) \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{p,0}) = \oplus_{i+j=p+q} \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,i)} \otimes H^j(\mathfrak{t}^{\ell-p,0}) \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{p,0}.$$

It can be easily seen that the right hand side is in fact the cohomology of

$$\bar{\partial}_t : \oplus_{i+j=p+q} \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,i)} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{*(0,j)} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{\ell-p,0} \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{p,0} \rightarrow \oplus_{i+j=p+q} \mathfrak{c}^{*(0,i)} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{*(0,j+1)} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{\ell-p,0} \otimes \mathfrak{c}^{p,0}.$$

Thus,

$$E_1^{p,q} = \frac{\text{kernel of } \bar{\partial}_t : D^{p,q} \rightarrow D^{p,q+1}}{\text{image of } \bar{\partial}_t : D^{p,q-1} \rightarrow D^{p,q}}.$$

We then find the associated $d_1 : E_1^{p,q} \rightarrow E_1^{p+1,q}$. In fact, it is essentially $\bar{\partial}$. If $X \in D^{p,q}$ represents an element in $E_1^{p,q}$, then $d_1[X]$ is represented by $\bar{\partial}X$. Note $\bar{\partial} = \bar{\partial}_t + \bar{\partial}_c$ and $\bar{\partial}_t X = 0$. So $d_1[X]$ is actually represented by $\bar{\partial}_c X$.

Using this description, we now explain

$$E_2^{p,q} = \frac{\text{kernel of } d_1 : E_1^{p,q} \rightarrow E_1^{p+1,q}}{\text{image of } d_1 : E_1^{p-1,q} \rightarrow E_1^{p,q}}.$$

An element in $E_2^{p,q}$ can be represented by some $X \in D^{p,q}$ satisfying the following condition: $\bar{\partial}_t X = 0$, and $\exists Y \in D^{p+1,q-1}$ such that $\bar{\partial}_c X + \bar{\partial}_t Y = 0$.

Moreover, such an X represents the zero element in $E_2^{p,q}$ if there exist $Z \in D^{p-1,q}$ and $Z' \in D^{p,q-1}$ such that $\bar{\partial}_t Z = 0$ and $\bar{\partial}_c Z + \bar{\partial}_t Z' = X$.

The co-boundary at level 2, $d_2 : E_2^{p,q} \rightarrow E_2^{p+2,q-1}$, which is again essentially $\bar{\partial}$, now becomes the map sending $[X]$ to $[\bar{\partial}_c Y]$. In fact, this can be easily seen from the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}X &= \bar{\partial}_t X + \bar{\partial}_c X = \bar{\partial}_c X \\ &= -\bar{\partial}_t Y \equiv \bar{\partial}_c Y \pmod{\text{Im}(\bar{\partial})}. \end{aligned}$$

Repeat this process. We find that $E_r^{p,q} = Z_r^{p,q}/B_r^{p,q}$, where $Z_r^{p,q}$ consists of elements $X^{p,q} \in D^{p,q}$ which is subject to the following conditions:

1. $\bar{\partial}_t X^{p,q} = 0$;
2. $\exists X^{p+1,q-1} \in D^{p+1,q-1}, X^{p+2,q-2} \in D^{p+2,q-2}, \dots, X^{p+r-1,q-r+1} \in D^{p+r-1,q-r+1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_c X^{p,q} + \bar{\partial}_t X^{p+1,q-1} &= 0, \\ \dots\dots\dots, \\ \bar{\partial}_c X^{p+r-2,q-r+2} + \bar{\partial}_t X^{p+r-1,q-r+1} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The denominator $B_r^{p,q}$ consists of elements $W^{p,q} \in D^{p,q}$ satisfying the following

condition: $\exists W^{p,q-1} \in D^{p,q-1}, \dots, W^{p-r+1,q+r-2} \in D^{p-r+1,q+r-2}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_t W^{p,q-1} + \bar{\partial}_c W^{p-1,q} &= W^{p,q}, \\ \bar{\partial}_t W^{p-1,q} + \bar{\partial}_c W^{p-2,q+1} &= 0, \\ \dots\dots\dots, \\ \bar{\partial}_t W^{p-r+2,q+r-3} + \bar{\partial}_c W^{p-r+1,q+r-2} &= 0, \\ \bar{\partial}_t W^{p-r+1,q+r-2} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

With this construction of $E_r^{p,q} = Z_r^{p,q}/B_r^{p,q}$, the co-boundary map $d_r : E_r^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p+r,q-r+1}$ should be given by $d_r[X^{p,q}] = [\bar{\partial}_c X^{p+r-1,q-r+1}]$. The reason is similar to the preceding $r = 2$ case.

From these observations, we find that the spectral sequence $E_r^{p,q}$ derived from the filtration of $\wedge^\ell E = \wedge^\ell \Theta_M$ exactly matches with the spectral sequence of the bi-complex $(D^{p,q}, \bar{\partial}_c, \bar{\partial}_t)$. Hence they converge to the same cohomology, i.e.,

$$H^m(M, \wedge^\ell \Theta_M) \cong H_{\text{total}}^m(D^{p,q}) \cong H^m(\mathfrak{g}^{\ell,0}).$$

■

According to Theorem 1 and Equation (10),

$$E_2^{p,q} = \frac{\text{kernel of } \text{ad}_\Lambda : H^q(\mathfrak{g}^{p,0}) \rightarrow H^q(\mathfrak{g}^{p+1})}{\text{image of } \text{ad}_\Lambda : H^q(\mathfrak{g}^{p-1}) \rightarrow H^q(\mathfrak{g}^p)}. \quad (16)$$

In this context, it is clear that if the A and B are complex linearly independent elements in $\mathfrak{c}^{1,0}$ and $\Lambda = A \wedge B$, then Λ is a holomorphic Poisson structure such that $\text{ad}_\Lambda = 0$. In such case, $\bar{\partial}_\Lambda = \bar{\partial}$ and

$$H_\Lambda^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E_2^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^q(\mathfrak{g}^{p,0}). \quad (17)$$

Therefore, the only non-trivial part of Theorem 2 below is when the dimension of $\mathfrak{c}^{1,0}$ is equal to one.

Theorem 2 *On any nilmanifold with an abelian complex structure, there exists a non-trivial holomorphic Poisson structure Λ such that its associated spectral sequence*

degenerates on its second level. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} H_\Lambda^k &= \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E_2^{p,q} \\ &= \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \frac{\text{kernel of } \text{ad}_\Lambda : H^q(\mathfrak{g}^{p,0}) \rightarrow H^q(\mathfrak{g}^{p+1,0})}{\text{image of } \text{ad}_\Lambda : H^q(\mathfrak{g}^{p-1,0}) \rightarrow H^q(\mathfrak{g}^{p,0})}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

5 Existence of holomorphic Poisson structures

We will continue to work with abelian complex structures. Recall that by definition, $\mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathfrak{g}$, and inductively, $\mathfrak{g}^{k+1} = [\mathfrak{g}^k, \mathfrak{g}]$.

Define $\mathfrak{g}_J^k = \mathfrak{g}^k + J\mathfrak{g}^k$. When the complex structure is abelian, it is clear from various definitions that each \mathfrak{g}_J^k is a J -invariant ideal of \mathfrak{g} , and we have a filtration of subalgebras:

$$\{0\} = \mathfrak{g}_J^{s+1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_J^s \subset \mathfrak{g}_J^{s-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_J^{k+1} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_J^k \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_J^1 \subset \mathfrak{g}_J^0 = \mathfrak{g}.$$

Note that by [27] the last inclusion $\mathfrak{g}_J^1 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is always strict. Moreover, since the center \mathfrak{c} is J -invariant and it contains \mathfrak{g}^s , we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_J^s \subseteq \mathfrak{c}. \quad (19)$$

It follows that the inclusion $\mathfrak{g}_J^s \subset \mathfrak{g}_J^{s-1}$ is also strict.

We complexify this filtration:

$$\{0\} = \mathfrak{g}_{J,\mathbb{C}}^{s+1} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{J,\mathbb{C}}^s \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{J,\mathbb{C}}^{k+1} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{J,\mathbb{C}}^k \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{J,\mathbb{C}}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{J,\mathbb{C}}^0 = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (20)$$

There exists a type decomposition for each k .

$$\mathfrak{g}_{J,\mathbb{C}}^k = \mathfrak{g}_J^{k,(1,0)} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_J^{k,(0,1)}.$$

So, the filtration (20) splits into two. One is for type $(1,0)$ -vectors.

$$\{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_J^{s,(1,0)} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_J^{k+1,(1,0)} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_J^{k,(1,0)} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_J^{1,(1,0)} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_J^{0,(1,0)} = \mathfrak{g}^{(1,0)};$$

Another is for type $(0,1)$ -vectors.

$$\{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_J^{s,(0,1)} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_J^{k+1,(0,1)} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_J^{k,(0,1)} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_J^{1,(0,1)} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_J^{0,(0,1)} = \mathfrak{g}^{(0,1)}.$$

Lemma 4 *Suppose that the complex structure J is abelian, then*

- $[\mathfrak{g}^{(1,0)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(1,0)}] = 0$, and $[\mathfrak{g}^{(0,1)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(0,1)}] = 0$.
- $[\mathfrak{g}_J^{k,(1,0)}, \mathfrak{g}_J^{\ell,(0,1)}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{J,\mathbb{C}}^{1+\max\{k,\ell\}}$.
- *In particular, when $\ell = 0$, $[\mathfrak{g}_J^{k,(1,0)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(0,1)}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{J,\mathbb{C}}^{k+1}$*

Proof: The first point is due to the complex structure being abelian. To prove the second point, assume that $k \geq \ell$, let X be in \mathfrak{g}^k and Y be in \mathfrak{g}^ℓ .

$$\begin{aligned} [X - iJX, Y + iJY] &= [X, Y] + [JX, JY] - i([JX, Y] - [X, JY]) \\ &= 2[X, Y] + 2i[X, JY]. \end{aligned}$$

As $k \geq \ell$, by definition $[X, Y] \in \mathfrak{g}^{k+1}$ and $[X, JY] \in \mathfrak{g}^{k+1}$. Therefore, $[X - iJX, Y + iJY]$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k+1}$.

In general, if X_1 and X_2 are in \mathfrak{g}^k , then

$$X_1 + JX_2 - iJ(X_1 + JX_2) = (X_1 - iJX_1) + i(X_2 - iJX_2).$$

By complex linearity, the proof of the second observation is completed. ■

Make the following notation for the quotient space

$$\mathfrak{t}^{k+1,(1,0)} = \mathfrak{g}_J^{k,(1,0)} / \mathfrak{g}_J^{k+1,(1,0)}.$$

Choose a vector space isomorphism so that the short exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_J^{k+1,(1,0)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_J^{k,(1,0)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_J^{k,(1,0)} / \mathfrak{g}_J^{k+1,(1,0)} \rightarrow 0$$

is turned into a direct sum of vector spaces.

$$\mathfrak{g}_J^{k,(1,0)} \cong \mathfrak{t}^{k+1,(1,0)} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_J^{k+1,(1,0)}.$$

Then inductively,

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(1,0)} = \mathfrak{t}^{1,(1,0)} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{2,(1,0)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{s+1,(1,0)}.$$

Similarly,

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(0,1)} = \mathfrak{t}^{1,(0,1)} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{2,(0,1)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{s+1,(0,1)}.$$

We remark that $\mathfrak{t}^{s+1,(1,0)}$ is indeed $\mathfrak{g}_J^{s,(1,0)}$.

Proposition 1 $\bar{\partial}\mathfrak{t}^{s+1,(1,0)} = 0$. For $1 \leq \ell \leq s$,

$$\bar{\partial}\mathfrak{t}^{\ell,(1,0)} \subseteq (\oplus_{k \leq \ell} \mathfrak{t}^{k,*(0,1)}) \wedge \mathfrak{t}^{\ell+1,(1,0)} \oplus \left(\oplus_{k > \ell} (\mathfrak{t}^{k,*(0,1)} \wedge \mathfrak{t}^{k+1,(1,0)}) \right).$$

Proof: Suppose that $\{\bar{\omega}_j : j = 1, \dots, \}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{g}^{*(0,1)}$ and $\{\bar{Z}_j : j = 1, \dots, \}$ is the dual basis. For any element V in $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$,

$$\bar{\partial}V = \sum_j [V, \bar{Z}_j]^{1,0} \wedge \bar{\omega}_j.$$

When V is in $\mathfrak{t}^{s+1,(1,0)}$, it is contained in the center of the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore, $\bar{\partial}V = 0$. If V is in $\mathfrak{t}^{\ell,(1,0)}$ with $1 \leq \ell \leq s$, by Lemma 4, for all $\bar{Z}_j \in \mathfrak{t}^{k,(0,1)}$ where $k > \ell$, then

$$[V, \bar{Z}_j]^{1,0} \in \mathfrak{t}^{k+1,(1,0)}.$$

If $\ell \geq k$, then $[V, \bar{Z}_j]^{1,0} \in \mathfrak{t}^{\ell+1,(1,0)}$. ■

Proposition 2 When $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{c}^{1,0} = 1$, every element in $\mathfrak{t}^{s+1,(1,0)} \wedge \mathfrak{t}^{s,(1,0)}$ is a holomorphic Poisson structure.

Proof: Let C be an element in $\mathfrak{t}^{s+1,(1,0)}$ and V an element in $\mathfrak{t}^{s,(1,0)}$. Then by the previous proposition,

$$\bar{\partial}(C \wedge V) = (\bar{\partial}C) \wedge V - C \wedge \bar{\partial}V = -C \wedge \sum_j [V, \bar{Z}_j]^{1,0} \wedge \bar{\omega}_j.$$

By Part 2 of Lemma 4, for all j , $[V, \bar{Z}_j]^{1,0}$ is an element in $\mathfrak{t}^{s+1,(1,0)}$. When $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{c}^{1,0} = 1$, every $[V, \bar{Z}_j]^{1,0}$ is a constant multiple of C . Therefore,

$$C \wedge \sum_j [V, \bar{Z}_j]^{1,0} = 0.$$

It follows that $\bar{\partial}(C \wedge V) = 0$. As the complex structure is abelian, Part 1 of Lemma 4 shows that $C \wedge V$ is Poisson. ■

Consider the dual space $\mathfrak{t}^{k,*(0,1)}$. Since $\mathfrak{t}^{k,(0,1)} = \mathfrak{g}_J^{k-1,(0,1)} / \mathfrak{g}_J^{k,(0,1)}$, if $\bar{\omega} \in \mathfrak{t}^{k,*(0,1)}$, then $\bar{\omega}(\bar{Y}) = 0$ for all $\bar{Y} \in \mathfrak{g}^{k,(0,1)}$.

Lemma 5 [7, 27] Consider $\mathfrak{g}^{*(0,1)} = \mathfrak{t}^{1,*(0,1)} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{2,*(0,1)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{s+1,*(0,1)}$.

- $d\mathfrak{t}^{m,*(0,1)} \subseteq (\oplus_{k < m} \mathfrak{t}^{k,*(1,0)}) \wedge (\oplus_{\ell < m} \mathfrak{t}^{\ell,*(0,1)})$.
- $\bar{\partial}\mathfrak{t}^{k,*(0,1)} = 0$, for all k .
- For $k \geq m$, $[\mathfrak{t}^{k,(1,0)}, \mathfrak{t}^{m,*(0,1)}] = \{0\}$.
- For $k < m$, $[\mathfrak{t}^{k,(1,0)}, \mathfrak{t}^{m,*(0,1)}] \subseteq \oplus_{\ell < m} \mathfrak{t}^{\ell,*(0,1)}$.

Proof: Suppose that $X \in \mathfrak{t}^{k,(1,0)}$ and $\bar{Y} \in \mathfrak{t}^{\ell,(0,1)}$ and $\bar{\omega} \in \mathfrak{t}^{m,*(0,1)}$, then

$$d\bar{\omega}(X, \bar{Y}) = -\bar{\omega}([X, \bar{Y}]).$$

Since $[\mathfrak{g}_J^{k,(1,0)}, \mathfrak{g}_J^{\ell,(0,1)}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{J,\mathbb{C}}^{1+\max\{k,\ell\}}$, $\bar{\omega}([X, \bar{Y}]) = 0$ except possibly when $m = 1 + \max\{k, \ell\}$.

The second item is a consequence of the first.

Since $[X, \bar{\omega}] = \iota_X d\bar{\omega}$, the third and fourth items are consequences of the first. ■

Corollary 1 For all m , $[\mathfrak{t}^{s+1,(1,0)}, \mathfrak{t}^{m,*(0,1)}] = 0$. For all $m \leq s$, $[\mathfrak{t}^{s,(1,0)}, \mathfrak{t}^{m,*(0,1)}] = 0$.

And

$$[\mathfrak{t}^{s,(1,0)}, \mathfrak{t}^{s+1,*(0,1)}] \subseteq \oplus_{\ell \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{\ell,*(0,1)}.$$

6 Computation of the map d_2

We continue our work with the assumption that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{t}^{s+1,(1,0)} = 1$, and C is a non-zero element in $\mathfrak{t}^{s+1,(1,0)}$. Let $V \in \mathfrak{t}^{s,(1,0)}$ be non-zero, and $\Lambda = C \wedge V$. By Proposition 2 above, Λ is a holomorphic Poisson structure. For this Λ , we now compute $d_2 : E_2^{p,q} \rightarrow E_2^{p+2,q-1}$ for all $q \geq 1$. As a consequence of Theorem 1,

$$E_2^{p,q} = \frac{\text{kernel of } \text{ad}_{\Lambda} : H^q(\mathfrak{g}^{p,0}) \rightarrow H^q(\mathfrak{g}^{p+1,0})}{\text{image of } \text{ad}_{\Lambda} : H^q(\mathfrak{g}^{p-1,0}) \rightarrow H^q(\mathfrak{g}^{p,0})}. \quad (21)$$

Case 1. Suppose that $q = 1$, $p \geq 0$, and $A \in \mathfrak{g}^{*(0,1)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{p,0}$ such that $\bar{\partial}A = 0$. If $\text{ad}_{\Lambda}(A)$ represents a zero class in $H^1(\mathfrak{g}^{p+1,0})$, there exists $B \in \mathfrak{g}^{p+1,0}$ such that

$$\text{ad}_{\Lambda}(A) = \bar{\partial}B.$$

Since the complex structure is abelian, $\text{ad}_\Lambda(B) = 0$. Since $d_2([A])$ is represented by $\text{ad}_\Lambda(B)$, $d_2 : E^{p,1} \rightarrow E^{p+2,0}$ is identically zero for all p .

Case 2. Consider the case when $q = 2$ and $p = 0$. Elements in $\mathfrak{g}^{*(0,2)}$ are linear combinations of $\bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2$, where $\bar{\omega}_1$ and $\bar{\omega}_2$ are elements in $\mathfrak{t}^{m,*(0,1)}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^{n,*(0,1)}$, respectively. By the first part of Corollary 1,

$$\text{ad}_\Lambda(\bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2) = C \wedge [V, \bar{\omega}_1] \wedge \bar{\omega}_2 - C \wedge [V, \bar{\omega}_2] \wedge \bar{\omega}_1.$$

Since $V \in \mathfrak{t}^{s,(1,0)}$, by Corollary 1, $[V, \bar{\omega}_1]$ is non-zero only if $m = s + 1$. However, as $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{t}^{s+1,*(0,1)} = 1$, by the same corollary, not both m and n are equal to $s + 1$ if $\text{ad}_\Lambda(\bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2)$ is not equal to zero. Therefore we assume that $m = s + 1$ and $n \leq s$. It follows that

$$\text{ad}_\Lambda(\bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2) = C \wedge [V, \bar{\omega}_1] \wedge \bar{\omega}_2. \quad (22)$$

Now suppose that a linear combination of such terms represents a zero class in $H^2(\mathfrak{g}^{1,0})$, then there exists B in $\mathfrak{g}^{*(0,1)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ such that

$$\sum \text{ad}_\Lambda(\bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2) = \sum C \wedge [V, \bar{\omega}_1] \wedge \bar{\omega}_2 = \bar{\partial}B.$$

Again, by Corollary 1, $[V, \bar{\omega}_1]$ is contained in $\oplus_{k \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{k,*(0,1)}$, then

$$\bar{\partial}B = \sum C \wedge [V, \bar{\omega}_1] \wedge \bar{\omega}_2 \in \oplus_n \oplus_{k \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{k,*(0,1)} \wedge \mathfrak{t}^{n,*(0,1)} \wedge \mathfrak{t}^{s+1,(1,0)}. \quad (23)$$

Let $\bar{\rho} \in \mathfrak{t}^{s+1,*(0,1)}$ be the dual of \bar{C} , then B decomposes into the following form:

$$B = \Pi + \bar{\rho} \wedge W + \bar{\omega} \wedge C \quad (24)$$

where $\Pi \in (\oplus_{k \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{k,*(0,1)}) \otimes (\oplus_{l \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{l,(1,0)})$, $W \in \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ and $\bar{\omega} \in \mathfrak{g}^{*(0,1)}$. Then

$$\bar{\partial}B = \bar{\partial}\Pi - \bar{\rho} \wedge \bar{\partial}W.$$

However, from identity (23), we see that $\bar{\partial}B$ does not contain any term with $\bar{\rho}$. On the other hand, by Proposition 1, $\bar{\partial}\Pi$ does not contribute any term in $\bar{\rho}$. Therefore, $\bar{\rho} \wedge \bar{\partial}W = 0$, and

$$\bar{\partial}B = \bar{\partial}\Pi.$$

It follows that $d_2([A])$ is represented by $\text{ad}_\Lambda(\Pi)$. As Π is a linear combination of elements of the form $\bar{\rho}_k \wedge W_k$, where $\bar{\rho}_k \in \mathfrak{t}^{k,*(0,1)}$ for $1 \leq k \leq s$, and $W_k \in$

$\oplus_{1 \leq l \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{l,(1,0)}$, $\text{ad}_\Lambda(\Pi)$ is a linear combination $C \wedge [V, \bar{\rho}_k] \wedge W_k$. However, as V is in $\mathfrak{t}^{s,(1,0)}$, by Corollary 1, $[V, \bar{\rho}_k] \neq 0$, only when $\bar{\rho}_k \in \mathfrak{t}^{s+1,*(0,1)}$. Therefore, $\text{ad}_\Lambda(\Pi) = 0$. So we conclude that $d_2 : E^{0,2} \rightarrow E^{2,1}$ is identically zero.

Case 3. Suppose that $q = 2$ and $p \geq 1$. For any A in $\mathfrak{g}^{*(0,2)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{(p,0)}$, it is a linear combination of

$$\bar{\omega}_m \wedge \bar{\omega}_n \wedge \Theta_{m,n}$$

where $\bar{\omega}_m \in \mathfrak{t}^{m,*(0,1)}$, $\bar{\omega}_n \in \mathfrak{t}^{n,*(0,1)}$ and $\Theta_{m,n} \in \mathfrak{g}^{(p,0)}$, and $\text{ad}_\Lambda(A)$ is a linear combination of

$$C \wedge [V, \bar{\omega}_m \wedge \bar{\omega}_n] \wedge \Theta_{m,n} = C \wedge [V, \bar{\omega}_m] \wedge \bar{\omega}_n \wedge \Theta_{m,n} - C \wedge [V, \bar{\omega}_n] \wedge \bar{\omega}_m \wedge \Theta_{m,n}.$$

By Corollary 1, the terms $[V, \bar{\omega}_m]$ and $[V, \bar{\omega}_n]$ are non-zero only when m and n are equal to $s+1$ because V is in $\mathfrak{t}^{s,(1,0)}$. However, as $\mathfrak{t}^{s+1,(1,0)}$ is only one-dimensional, not both $\bar{\omega}_m$ and $\bar{\omega}_n$ are in $\mathfrak{t}^{s+1,*(0,1)}$. So $\text{ad}_\Lambda(A)$ is not equal to zero only when one of them is in $\mathfrak{t}^{s+1,*(0,1)}$. We assume that $\bar{\omega}_m$ spans $\mathfrak{t}^{s+1,*(0,1)}$. It follows that $\text{ad}_\Lambda(A)$ is a linear combination of

$$C \wedge [V, \bar{\omega}_{s+1}] \wedge \bar{\omega}_n \wedge \Theta_{s+1,n},$$

where $1 \leq n \leq s$.

Now suppose that it represents a zero class in $H^2(\mathfrak{g}^{p+1,0})$, then there exists $B \in \mathfrak{g}^{*(0,1)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{p+1,0}$ such that $\text{ad}_\Lambda(A) = \bar{\partial}B$. Furthermore, B has the following decomposition:

$$B = \Pi + \bar{\rho} \wedge W + \bar{\omega} \wedge C \wedge \Gamma \tag{25}$$

where $\Pi \in (\oplus_{k \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{k,*(0,1)}) \otimes \wedge^{p+1} (\oplus_{l \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{l,(1,0)})$, $W \in \mathfrak{g}^{p+1,0}$, $\bar{\omega} \in \oplus_{k \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{k,*(0,1)}$, and $\Gamma \in \oplus_{l \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{l,(p,0)}$. Then

$$\bar{\partial}B = \bar{\partial}\Pi - \bar{\rho} \wedge \bar{\partial}W + \bar{\omega} \wedge C \wedge \bar{\partial}\Gamma.$$

However, from Equation (23), we see that $\bar{\partial}B$ does not contain any components with $\bar{\rho}$. On the other hand, from Proposition 1, we see that $\bar{\partial}\Pi$ and $\bar{\partial}\Gamma$ do not contribute any terms in $\bar{\rho}$. So $\text{ad}_\Lambda(A) = \bar{\partial}(B)$ only if $\bar{\rho} \wedge \bar{\partial}W = 0$, and

$$\bar{\partial}B = \bar{\partial}\Pi + \bar{\omega} \wedge C \wedge \bar{\partial}\Gamma = \bar{\partial}(\Pi + \bar{\omega} \wedge C \wedge \Gamma).$$

Then $d_2[A]$ is represented by

$$\text{ad}_\Lambda(\Pi + \bar{\omega} \wedge C \wedge \Gamma) = C \wedge [V, \Pi + \bar{\omega} \wedge C \wedge \Gamma]. \quad (26)$$

However, $V \in \mathfrak{t}^{s,(1,0)}$, $[V, \bar{\rho}_k] \neq 0$ only when $\bar{\rho}_k \in \mathfrak{t}^{s+1,*(0,1)}$. Therefore, $\text{ad}_\Lambda(B) = 0$. So we conclude that for all $p \geq 0$, $d_2 : E^{p,2} \rightarrow E^{p+2,1}$ is identically zero.

Case 4. Finally, we consider the case when $p \geq 0$ and $q > 2$. Let A

$$A = \bar{\omega}_{s+1} \wedge \bar{\Omega}_1 \wedge \Theta_1 + \bar{\Omega}_2 \wedge \Theta_2, \quad (27)$$

where $\bar{\Omega}_1 \in \wedge^{q-1}(\oplus_{m \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{m,*(0,1)})$, $\bar{\Omega}_2 \in \wedge^q(\oplus_{m \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{m,*(0,1)})$, and $\Theta_1, \Theta_2 \in \mathfrak{g}^{p,0}$. By Corollary 1,

$$\text{ad}_\Lambda(A) = C \wedge [V, \bar{\omega}_{s+1}] \wedge \bar{\Omega}_1 \wedge \Theta_1. \quad (28)$$

It is contained in

$$\wedge^q(\oplus_{m \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{m,*(0,1)}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{s+1,(1,0)} \wedge \mathfrak{g}^{p,0}. \quad (29)$$

Now suppose that it represents a zero class in $H^q(\mathfrak{g}^{p+1,0})$, then there exists $B \in \mathfrak{g}^{*(0,q-1)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{p+1,0}$ such that $\text{ad}_\Lambda(A) = \bar{\partial}B$. Furthermore, B has the following decomposition:

$$B = \Pi + \bar{\rho} \wedge \bar{\Omega} \wedge W, \quad (30)$$

where $\Pi \in (\wedge^{q-1} \oplus_{m \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{m,*(0,1)}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{p+1,0}$, $\bar{\Omega} \in \wedge^{q-2} \oplus_{n \leq s} \mathfrak{t}^{n,*(0,1)}$, and $W \in \mathfrak{g}^{p+1,0}$. Then

$$\bar{\partial}B = \bar{\partial}\Pi + \bar{\rho} \wedge \bar{\Omega} \wedge \bar{\partial}W. \quad (31)$$

As $\bar{\partial}\Pi$ does not contribute any terms in $\bar{\rho}$ and such terms should be equal to zero when $\text{ad}_\Lambda(A) = \bar{\partial}(B)$, $\bar{\rho} \wedge \bar{\Omega} \wedge \bar{\partial}W = 0$. It follows that $\bar{\partial}B = \bar{\partial}\Pi$, and $d_2([A])$ is represented by $\text{ad}_\Lambda(\Pi)$. However, as

$$\text{ad}_\Lambda(\Pi) = C \wedge [V, \Pi].$$

As a result of the semi-direct structure as seen in Lemma 5, it is equal to zero.

Therefore, we conclude that for all $p \geq 0, q \geq 1$, $d_2 : E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p+2,q-1}$ is identically zero. It concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

7 Examples

In [5], it is shown the existence of examples of 2-step nilmanifolds in all dimensions with abelian complex structures admitting holomorphic Poisson structures.

In this section, we show a sequence of high-step nilmanifolds with abelian complex structures, and provide an explicit holomorphic Poisson structure for which the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds. We will also provide an example of holomorphic Poisson structures on a complex four-dimensional nilmanifold on which the holomorphic Poisson bi-complex fails to degenerate on its second level.

This example is inspired by the one in [22]. The complex manifold could be considered as a tower of elliptic fibrations over the Kodaira surface [3, 25]. Let \mathfrak{g} be a real Lie algebra with basis $\{x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n\}$ and structure equations:

$$[x_1, y_1] = y_2, \quad [x_1, x_k] = [y_1, y_k] = x_{k+1}, \quad [x_1, y_k] = -[y_1, x_k] = y_{k+1},$$

for all $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. Define an abelian complex structure by $Jx_j = y_j$ and $Jy_j = -x_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $v_j = \frac{1}{2}(x_j - iJx_j) = \frac{1}{2}(x_j - iy_j)$, then $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ forms a basis for $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$. Let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be the dual basis of $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$,

The structure equations on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to the complex basis are

$$[v_1, \bar{v}_1] = -\frac{1}{2}(v_2 - \bar{v}_2), \quad [v_2, \bar{v}_1] = -v_3, \quad \dots, \quad [v_{n-1}, \bar{v}_1] = -v_n. \quad (32)$$

In particular,

$$\bar{\partial}v_1 = -\frac{1}{2}v_2 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1, \quad \bar{\partial}v_2 = -v_3 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1, \quad \dots, \quad \bar{\partial}v_{n-1} = -v_n \wedge \bar{\omega}_1, \quad \bar{\partial}v_n = 0. \quad (33)$$

It becomes apparent that $v_{n-1} \wedge v_n$ is a holomorphic bivector field. Note that for $n \geq 5$, $\Pi_n = v_{n-3} \wedge v_n - v_{n-2} \wedge v_{n-1}$ is a non-trivial bivector field. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\partial}(v_{n-3} \wedge v_n - v_{n-2} \wedge v_{n-1}) \\ &= (\bar{\partial}v_{n-3}) \wedge v_n - (\bar{\partial}v_{n-2}) \wedge v_{n-1} + v_{n-2} \wedge (\bar{\partial}v_{n-1}) \\ &= -v_{n-2} \wedge \bar{\omega}_1 \wedge v_n + v_{n-1} \wedge \bar{\omega}_1 \wedge v_{n-1} - v_{n-2} \wedge v_n \wedge \bar{\omega}_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Π_n determines a holomorphic Poisson structure on the nilmanifold associated to \mathfrak{g} when $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 2n$ ($n \geq 5$).

The $(1, 0)$ -forms ω_j satisfy the identities:

$$d\omega_1 = 0, \quad d\omega_2 = \frac{1}{2}\omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1, \quad d\omega_3 = \omega_2 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1, \quad \dots, \quad d\omega_n = \omega_{n-1} \wedge \bar{\omega}_1. \quad (34)$$

Taking the complex conjugation, we get

$$d\bar{\omega}_1 = 0, \quad d\bar{\omega}_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1, \quad d\bar{\omega}_3 = -\omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2, \quad \dots, \quad d\bar{\omega}_n = -\omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_{n-1}. \quad (35)$$

It of course follows that $\bar{\partial}\bar{\omega}_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then we have

$$[v_1, \bar{\omega}_1] = 0, \quad [v_1, \bar{\omega}_2] = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\omega}_1, \quad [v_1, \bar{\omega}_3] = -\bar{\omega}_2, \quad \dots, [v_1, \bar{\omega}_n] = -\bar{\omega}_{n-1}. \quad (36)$$

It follows that when $n \geq 5$, ad_{Π_n} is identically zero. Hence the cohomology of $\bar{\partial}_{\Pi_n} = \bar{\partial} + ad_{\Pi_n}$ is equal to the cohomology of $\bar{\partial}$.

Finally we discuss an example of real dimension eight, i.e. $n = 4$. We consider $\Pi = 2v_1 \wedge v_4 - v_2 \wedge v_3$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\partial}(2v_1 \wedge v_4 - v_2 \wedge v_3) \\ &= (2\bar{\partial}v_1) \wedge v_4 - (\bar{\partial}v_2) \wedge v_3 + v_2 \wedge (\bar{\partial}v_3) \\ &= -v_2 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1 \wedge v_4 + v_3 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1 \wedge v_3 - v_2 \wedge v_4 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Pi = 2v_1 \wedge v_4 - v_2 \wedge v_3$ is indeed a holomorphic Poisson structure, and it turns out to be more interesting. Let us examine ad_{Π} a little further in the next paragraphs. We take the sets of equations in (33) and (36) for $n = 4$. The non-zero equations become

$$\bar{\partial}v_1 = -\frac{1}{2}v_2 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1, \quad \bar{\partial}v_2 = -v_3 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1, \quad \bar{\partial}v_3 = -v_4 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1. \quad (37)$$

$$[v_1, \bar{\omega}_2] = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\omega}_1, \quad [v_1, \bar{\omega}_3] = -\bar{\omega}_2, \quad [v_1, \bar{\omega}_4] = -\bar{\omega}_3. \quad (38)$$

Based on the above information, we will demonstrate the following observation.

Proposition 3 *The holomorphic Poisson bi-complex associated to Π does not degenerate on the second level.*

We will demonstrate that the map $d_2 : E_2^{0,2} \rightarrow E_2^{2,1}$ is non-zero. Recall that

$$E_2^{0,2} = \text{kernel of } \text{ad}_{\Pi} : H^2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}).$$

Since all $(0, k)$ -forms are $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, $H^2(\mathbb{C})$ is spanned by $\bar{\omega}_i \wedge \bar{\omega}_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$. Since $\text{ad}_{v_2 \wedge v_3}$ is identically zero,

$$\ker \text{ad}_\Pi = \ker \text{ad}_{v_1 \wedge v_4}, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Image ad}_\Pi = \text{Image ad}_{v_1 \wedge v_4}. \quad (39)$$

By (37) and (38),

$$\text{ad}_{v_1 \wedge v_4} \bar{\omega}_2 = \frac{1}{2} v_4 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial} v_3, \quad \text{ad}_{v_1 \wedge v_4} \bar{\omega}_3 = v_4 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2. \quad (40)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_\Pi \bar{\omega}_2 \wedge \bar{\omega}_3 &= 2 \text{ad}_{v_1 \wedge v_4} \bar{\omega}_2 \wedge \bar{\omega}_3 \\ &= 2(\text{ad}_{v_1 \wedge v_4} \bar{\omega}_2) \wedge \bar{\omega}_3 - 2(\text{ad}_{v_1 \wedge v_4} \bar{\omega}_3) \wedge \bar{\omega}_2 \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial} v_3 \right) \wedge \bar{\omega}_3 = \bar{\partial} \left(-\frac{1}{2} v_3 \wedge \bar{\omega}_3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\bar{\omega}_2 \wedge \bar{\omega}_3$ represents a non-trivial element in $E_2^{0,2}$, and $-2d_2(\bar{\omega}_2 \wedge \bar{\omega}_3)$ is represented by $\text{ad}_\Pi(v_3 \wedge \bar{\omega}_3)$. By (38) it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \text{ad}_{v_1 \wedge v_4}(v_3 \wedge \bar{\omega}_3) \\ &= -2(\text{ad}_{v_1 \wedge v_4} \bar{\omega}_3) \wedge v_3 = -2v_4 \wedge [v_1, \bar{\omega}_3] \wedge v_3 \\ &= 2v_4 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2 \wedge v_3 = 2v_3 \wedge v_4 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the image of $\bar{\partial}$ of any vector field has to have a $\bar{\omega}_1$ factor, $v_3 \wedge v_4 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2$ is not $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. Therefore, it represents a non-zero element in the kernel of the map

$$\text{ad}_\Pi : H^1(\mathfrak{g}^{2,0}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{g}^{3,0}).$$

Furthermore, for any vector v in $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_{v_1 \wedge v_4}(v \wedge \bar{\omega}_1) &= 0, \quad \text{ad}_{v_1 \wedge v_4}(v \wedge \bar{\omega}_2) = -\frac{1}{2} v \wedge v_4 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1, \\ \text{ad}_{v_1 \wedge v_4}(v \wedge \bar{\omega}_3) &= -v \wedge v_4 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2, \quad \text{ad}_{v_1 \wedge v_4}(v \wedge \bar{\omega}_4) = -v \wedge v_4 \wedge \bar{\omega}_3. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\text{ad}_{v_1 \wedge v_4}(v_3 \wedge \bar{\omega}_3) = -v_3 \wedge v_4 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2$. Yet $v_3 \wedge \bar{\omega}_3$ is not $\bar{\partial}$ -closed. Therefore, $v_3 \wedge v_4 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2$ represents a non-zero element in

$$E_2^{2,1} = \frac{\text{kernel of } \text{ad}_\Pi : H^1(\mathfrak{g}^{2,0}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{g}^{3,0})}{\text{image of } \text{ad}_\Pi : H^1(\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{g}^{2,0})}.$$

In other words, $d_2(\bar{\omega}_2 \wedge \bar{\omega}_3)$ is represented by a non-zero element, and hence d_2 is not identically zero for the holomorphic Poisson structure $\Pi = 2v_1 \wedge v_4 - v_2 \wedge v_3$.

Acknowledgments. Z. Chen is partially supported by NSFC grant 11471179 and the Beijing Higher Education Young Elite Teacher Project. A. Fino is partially supported by PRIN, FIRB and by GNSAGA (Indam). A. Fino and Y.S. Poon are grateful for hospitality of the Yau Mathematical Sciences Center of Tsinghua University during their visits in summer 2014 and 2015. We would like to thank the anonymous reviewer for very constructive and detailed comments.

References

- [1] D. Angella, S. Calamai & H. Kasuya, *Cohomologies of generalized complex manifolds and nilmanifolds*, (preprint) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.0981>.
- [2] M. Bailey, *Local classification of generalized complex structures*, J. Differential Geom. **95** (2013), 1–37.
- [3] W. Barth, C. Peters & A. Van de Ven, *Compact Complex Surfaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer-Verlag (1984) Berlin.
- [4] G. R. Cavalcanti & M. Gualtieri, *Generalized complex structures on nilmanifolds*, J. Symplectic Geom. **2** (2004), no. 3, 393-410.
- [5] Z. Chen, D. Grandini & Y. S. Poon, *Cohomology of holomorphic Poisson structures*, Complex Manifolds, **2** (2015), 34-52. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.0448>.
- [6] S. Console, *Dolbeault cohomology and deformations of nilmanifolds*, Rev. de al UMA. **47** (1) (2006), 51–60.
- [7] S. Console & A. Fino, *Dolbeault cohomology of compact nilmanifolds*, Transform. Groups. **6** (2001), 111–124.
- [8] S. Console, A. Fino & Y. S. Poon, *Stability of abelian complex structures*, International J. Math. **17** (2006), 401–416.

- [9] L. A. Cordero, M. Fernández, A. Gray & L. Ugarte, *Compact nilmanifolds with nilpotent complex structures: Dolbeault cohomology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **352** (2000), 5405–5433.
- [10] P. Gauduchon, *Hermitian connections and Dirac operators*, Bollettino U.M.I. **11B** (1997), 257–288.
- [11] D. Grandini, Y. S. Poon & B. Rolle, *Differential Gerstenhaber algebras of generalized complex structures*, Asian J. Math. **18** (2014), 191–218.
- [12] G. Grantcharov, C. McLaughlin, H. Pedersen & Y. S. Poon, *Deformations of Kodaira manifolds*, Glasgow Math. J. **46** (2004), 259–281.
- [13] M. Gualtieri, *Generalized complex geometry*, Ann. of Math. **174** (2011), 75–123.
- [14] N. J. Hitchin, *Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Quart. J. Math. **54** (2003), 281–308.
- [15] N. J. Hitchin, *Instantons, Poisson structures, and generalized Kähler geometry*, Commun. Math. Phys. **265** (2006), 131–164.
- [16] N. J. Hitchin, *Deformations of holomorphic Poisson manifolds*, Mosc. Math. J. **669** (2012), 567–591.
- [17] W. Hong & P. Xu, *Poisson cohomology of Del Pezzo surfaces*, J. Algebra **336** (2011), 378–390.
- [18] C. Laurent-Gengoux, M. Stiéson & P. Xu, *Holomorphic Poisson manifolds and holomorphic Lie algebroids*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (2008)Art. ID rnn 088, 46pp. doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnn088
- [19] Z. J. Liu, A. Weinstein & P. Xu, *Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids*, J. Differential Geom. **45** (1997), 547–574.
- [20] K. C. H. Mackenzie, *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series **213**, Cambridge U Press, 2005.

- [21] C. Maclaughlin, H. Pedersen, Y. S. Poon & S. Salamon, *Deformation of 2-step nilmanifolds with abelian complex structures*, J. London Math. Soc. **73** (2006) 173–193.
- [22] D. V. Millionshchikov, *Complex structures on nilpotent Lie algebras and descending central series*, arXiv:1412.0361v1.
- [23] K. Nomizu, *On the cohomology of compact homogenous spaces of nilpotent Lie groups*, Ann. Math. **59** (1954), 531–538.
- [24] A. Polishchuk, *Algebraic geometry of Poisson brackets*, J. Math. Sci. (New York) **84** (1997), 1413–1444.
- [25] Y. S. Poon, *Extended deformation of Kodaira surfaces*, J. reine angew. Math. **590** (2006), 45–65.
- [26] S. Rollenske, *Lie algebra Dolbeault cohomology and small deformations of nilmanifolds*, J. London. Math. Soc. (2) **79** (2009), 346–362.
- [27] S. M. Salamon, *Complex structures on nilpotent Lie algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **157** (2001), 311–333.
- [28] L.-S. Tseng & S.-T. Yau, *Generalized cohomologies and supersymmetry*, Commun. Math. Phys. **326** (2014), 875–885.